### THE

# LETTERS

OF

# JUNIUS, &c.

STAT NOMINIS UMBRA.

VOL. II.



SIL SELECTION

### LETTERS.

OF

# JUNIUS, &c.

STAT NOMINIS UMBRA.

VOL. II.

#### DUBLIN:

PRINTED BY M. MILLS, NO. 36, DORSET-STREET,
FOR J. BEATTY, NO. 12, CAPEL-STREET.

M DCC LXXXVII.

1. 1. 2. 11 3

20

restrict person of the person of the second can like t

TREATURE NO. 18 LANGE TREET

LE

LE

LE

N

LE'

## CONTENTS

ATMATHOD .

#### TOTHE

### LETTERS of JUNIUS, &c.

### VOL. II.

LETTER XXXVII. to the F Public Advertiser,	Printer of the page 1
LETTER XXXVIII. To the I	Printer of the 8
LETTER XXXIX. To the Printer Advertiser,	of the Public
LETTER XL. To Lord NORTH.	- 35
LETTER XLI. To the Right Ho Mansfield, -	nourable Lord 39
LETTER XLII. To the Printer Advertiser, = =	of the Public - 56
	LET-

### CONTENTS.

LETTER XLIII. PHILO JUNIUS to the Printe	90
of the Public Advertiser, - page 6	8
LETTER XLIV. JUNIUS to the Printer of the	be
Public Advertiser, 7	3
LETTER XLV. PHILO JUNIUS to the Prin	2-
ter of the Public Advertiser, - 8	8
LETTER XLVI. PHILO JUNIUS to the Printer of the Public Advertiser, - 9	0
LETTER XLVII. PHILO JUNIUS to the	ie
Printer of the Public Advertiser, - 9	4
LETTER XLVIII. PHILO JUNIUS to the	he
Printer of the Public Advertiser, - 9	6
LETTER XLIX. Junius to the Duke	of
GRAFTON, to	I
LETTER. L. To bis Grace the Duke of GRAF	1
LETTER LI. From the Rev. Mr. HORNE	0
Junius, 111	4
LETTER LII. Junius to the Rev. Mr	
HORNE, 11'	7
LETTER LIII. From the Rev. Mr. HORNI	E
to Junius, - 122	2
LETTER LIV. Junius to the Printer of	f
the Public Advertiser, - 141	ľ
LET	•

L

LE

LE

LE J L

LET

LET

LET bis

M

# CONTENTS.

LETTER LV.	The second secon			
of the Public Ad	wertiger,		. page	155
LETTER LVI	The H	Rev. Mr.	HORN	E to
Junius,		0	J-21338	158
LETTER LVII.	JUNIU	s to bis (	Frace the	Duke
of GRAFTON,		-	JC B OTH	160
LETTER LVIII	. Addre	Med to the	e LIVE	RY of
LONDON,		-	-	169
LETTER LIX.	To the	Printer	of the	Public
Advertiser,	•	-	-	172
LETTER LX. I	PHILO I	UNIUS #	o the P	rinter
of the Public Ad		-	- '	186
LETTER LXI.	Риго	TUNIU	s to Z	ENO.
•	-	-		189
LETTER LXII.	Рипо	Tunius	to an	Advo-
cate in the Cause			-	197
LETTER LXIII	. Observ	ations b	a Frie	nd of
Junius in an				
LAW,	+ 1	-	-	199
LETTER LXIV	. 34 4	•	•	202
LETTER LXV.	Tunius	to Lord	Chief 7	uffice
MANSFIELD	-	-	- "	207
LETTER LXVI	. Tuniu	S engage	to make	good
bis charge against				
			1.1	100

e 6 of 1 -7 to 4 fr. 17 NE 22 of 41 T-

#### CONTENTS

LETTER LXVI	I. Juni	us to the	Duke of
GRAFTON,	•	pa di pa	age 208
LETTER LXVI	II. To	Lord Chief	Justice.
MANSFIELD,		,2	пи214
LETTER LXIX.	To the R	ight Honour	able Lord
CAMDEN,	. :	"HOTTA	x 249

LETTER LIK. To the Printer of the Ludhe

T

ho

CO

fitt

the

or jus

cal go

the

me

to

whi con Vo

Blessedon eta, alla ontre nerritt Lineanon

LITTER LX Parts Ivs come Printer of the Pallie Abertile, . . . : 185

LETTER LIKE Prints Coupe is Kerel

LETTER LEGICAL PARIO TOWN OF 10 AND Addit

care in the Cause of the People - Toy

าร (หลาย (สมาชุริยาลา ) การเปลา และ สมาชิก (การ อีกสาร

STIER LARV. Junios & Larves & Friend & Fried & Friend & Friend & Friedd & Friedd & Friedd & Friedd & Fried & Friedd & Fr

ros - - gastsexald

Basiling and the state of the s

### LETTERS

OF

### JUNIUS, &c.

#### LETTER XXXVII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC AD-VERTISER.

SIR, 19. March, 1770.

BELIEVE there is no man, however indifferent about the interests of this country, who will not readily confess that the fituation, to which we are now reduced, whether it has arisen from the violence of faction, or from an arbitrary system of government, justifies the most melancholy apprehensions, and calls for the exertion of whatever wisdom or vigour is left among us. The King's answer to the remonstrance of the city of London, and the measures since adopted by the ministry, amount to a plain declaration, that the principle, on which Mr. Luttrell was feated in the house of commons, is to be supported in all its consequences VOL. II.

quences, and carried to its utmost extent. The fame spirit, which violated the freedom of election, now invades the declaration and bill of rights, and threatens to punish the subject for exercifing a privilege, hitherto undisputed, of petitioning the crown. The grievances of the people are aggravated by infults; their complaints not merely difregarded, but checked by authority; and every one of those acts, against which they remonstrated; confirmed by the King's decifive approbation. At such a moment, no honest man will remain filent or inactive. However distinguished by rank or property, in the rights of freedom we are all equal. As we are Englishmen, the least considerable man among us has an interest equal to the proudest nobleman, in the laws and constitution of his country, and is equally called upon to make a generous contribution in support of them ;whether it be the heart to conceive, the understanding to direct, or the hand to execute. It is a common cause, in which we are all interested, in which we should all be engaged. The man who deferts it at this alarming crifis, is an enemy to his country, and, what I think of infinitely less importance, a traitor to his Sovereign. The subject, who is truly loyal to the chief magistrate, will neither advise nor submit to arbitrary measures. The city of London have given

the

ry

fe

aı

W

Pa

tin

fp

th

tie

try

ha

wl

me

is

tiv

vit

def

por

the

are

The

lec-

of

for

, of

the

om-

d by

ainst

the

mo-

nac-

qual.

rable

roud-

on of

make

n ;--

nder-

It is

ested,

man

ene-

f infi-

reign.

f ma-

arbi-

ve gi-

ven

ven an example, which, I doubt not; will be followed by the whole kingdom. The noble spirit of the metropolis is the lifeblood of the state, collected at the heart: from that point it circulates, with health and vigour, through every artery of the constitution. The time is come, when the body of the English people must affert their own cause: conscious of their strength, and animated by a sense of their duty, they will not surrender their birthright to ministers, parliaments, or kings.

THE city of London have expressed their sentiments with freedom and firmness; they have fpoken truth boldly; and, in whatever light their remonstrance may be represented by courtiers, I defy the most subtle lawyer in this country to point out a fingle instance, in which they have exceeded the truth. Even that affertion, which we are told is most offensive to parliament, in the theory of the English constitution, is strictly true. If any part of the representative body be not chosen by the people, that part vitiates and corrupts the whole. If there be a defect in the representation of the people, that power, which alone is equal to the making of the laws in this country, is not complete, and the acts of parliament under that circumstance, are not the acts of a pure and entire legislatu. e.

B 2

I fpeak

I speak of the theory of our constitution; and whatever difficulties or inconveniencies may attend the practice, I am ready to maintain, that, as far as the fact deviates from the principle, fo far the practice is vicious and corrupt. I have not heard a question raised upon any other part of the remonstrance. That the principle, on which the Middlesex election was determined, is more pernicious in its effects, than either the levying of ship-money, by Charles the First, or the suspending power assumed by his son, will hardly be difputed by any man who understands or wishes well to the English constitution. It is not an act of open violence done by the King, or any direct or palpable breach of the laws attempted by his minister, that can ever endanger the liberties of this country. Against fuch a King or minister the people would immediately take the alarm, and all the parties unite to oppose him. The laws may be grossly violated in particular instances, without any direct attack upon the whole system. Facts of that kind stand alone; they are attributed to necesfity, not defended by principle. We can never be really in danger, until the forms of parliament are made use of to destroy the substance of our civil and political liberties; -until parliament itself betrays its trust, by contributing to establish new principles of government, and employing

en th

fur pol fea dee an full fefs the the nift cent affir. ther fupp onal of th he is fubje with coun of L Whe ous to

the p

tion a

employing the very weapons committed to it by the collective body, to stab the constitution.

d

t-

fo

ve

irt

on

d,

he

ft,

n,

er-

n.

he

he

rer

nst

ie-

ite

io-

ect

hat

ef-

ver

ia-

of

ia-

to

ind

ing

As for the terms of the remonstrance, I prefume it will not be affirmed, by any person le's polished than a gentleman usher, that this is a feason for compliments. Our gracious King indeed is abundantly civil to himself. Instead of an answer to a petition, his majesty, very gracefully pronounces his own panegyric; and I confefs, that, as far as his personal behaviour, or the royal purity of his intentions is concerned, the truth of those declarations, which the minister has drawn up for his master, cannot decently be disputed. In every other respect, I affirm, that they are absolutely unsupported, either in argument or fact. I must add too, that supposing the speech were otherwise unexceptionable, it is not a direct answer to the petition of the city. His Majesty is pleased to say, that he is always ready to receive the requests of his subjects; yet the sheriffs were twice sent back with an excuse, and it was certainly debated in council whether or no the magistrates of the city of London should be admitted to an audience. Whether the remonstrance be or be not injurious to parliament, is the very question between the parliment and the people, and fuch a queftion as cannot be decided by the affertion of a B 3 third

third party, however respectable. That the petitioning for a diffolution of parliament is irreconcileable with the principles of the constitution is a new doctrine. His Majesty perhaps has not been informed, that the house of commons themselves have, by a formal resolution, admitted it to be the right of the subject. Majesty proceeds to assure us that he has made the laws the rule of his conduct-Was it in ordering or permitting his ministers to apprehend Mr. Wilkes by a general warrant?—Was it in fuffering his ministers to revive the obsolate maxim of nullum tempus to rob the Duke of Portland of his property, and thereby give a decifive turn to a county election?—Was it in erecting a chamber consultation of surgeons, with authority to examine into and superfede the legal verdict of a jury? Or did his Majesty consult the laws of this country, when he permitted his fecretary of state to declare, that whenever the civil magistrate is trifled with, a military force must be fent for, without the delay of a moment, and effectually employed? Or was it in the barbarous exactness with which this illegal, inhuman doctrine was carried into execution?-If his Majesty had recollected these facts, I think he would never have faid, at least with any reference to the measures of his government, that he had made the laws the rule of his conduct. talk

talk fupp upo plin too

· tual care the mitt have plac hope a pe cit t auth for t him case happ ing diffo conf all'p at la

that

try o

talk of preserving the affections, or relying on the support of his subjects, while he continues to act upon these principles, is indeed paying a compliment to their loyalty, which I hope they have too much spirit and understanding to deserve.

2-

1-

DS

1-

is

le

r-

d

n

r -

id

'n

a i-

rne

e-

10

ce

t,

r-

ı-If

ık

e-

o

lk

His Majesty, we are told, is not only punctual in the performance of his own duty, but careful not to assume any of those powers which the constitution has placed in other hands. Admitting this last affortion to be strictly true, it is no way to the purpose. The city of London have not defired the King to assume a power placed in other hands. If they had, I should hope to see the person, who dared to present such a petition, immediately impeached. They folicit their Sovereign to exert that constitutional authority, which the laws have vested in him, for the benefit of his subjects. They call upon him to make use of his lawful prerogative in a case, which our laws evidenly supposed might happen, fince they have provided for it by trusting the Sovereign with a discretionary power to dissolve the parliament. This request will, I am confident, be supported by remonstances from all parts of the kingdom. His Majesty will find at last, that this is the sense of his people, and that it is not his interest to support either ministry or parliament, at the hazard of a breach with B 4 the

the collective body of his subjects.—That he is the King of a free people, is indeed his greatest glory. That he may long continue the King of a free people, is the second wish that animates my heart. The first is, THAT THE PEOPLE MAY BE FREE.\*

JUNIUS.

fp

m

fe:

of

th

re

of

aff

tu

of

ma

me

ed

fer

eve

is

has

ty

one

feld

def has

pof

cor

ant

#### LETTER XXXVIII.

ADDRESSED TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR,

3. April, 1770.

In my last letter I offered you my opinion of the truth and propriety of his Majesty's answer to the city of London, considering it merely as the speech of the minister, drawn up in his own defence, and delivered, as usual, by the chief magistrate. I would separate, as much as possible, the King's personal character and behaviour from the acts of the present government. I wish it to be understood that his Majesty had in effect no more concern in the substance of what he said, than Sir James Hodges had in the remonstrance, and that as Sir

James,

<sup>\*</sup> When his Majesty had done reading his speech, the Lord Mayor, &c. had the honour of kissing his Majesty's hand; after which, as they were withdrawing, his Majesty instantly turned round to his courtiers, and burst out a laughing.

Nero fiddled, while Rome was burning. JOHN HORNE.

James, in virtue of his office, was obliged to speak the sentiments of the people, his Majesty might think himself bound, by the same official obligation, to give a graceful utterance to the sentiments of his minister. The cold formality of a well repeated lesson is widely distant from the animated expression of the heart.

ft

of

es

E

E

0.

ny

ef-

ng

yn

al,

as

er

0-

nis

he

ies

Sir

the

out

NE.

es,

THIS distinction, however, is only true with respect to the measure itself. The consequences of it reach beyond the minister, and materially affect his Majesty's honour. In their own nature they are formidable enough to alarm a man of prudence, and difgraceful enough to afflict a man of spirit. A subject, whose sincere attachment to his Majesty's person and family is founded upon rational principles, will not, in the prefent conjuncture, be scrupulous of alarming, or even of afflicting his Sovereign. I know there is another fort of loyalty, of which his Majesty has had plentiful experience. When the loyalty of Tories, Jacobites, and Scotchmen, has once taken possession of an unhappy Prince, it feldom leaves him without accomplishing his destruction. When the poison of their doctrines has tainted the natural benevolence of his difposition, when their insiduous counsels have corrupted the stamina of his government, what antidote can restore him to his political health B 5 and

and honour, but the firm fincerity of his English subjects?

Ir has not been usual in this country, at least fince the days of Charles the First, to see the fovereign personally at variance, or engaged in a direct altercation with his subjects. Acts of grace and indulgence are wifely appropriated to him, and should constantly be performed by himfelf. He never should appear but in an amiable light to his subjects. Even in France, as long as any ideas of a limited monarchy were thought worth preferving, it was a maxim, that no man should leave the royal presence discontented. They have lost or renounced the moderate principles of their government, and now, when their parliaments venture to remonstrate, the tyrant comes forward, and answers absolutely for himself. The spirit of their present constitution requires that the King should be feared. and the principle, I believe, is tolerably fupported by the fact. But, in our political system, the theory is at variance with the practice, for the King should be beloved. Measures of greater feverity may, indeed, in some cirrumstances, be necessary; but the minister who advises. should take the execution and odium of them entirely upon himself. He not only betrays his mafter, but violates the spirit of the English constitution

fub go me ma peo the

COL

an fun fib on the

fir

on

lic tio po an

rit

ur ce th

la

ci tie m 1

e

n

f

0

e

g

t

0

constitution, when he exposes the chief magiftrate to the personal hatred or contempt of his subjects. When me speak of the firmness of government, we mean an uniform fystem of measures deliberately adopted, and resolutely maintained by the servants of the crown, not a peevish asperity in the language or behaviour of the fovereign. The government of a weak, irresolute monarch may be wise, moderate and firm;—that of an obstinate capricious prince; on the contrary, may be feeble, undetermined and relaxed. The reputation of public meafures depends upon the minister, who is responfible, not upon the King, whose private opinions are not supposed to have any weight against the advice of his counsel, whose personal authority should therefore never be interposed in public affairs - This, I believe, is true, constitutional doctrine. But for a moment let us suppose it false. Let it be taken for granted, that an occasion may arise, in which a King of England shall be compelled to take upon himself the ungrateful office of rejecting the petitions, and censuring the conduct of his subjects; and let the City remonstrance be supposed to have created so extraordinary an occasion. On this principle, which I presume no friend of administration will dispute, let the wisdem and spirit of the ministry be examined. They advise the King to harard

hazard his dignity, by a positive declaration of his own fentiments?-they fuggest to him a language full of severity and reproach. What follows? When his Majesty had taken so decifive a part in support of his ministry and parliament, he had a right to expect from them a reciprocal demonstration of firmness in their own cause, and of their zeal for his honour. He had reason to expect (and such, I doubt not, were the blustering promises of Lord North) that the persons, whom he had been advised to charge with having failed in their respect to him, with having injured parliament, and violated the principles of the constitution, should not have been permitted to escape without some severe marks of the displeasure and vengeance of As the matter stands, the minister, parliament. after placing his fovereign in the most unfavourable light to his fubjects, and after attempting to fix the ridicule and odium of his own precipitate measures upon the royal character, leaves, him a folitary figure upon the scene, to recall, if he can, or to compensate, by future compliances, for one unhappy demonstration of ill-supported firmness, and ineffectual resentment. As a man of spirit, his Majesty cannot but be sensible, that the lofty terms in which he was perfuaded to reprimand the city, when united with the filly conclusion of the business, resemble the pomp

pon thet hero

S fifter hou Kin ence fuad man has l of M was bore only the c have him, and I custo would

\* Tongue great of perfon fent w

this

pomp of a mock-tragedy, where the most pathetic sentiments, and even the sufferings of the hero are calculated for derision.

Such has been the boafted firmness and confiftency of a minister, \* whose appearance in the house of commons was thought effential to the King's fervice; -- whose presence was to influence every division; -who had a voice to perfuade, an eye to penetrate, a gesture to command. The reputation of these great qualities has been fatal to his friends. The little dignity of Mr. Ellis has been committed. The mine was funk; -combustibles provided, and Welbore Ellis, the Guy Faux of the fable, waited only for the fignal of command. All of a fudden the country gentlemen discover how grossly they have been deceived;—the minister's heart fails him, the grand plot is defeated in a moment, and poor Mr. Ellis and his motion taken into custody. From the event of Friday last, one would imagine, that some fatality hung over this gentleman. Whether he makes or fup-

<sup>\*</sup> This graceful minister is oddly constructed. His tongue is a little too big for his mouth, and his eyes a great deal too big for their fockets. Every part of his person sets natural proportion at desiance. At this present writing, his head is supposed to be much too heavy for his shoulders.

presses a motion, he is equally sure of his disgrace. But the complexion of the times will suffer no man to be vice-treasurer of Ireland with impunity \*.

I Do not mean to express the smallest anxiety for the minister's reputation. He acts separately for himself, and the most shameful inconsistency may perhaps be no disgrace to him. But when the Sovereign, who represents the the majesty of the state, appears in person, his dignity should be supported. The occasion should be important;—the plan well considered;—the execution steady and consistent. My zeal for his Majesty's real honour compels me to assert, that it has been too much the system of the present reign, to introduce him personally, ei-

\* About this time, the courtiers talked of nothing but a bill of pains and penalties against the Lord Mayor and Sherists, or impeachment at the least. Little Mannikin Ellis told the King that, if the business were lest to his management, he would engage to do wonders. It was thought very odd that a motion of so much importance should be intrusted to the most contemptible little piece of machinery in the whole kingdom. His honest zeal however was disappointed. The minister took fright, and at the very instant that little Ellis was going to open, sent him an order to sit down. All their magnanimous threats ended in a ridiculous vote of censure, and a still more ridiculous address to the King. This shameful desertion so afflicted the generous mind of George the Third, that he was obliged to live upon potatoes for three weeks, to keep of a malignant sever.—Poor man!—quis talia fando temperet a lacrymis!

ther

the per ne thi eve div and ken the verr it to chea Infte bim main muc

Ir the cl his M in the your of

difho

\* A Mr. Yo fmile, felf."—

" bar

away,

if-

ill

nd

e-

nm.

he

his

on

ed;

eal

af-

the

ei-

ning

ayor Ian-

left

ders.

tible

His

was

cen-

mind

upon

ever.

ther

ther to act for or to defend his fervants. They persuade him to do what is properly their business, and desert him in the midst of it \*. Yet this is an inconvenience, to which he must for ever be exposed, while he adheres to a ministry divided among themselves, or unequal in credit and ability to the great task they have underta-Instead of reserving the interposition of the royal personage, as the last resource of government, their weakness obliges them to apply it to every ordinary occasion, and to render it cheap and common in the opinion of the people. Instead of supporting their master, they look to bim for support; and, for the emoluments of remaining one day more in office, care not how much his facred character is prostituted and dishonoured.

IF I thought it possible for this paper to reach the closet, I would venture to appeal at once to his Majesty's judgement. I would ask him, but in the most respectful terms, "As you are a "young man, Sir, who ought to have a life of happiness in prospect;—as you are a huse band;—as you are a father, [your filial du-

" ties

<sup>\*</sup> After a certain person had succeeded in cajolling Mr. Yorke, he told the Duke of Graston, with a witty smile, "My Lord, you may kill the next Percy yourself."—N. B. He had but that instant wiped the tears away, which overcame Mr. Yorke.

"ties I own have been religiously performed]
"is it bona fide for your interest or your honour
to sacrifice your domestic tranquillity, and to
live in a perpetual disagreement with your
people, merely to preserve such a chain of
beings as North, Barrington, Weymouth,
Gower, Ellis, Onslow, Rigby, Jerry Dyson
and Sandwich? Their very names are a satire upon all government, and I defy the
gravest of your chaplains to read the catalogue without laughing."

For my own part, Sir, I have always confidered addresses from praliament as a fashionable, unmeaning formality. Usurpers, ideots, and tyrants have been fuccessively complimented with almost the same professions of duty and affection. But let us suppose them to mean exactly what they profess. The consequences deferve to be confidered. Either the fovereign is a man of high spirit and dangerous ambition, ready to take advantage of the treachery of his parliament, ready to accept of the furrender they make him of the public liberty; -or he is a mild, undefigning prince, who, provided they indulge him with a little state and pageantry, would of himself intend no mischief. On the first supposition, it must soon be decided by the fword, whether the constitution should be lost or preserved

pre qua zar ed o into rect fhar viol ther to b hou He i in t bou forw pate man ties and his fible the Wha were of pa

> \* ] with branc

any

d

our

to

our

of th.

fon

fathe

ta-

nfi-

ble,

and

ited

af-

ex-

de-

n is

on,

his

nder

isa

hey

try,

the

ft or

rved

preserved. On the second, a prince no way qualified for the execution of a great and hazardous enterprize, and without any determined object in view, may nevertheless be driven into fuch desperate measures, as may lead directly to his ruin, or diffrace himself by a shameful sluctuation between the extremes of. violence at one moment, and timidity at ano-The minister perhaps may have reason to be fatisfied with the success of the present hour, and with the profits of his employment. He is the tenant of the day, and has no interest in the inher tance. The fovereign himself is bound by other obligations, and ought to look forward to a superior, a permanent interest. His paternal tenderness should remind him, how many hostages he has given to society. The ties of nature come powerfully in aid of oaths and protestations. The father, who confiders his own precarious state of health, and the posfible hazard of a long minerity, will wish to fee the family estate free and unincumbered \*.-What is the dignity of the crown, though it were really maintained; what is the honour of parliament, supposing it could exist without any foundation of integrity and justice; or what

<sup>\*</sup> Every true friend of the House of Brunswick sees with affliction, how rapidly some of the principal branches of the family have dropped off.

is the vain reputation of firmness, even if the scheme of the government were uniform and confistent, compared with the heart-felt affections of the people, with the happiness and security of the royal family, or even with the grateful acclamations of the populace! Whatever style of contempt may be adopted by ministers or parliaments, no man fincerely defpifes the voice of the English nation. house of com nons are only interpreters, whose duty it is to convey the fense of the people faithfully to the crown. If the interpretation be false or imperfect, the constituent powers are called upon to deliver their own fentiments. Their speech is rude, but intelligible; their geftures fierce, but full of explanation. Perplexed by fophistries, their honest eloquence rises Their first appeal was to the integrity of their representatives :- the second to the King's justice; the last argument of the people, whenever they have recourse to it, will carry more perhaps than perfuafion to parliament, or supplication to the throne.

JUNIUS.

LETTER

TO

S

ting hap pub ceed due conf and caul ed b to fa ftood min Neit enga their paid of th nou

> opin aları

### LETTER XXXIX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC AD-VERTISER.

SIR,

he

nd

fehe

at-

ni-

he

fe

h-

be

re

ts.

·f-

X-

es

n-

to

10

Illi

1-

R

28. May, 1770.

WHILE parliament was fitting, it would neither have been safe, nor perhaps quite regular, to offer any opinion to the public, upon the justice or wisdom of their proceedings. To pronounce fairly upon their conduct, it was necessary to wait until we could consider, in one view, the beginning, progress, and conclusion of their deliberations. cause of the public was undertaken and supported by men, whose abilities and united authority, to fay nothing of the advantageous ground they flood on might, well be thought sufficient to determine a popular question in favour of the people. Neither was the house of commons so absolutely engaged in defence of the ministry, or even of their own resolutions, but that they might have paid some decent regard to the known disposition of their constituents, and, without any dishonour to their firmness, might have retracted an opinion too hastily adopted, when they saw the alarm it had created, and how strongly is was opposed

opposed by the general sense of the nation. The ministry too would have consulted their own immediate interest, in making some concession fatisfactory to the moderate part of the people. Without touching the fact, they might have consented to guard against, or give up the dangerous principle on which it was established. In this state of things, I think it was highly improbable at the beginning of the fession, that the complaints of the people upon a matter, which, in their apprehension at least, immediately affected the life of the constitution, would be treated with as much contempt by their own representatives, and by the house of lords, as they had been by the other branch of the legislature. Despairing of their integrity, we had a right to expect fomething from their prudence and fomething from their fears. The Duke of Grafton certainly did not foresee to what an extent the corruption of a parliament might be carried. He thought, perhaps, that there was still some portion of shame or virtue left in the majority of the house of commons, or that there was a line in public proflitution, beyond which they would scruple to proceed. Had the young man been a little more practifed in the world, or had he ventured to measure the characters of other men by his own, he would not have been so easily discouraged.

THE

upo

fide:

king

wha

to h

lam

duc

of r

gle

The

and

ulua

fter

ficie

ber o

the

they

viole

cont

peri

bera

the o

belie

quel

least

certa

it, c

deci

C

n

n

2.

ē

1-

n

)-

e

1,

1-

d

1-

d

e.

O

-

n

e

d.

ie

y

le

ld

n

e

n

y

E

THE prorogation of parliament naturally calls upon us to review their proceedings, and to confider the condition in which they have left the kingdom. I do not question but they have done what is usually called the King's business, much to his Majesty's satisfaction. We have only to lament, that, in consequence of a system introduced or revived in the present reign, this kind of merit should be very confistent with the neglect of every duty they owe to the nation. The interval between the opening of the last and close of the former session was longer than Whatever were the views of the minifler in deferring the meeting of parliament, fufficient time was certainly given to every member of the house of commons, to look back upon the steps he had taken, and the consequences they had produced. The zeal of party, the violence of personal animosities, and the heat of contention had leifure to fubfide. From that period, whatever resolution they took was deliberate and prepense. In the preceding session, the dependants of the ministry had affected to believe, that the final determination of the question would have satisfied the nation, or at least put a stop to their complaints; as if the certainty of an evil could diminish the sense of it, or the nature of injustice could be altered by decision. But they found the people of England

land were in a temper very distant from submisfion; and, although it was contended that the house of commons could not themselves reverse a resolution, which had the force and effect of a judicial fentence, there were other constitutional expedients, which would have given a fecurity against any similar attempts for the future. The general proposition, in which the whole country had an interest, might have been reduced to a particular fact, in which Mr. Wilkes and Mr. Luttrell would alone have been concerned. The house of lords might interpose;-the King might dissolve the parliament; -or, if every other resource failed, there still lay a grand constitutional writ of error, in behalf of the people, from the decision of one court to the wisdom of the whole legislature. Every one of these remedies has been succesfively attempted. The people performed their part with dignity, spirit, and perseverance. For many months his Majesty heard nothing from his people but the language of complaint and refentment; -unhappily for this country, it was the daily triumph of his courtiers that he heard it with an indifference approaching to contempt.

THE house of commons having assumed a power unknown to the constitution, were determined

mine flanc in its a pre mani think are a instan ed by To fi oblig eftab they of tr rule e tion, tram wond gulat regar began

> the ho inftan refufa them,

with

furpr

if-

ne

fe

of

u-

2

u-

he

en

Ir.

en

r-

t;

ill

e-

ne

e.

ef-

ir

ce.

ng

nt

y, he

to

2

er-

mined not merely to support it in the fingle instance in question, but to maintain the doctrine in its utmost extent, and to establish the fact as a precedent in law, to be applied in whatever manner his Majesty's servants should hereafter think fit. Their proceedings upon this occasion are a strong proof that a decision, in the first instance illegal and unjust, can only be supported by a continuation of falsehood and injustice. To support their former resolutions, they were obliged to violate some of the best known and established rules of the house. In one instance they went so far as to declare, in open defiance of truth and common fense, that it was not the rule of the house to divide a complicated question, at the request of a member \*. But after trampling upon the laws of the land, it was not wonderful that they should treat the private regulations of their own affembly with equal difregard. The speaker, being young in office. began with pretended ignorance, and ended with deciding for the ministry. We were not furprized at the decision; but he hesitated and

blushed

<sup>\*</sup> This extravagant refolution appears in the Votes of the house; but, in the minutes of the committees, the instances of resolutions contrary to law and truth, or of refusals to acknowledge law and truth when proposed to them, are innumerable.

blushed at his own baseness, and every man was astonished \*.

The interest of the public was vigorously supported in the house of lords. Their right to defend the constitution against an incroachment of the other estates, and the necessity of exerting it at this period, was urged to them with every argument, that could be supposed to influence the heart or the understanding. But it soon appeared, that they had already taken their part, and were determined to support the house of commons, not only at the expence of truth and decency, but even by a surrender of their own most important rights. Instead of performing that duty which the constitution expected from them, in return for the dignity and independence of their station, in return for the heredi-

was cc h ce he cc W cc ti chec the ! the g for b into cifio trava ever toth flavi inter they A co dicto cani

We

hard

with

V

tary

the i

eftat

and

tion

<sup>\*</sup> When the King first made it a measure of his government to destroy Mr. Wilkes, and when for this purpose it was necessary to run down privilege, Sir Fletcher Norton, with his usual prostituted effrontery, assured the house of commons, that he should regard one of their votes, no more than a resolution of so many drunken porters. This is the very Lawyer, whom Ben Johnson describes in the following lines:

<sup>&</sup>quot;Gives forked counfel; takes provoking gold,

<sup>&</sup>quot; On either hand, and puts it up.

<sup>&</sup>quot;So wife, fo grave, of fo perplex'd a tongue,

<sup>&</sup>quot; And loud withal, that would not wag, nor fcarce

<sup>&</sup>quot;Lie still without a fee,"

tary share it has given them in the legislature,

vas up-

to ent ng ery

ice ip-

rt, of nd

wn ng om

nli-

gohis Sir

ry, ne ny en

di.

e

ry

the majority of them made common cause with the other house in oppressing the people, and establishing another doctrine as false in itself, and if possible more pernicious to the constitution, than that on which the Middlesex election By refolving, "that they was determined. " had no right to impeach a judgment of the " house of commons in any case whatsoever, " where that house has a competent jurisdic-"tion," they in effect gave up that constitutional check and reciprocal controul of one branch of the legislature over the other, which is perhaps the greatest and most important object provided for by the division of the whole legislative power into three estates; and now, let the judicial decisions of the house of commons be ever so extravagant, let their declarations of the law be ever so flagrantly false, arbitrary, and oppressive to the subject, the house of lords have imposed a flavish filence upon themselves;-they cannot interpose,-they cannot protect the subject,they cannot defend the laws of their country. A concession so extraordinary in itself, so contradictory to the principles of their own institution. cannot but alarm the most unsuspecting mind. We may well conclude, that the Lords would hardly have yielded so much to the other house, without the certainty of a compensation, which Vol. II. can

can only be made to them at the expence of the people \*. The arbitrary power they have affumed of imposing fines and committing, during pleasure, will now be exercised in its full extent. The house of commons are too much in their debt to question or interrupt their proceedings. The crown too, we may be well affured, will lofe nothing in this new distribution of power. After declaring that to petition for a diffolution of parliament is irreconcileable with the principles of the constitution, his Majesty has reason to expect that some extraordinary compliment will be returned to the Royal prerogative. The three branches of the legislature feem to treat their feparate rights and interests as the Roman Triumvirs did their friends. They reciprocally facrifice them to the animofities of each other, and establish a detestable union among themselves, upon the ruin of the laws and liberty of the commonwealth.

THROUGH the whole proceedings of the house of commons in this session, there is an apparent, a palpable consciousness of guilt,

which

which own and Muf that or o form firm The Hin rally inte imp in th wou the vile thei She and (tra fult

prin ous, no c

tho

mot

<sup>\*</sup> The man who refifts and overcomes this iniquitous power, assumed by the lords, must be supported by the whole people. We have the laws of our side, and want nothing but an intrepid leader. When such a man stands forth, let the nation look to it. It is not his cause, but our own.

he

af-

ur-

ull

ich

ro-

af-

ion

for

ith

fty

ary

ro-

ıre

efts

ds.

ofi-

ble

he

he

an

lt,

ous

int.

an

his

ch

which has prevented their daring to affert their own dignity, where it has been immediately and grossly attacked. In the course of Doctor Musgrave's examination, he said every thing that can be conceived mortifying to individuals, or offensive to the house. They voted his information frivolous, but they were awed by his firmness and integrity, and sunk under it . The terms, in which the fale of a patent to Mr. Hine were communicated to the public, naturally called for a parliamentary enquiry. The integrity of the house of commons was directly impeached; but they had not courage to move in their own vindication, because the enquiry would have been fatal to Colonel Burgoyne, and the Duke of Grafton. When Sir George Savile branded them with the name of traitors to their constituents, when the Lord Mayor, the Sheriffs, and Mr. Trecothick, expressly avowed and maintained every part of the city remonstrance, why did they tamely submit to be infulted? Why did they not immediately expel those refractory members? Conscious of the motives, on which they had acted, they prudently preferred infamy to danger, and were

<sup>\*</sup> The examination of this firm, honest man, is printed for Almon. The reader will find it a most curious, and most interesting tract. Doctor Musgrave, with no other support but truth, and his own firmness, resisted, and overcame the whole house of commons.

better prepared to meet the contempt, than to rouze the indignation of the whole people. Had they expelled those five members, the confequences of the new doctrine of incapacitation would have come immediately home to every man. The truth of it would then have been fairly tried, without any reference to Mr. Wilkes's private character, or the dignity of the house, or the obstinacy of one particular county. These topics, I know have had their weight with men, who affecting a character of moderation, in reality confult nothing but their own immediate ease; -who are weak enough to acquiesee under a flagrant violation of the laws. when it does not directly touch themselves, and care not what injustice is practifed upon a man, whose moral character they piously think themfelves obliged to condemn. In any other circumstances, the house of commons must have forfeited all credit and dignity, if after fuch gross provocation, they had permitted those five gentlemen to fit any longer among them. We should then have seen and felt the operation of a precedent, which is represented to be perfectly barren and harmless. But there is a set of men in this country, whose understandings measure the violation of law, by the magnitude of the instance, not by the important consequences, which flow directly from the principle, and the minister,

main quid Har mer who wou man probat t

of t

W fion. the The liam dom ther that tants have derat were have They ciouf is no

tea d

to

le.

m-

on

ry

en

Ir.

he

ty.

ht

vn

IC+

IS,

nd

n,

n-

ir-

ve

ch

ve

Ve

a

ly

en

ire

he

es,

he

· T,

minister, I presume, did not think it safe to quicken their apprehensions too soon. Had Mr. Hampden reasoned and acted like the moderate men of these days, instead of hazarding his whole fortune in a law-suit with the crown, he would have quietly paid the twenty shillings demanded of him,—the Stuart samily would probably have continued upon the throne, and, at this moment, the imposition of ship-money would have been an acknowledged prerogative of the crown.

WHAT then has been the business of the selfion, after voting the supplies, and confirming the determination of the Middlesex election? The extraordinary prorogation of the Irish parliament, and the just discontents of that kingdom, have been passed by without notice. Neither the general fituation of our Colonies, nor that particular diffress which forced the inhabitants of Boston to take up arms in their defence, have been thought worthy of a moment's confideration. In the repeal of those acts, which were most offensive to America, the parliament. have done every thing, but remove the offence. They have relinquished the revenue, but judiciously taken care to preserve the contention. It is not pretended that the continuation of the tea duty is to produce any direct benefit whatfo-

C 3

ever .

ever to the mother country. What is it then but an odious, unprofitable exertion of a speculative right, and fixing a badge of slavery upon the Americans, without service to their masters? But it has pleased God to give us a ministry and a parliament, who are neither to be persuaded by argument, nor instructed by experience.

LORD North, I presume, will not claim an extraordinary merit from any thing he has done this year in the improvement or application of the revenue. A great operation, directed to an important object, though it should fail of fuccess, marks the genius and elevates the character of a minister. A poor contracted understanding deals in little schemes, which difhonour him if they fail, and do him no credit when they succeed. Lord North had fortunately the means in his possession of reducing all the four per cents at once. The failure of his first enterprize in finance is not half so disgraceful to his reputation as a minister, as the enterprize itself is injurious to the public. Instead of striking one decifive blow, which would have cleared the market at once, upon terms proportioned to the price of the four per cents fix weeks ago, he has tampered with a pitiful portion of a commodity, which ought never to have

been tice forn to f war fo tl mul with The valu to fi his ] to m per the any

to corogar pora dopt the interesting different has a

cann

veri

n

3

y

n

-

il

e

**[-**

it -

e

ft

ul

r-

id

7C

r-

X

i-

ve

n

been touched but in gross ;----he has given notice to the holders of that stock, of a defign formed by government to prevail upon them to furrender it by degrees, confequently has warned them to hold up and inhance the price; fo that the plan of reducing the four per cents must either be dropped entirely, or continued with an increasing disadvantage to the public. The minister's fagacity has served to raise the value of the thing he means to purchase, and to fink that of the three per cents, which it is his purpose to sell. In effect, he has contrived to make it the interest of the proprietor of four per cents to fell out and buy three per cents in the market, rather than subscribe his stock upon any terms, that can possibly be offered by government.

The state of the nation leads us naturally to consider the situation of the King. The prorogation of parliament has the effect of a temporary dissolution. The odium of measures adopted by the collective body sits lightly upon the separate members, who composed it. They retire into summer quarters, and rest from the disgraceful labours of the campaign. But as for the Sovereign, it is not so with bim. He has a permanent existence in this country; He cannot withdraw himself from the complaints,

the discontents, the reproaches of his subjects. They pursue him to his retirement, and invade his domestic happiness, when no address can be obtained from an obsequious parliament to encourage or confole him. In other times, the interest of the King and people of England was, as it ought to be, entirely the fame. A new system has not only been adopted in fact. but professed upon principle. Ministers are no longer the public fervants of the state, but the private domestics of the Sovereign. \* One particular class of men are permitted to call themselves the King's friends, as if the body of the people were the King's enemies; or as if his Majesty looked for a resource or consolation, in the attachment of a few favourites, against the general contempt and detestation of his sub-Edward, and Richard the second, made the same distinction between the collective body of the people, and a contemptible party who furrounded the throne. The event of their mistaken conduct might have been a warning to their successors. Yet the errors of those princes were not without excuse. They had as many

Davenant.

falle

reig

fedu

ligio

fure

fuit

torr

dan

mat

thin

the

Th

vul

are

thai

in

virt

mil

cuf

ous

froi

one

nei

his this

nat

gin

ftai

the

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;An ignorant, mercenary, and fervile crew; u"nanimous in evil, diligent in mischief, variable in
"principles, constant to flattery, talkers for liberty, but
"flaves to power;—stiling themselves the court party,
and the prince's only friends."

ts.

de

be

nhe

nd

A

t,

10

r-

1-

is

ı,

-

e

0

r

false friends, as our present gracious Sovereign, and infinitely greater temptations to feduce them. They were neither fober, religious, nor demure. Intoxicated with pleafure, they wasted their inheritance in purfuit of it. Their lives were like a rapid torrent, brilliant in prospect, though useless or dangerous in its course. In the dull, inanimated existence of other princes, we see nothing but a fickly, flagnant water, which taints the atmosphere without fertilizing the foil. The morality of a King is not to be measured by vulgar rules. His fituation is fingular. There are faults which do him honour, and virtues that difgrace him. A faultless, infipid equality in his character, is neither capable of vice nor virtue in the extreme; but it secures his submission to those persons, whom he has been accustomed to respect, and makes him a dangerous instrument of their ambition. Secluded from the world, attached from his infancy to one fet of persons, and one set of ideas, he can neither open his heart to new connexions, nor his mind to better information. A character of this fort is the foil fittest to produce that obstinate bigotry in politics and religion, which begins with a meritorious facrifice of the understanding, and finally conducts the monarch and the martyr to the block.

C 5

ATE

Ar any other period, I doubt not, the scandalous disorders, which have been introduced into the government of all the dependencies in the Empire, would have rouzed the attention of the public. The odious abuse and prostitution of the prerogative at home, the unconstitutional employment of the military—the arbitrary fines and commitments by the house of lords, and court of king's bench ;-the mercy of a chaste and pious Prince extended chearfully to a wilful murderer, because that murderer is the brother of a common proftitute\*, would, I think, at any other time, have excited universal indignation. But the daring attack upon the constitution, in the Middlesex election, makes us callous and indifferent to inferior grievances. No man regards an eruption upon the furface, when the noble parts are invaded, and he feels a mortification approaching to his heart. The free election of our representatives in parliament comprehends, because it is, the source and fecurity of every right and privilege of the English nation. The ministry have realised the compendious ideas of Caligula. They know that the liberty, the laws, and property of an Englishman have in truth but one neck, and that to violate the freedom of election strikes deeply at them all. IUNIUS.

\* Miss Kennedy.

LET-

M

Grawar The tion ble ples

lega

wor emo to a fubi the

prec

—to

## LETTER XL.

## TO LORD NORTH.

MY LORD,

ned

in of

n

1i-

of

y

y

I

ıl

C

\$

1

22. Aug. 1770.

MR. Luttrell's services were the chief support and ornament of the Duke of Grafton's administration. The honour of rewarding them was reserved for your Lordship. The Duke, it seems, had contracted an obligation he was ashamed to acknowledge, and unable to acquit. You, my Lord, had no seruples. You accepted the succession with all its incumbrances, and have paid Mr. Luttrell his legacy, at the hazard of ruining the estate.

When this accomplished youth declared himself the champion of government, the world was busy in enquiring what honours or emoluments could be a sufficient recompense. to a young man of his rank and fortune, for submitting to mark his entrance into life with the universal contempt and detestation of his country.—His noble father had not been so precipitate.—To vacate his seat in parliament;—to intrude upon a country in which he had no interest or connexion;—to possess himself of another

nother man's right, and to maintain it in defiance of public shame as well as justice, bespoke a degree of zeal or of depravity, which all the favour of a pious Prince could hardly requite. I protest, my Lord, there is in this young man's conduct, a strain of prostitution, which, for its singularity, I cannot but admire. He has discovered a new line in the human character; he has degraded even the name of Luttrell, and gratisted his father's most sanguine expectations.

THE Duke of Grafton, with every possible disposition to patronise this kind of merit, was contented with pronouncing Colonel Luttrell's panegyric. The gallant spirit, the disinterested zeal of the young adventurer, were ecchoed through the house of lords. His Grace repeatedly pledged himself to the house, as an evidence of the purity of his field Mr. Luttrell's intentions;—that he had engaged without any prospect of personal benefit, and that the idea of compensation would mortally offend him \*. The noble Duke could hardly be in earnest; but he had lately quitted his employment, and began to think it necessary to take some care of his reputation. At that very moment the Irish

nego-

neg tho this app to t was his to a Kin the ferm Duk it m its fo the The upon us ho

the pural in fign to govern jutant a penfiname poor openfiname walue made whom

Adjuta

the arr

pen a

<sup>\*</sup> He now fays that his great object is the rank of Colonel, and that he will have it.

s

c

13

's

d

d

t-

i-

's

17

ea

\*. ut

e-

of

ish

of

0-

negociation was probably begun .-- Come forward. thou worthy representative of Lord Bute, and tell this infulted country, who advised the King to appoint Mr. Luttrell ADJUTANT-GENERAL to the army in Ireland. By what management was Colonel Cuninghame prevailed on to refign his employment, and the obsequious Gisborne to accept of a pension for the government of Kinfale +? Was it an original flipulation with the Princess of Wales, or does he owe his preferment to your Lordship's partiality, or to the Duke of Bedford's friendship? My Lord though it may not be possible to trace this measure to its fource, we can follow the stream, and warn the country of its approaching destruction. The English nation must be rouzed, and put upon its guard. Mr. Luttrell has already shewn us how far he may be trusted, whenever an open attack is to be made upon the liberties of this

<sup>†</sup> This infamous transaction ought to be explained to the public. Colonel Gisborne was quarter-master-general in Ireland. Lord Townshend persuades him to resign to a Scotch officer, one Fraser, and gives him the government of Kinsale.—Colonel Cuninghame was Adjutant-general in Ireland. Lord Townshend offers him a pension, to induce him to resign to Luttrell. Cuninghame treats the offer with contempt. What's to be done? poor Gisborne must move once more.—He accepts of a pension of 500l. a year, until a government of greater value shall become vacant. Colonel Cuninghame is made governor of Kinsale; and Luttrell, at last, for whom the whole machinery is put in motion, becomes Adjutant-general, and in effect takes the command of the army in Ireland.

country. I do not doubt that there is a deliberate plan formed. Your Lordship best knows by whom ;-the corruption of the legislative body on this fide-a military force on the otherand then, Farewell to England! It is impeffible that any minister shall dare to advise the King to place fuch a man as Luttrell in the confidential post of Adjutant-general, if there were not fome fecret purpose in view, which only such a man as Luttrell is fit to promote. The infult offered to the army in general is as gross as the outrage intended to the people of England. What ! Lieutenant-colonel Luttrell, Adjutantgeneral of an army of fixteen thousand men! one would think his Majesty's campaigns at Blackheath and Wimbledon might have taught him better. I cannot help wishing General Harvey joy of a colleague, who does fo much honour to the employment .- But, my Lord, this measure is too daring to pass unnoticed, too dangerous to be received with indifference or fubmission. You shall not have time to new-model the Irish army. They will not submit to be garbled by Colonel Luttrell. As a mischief to the English constitution, (for he is not worth the name of enemy) they already detest him. As a boy, impudently thrust over their heads, they affure y will receive him with indignation and contempt. particular \_\_\_\_\_As for you, my Lord, who perhaps are no abstained more

mo Lo of 1 on I give

fall :

will att mand confide once fo this ad importa called u

You

more than the blind, unhappy instrument of Lord Bute and her Royal Highness the Princess of Wales, be affured that you shall be called upon to answer for the advice, which has been given, and either discover your accomplices, or fall a facrifice to their fecurity.

JUNIUS.

## LETTER XLI.

TO THE RIGHT HONOURABLE LORD MANSFIELD.

MY LORD,

)-

le

ıg n-

ot a ult

he nd.

nt-

n!

at ght

eral

uch

this lan-

Sub-

odel

o be f to

the

A5 they

more

14. Nov. 1770.

HE appearance of this letter will attract the curiofity of the public, and command even your Lordship's attention. I am confiderably in your debt, and shall endeavour, once for all, to balance the account. this address, my Lord, as a prologue to more important scenes, in which you will probably be called upon to act or fuffer.

You will not question my veracity, when I affure you that it has not been owing to any empt. particular respect for your person that I have re no abstained from you so long. Besides the distress

and

and danger with which the press is threatened, when your lordship is party, and the party is to be judge, I consess I have been deterred by the difficulty of the task. Our language has no term of reproach, the mind has no idea of detestation, which has not already been happily applied to you, and exhausted.—Ample justice has been done by abler pens than mine to the separate merits of your life and character. Let it be my humble office to collect the scattered sweets, till their united virtue tortures the sense.

PERMIT me to begin with paying a just tribute to Scotch fincerity, wherever I find it. I own I am not apt to confide in the professions of gentlemen of that country, and when they fmile, I feel an involuntary emotion to guard myself against mischief. With this general opinion of an ancient nation, I always thought it much to your lordship's honour, that, in your earlier days, you were but little infected with the prudence of your country. You had some original attachments, which you took every proper opportunity to acknowledge. The liberal fpirit of youth prevailed over your native difcretion. Your zeal in the cause of an unhappy prince was expressed with the fincerity of wine, and

and I con in w hone whic birth The men ment begir his p that not ther i the 1 with grity migh friend ferted adher

> \* T Ravent his hav his kne

father

**fuppo**i

This cirbrother

d.

to

he

no

de-

ice

the

Let

red

the

tri-

ions

hey

pard

al o-

ught

your

the

oripro-

beral

dif-

appy

vine,

and

and some of the solemnities of religion.\* This I conceive, is the most amiable point of view. in which your character has appeared. Like an honest man, you took that part in politics, which might have been expected from your birth, education, country and connexions. There was fomething generous in your attachment to the banished house of Stuart. We lament the mistakes of a good man, and do not begin to detest him until he affects to renounce his principles. Why did you not adhere to that loyalty you once professed? Why did you not follow the example of your worthy brother ? ‡ With him, vou might have shared in the honour of the Pretender's confidencewith him, you might have preferved the integrity of your character, and England, I think, might have spared you without regret. Your friends will fay, perhaps, that altho' you deferted the fortune of your liege Lord, you have adhered firmly to the principles which drove his father from the throne;—that without openly supporting the person, you have done essential

fervice

<sup>\*</sup> This man was always a rank Jacobite. Lord Ravensworth produced the most satisfactory Evidence of his having frequently drank the Pretender's health upon his knees.

<sup>†</sup> CONFIDENTIAL Secretary to the late Pretender. This circumstance confirmed the friendship between the brothers.

fervice to the cause, and consoled yourself for the loss of a favourite family by reviving and establishing the maxims of their government. This is the way, in which a Scotchman's underflanding corrects the error of his heart .- My lord, I acknowledge the truth of the defence, and can trace it through all your conduct. I fee through your whole life, one uniform plan to enlarge the power of the crown, at the expence of the liberty of the subject. To this object, your thoughts, words and actions have been constantly directed. In contempt or ignorance of the common law of England, you have made it your study to introduce into the court, where you prefide, maxims of jurisprudence unknown to Englishmen. The Roman code, the law of nations, and the opinion of foreign civilians, are your perpetual theme ;-but whever heard you mention Magna Charta or the Bill of Rights with approbation or respect? By such treacherous arts, the noble fimplicity and free spirit of our Saxon laws were first corrupted. The Norman conquest was not compleat, until Norman lawyers had introduced their laws, and reduced flavery to a fystem.—This one leading principle directs your interpretation of the laws, and accounts for your treatment of juries. It is not in political questions only (for there the courtier might be forgiven) but let the cause be

wh the ry, of th live for o peer ing dam qual a tri confi give than temp mean upon declar confil all rai honou than t

fame be cifions ought law.

ent liv

what

70

b

t.

1-

1y

ce,

fee

to

nce

et,

een

nce

ade

ere

nwo

w of

ans,

eard

1 of

fuch

free

pted.

until, and

ding

laws,

s. It

e the

ise be

what

what it may, your understanding is equally on the rack, either to contract the power of the jury, or to mislead their judgment. For the truth of this affertion, I appeal to the doctrine you delivered in Lord Grosvenor's cause. An action for criminal conversation being brought by a peer against a prince of the blood, you were daring enough to tell the jury that, in fixing the damages, they were to pay no regard to the quality or fortune of the parties ;-that it was a trial between A. and B.—that they were to consider the offence in a moral light only, and give no greater damages to a peer of the realm, than to the meanest mechanic. I shall not attempt to refute a doctrine, which, if it was meant for law, carries falshood and absurdity upon the face of it; but, if it was, meant for a declaration of your political creed, is clear and Under an arbitrary government, confistent. all ranks and distinctions are confounded. honour of a nobleman is no more confidered than the reputation of a peasant, for, with different liveries, they are equally flaves.

EVEN in matters of private property, we see the same bias and inclination to depart from the decisions of your predecessors, which you certainly ought to receive as evidence of the common law. Instead of those certain, positive rules, by which

which the judgment of a court of law should invariably be determined, you have fondly introduced your own unfettled notions of equity and substantial justice. Decisions given upon such principles do not alarm the public fo much as they ought, because the consequence and tendency of each particular instance, is not obferved or regarded. In the mean time the practice gains ground; the court of King's Bench becomes a court of equity, and the judge, instead of consulting strictly the law of the land, refers only to the wisdom of the court, and to the purity of his own conscience. The name of Mr. Justice Yates, will naturally revive in your mind some of those emotions of fear and detestation, with which you always beheld him. That great lawyer, that honest man, saw your whole conduct in the light that I do. After years of ineffectual refistance to the pernicious principles introduced by your Lordship, and uniformly supported by your bumble friends upon the bench, he determined, to quit a court, whose proceedings and decisions he could neither affent to with honour, nor oppose with fuccess.

\* THE injustice done to an individual is

**fometimes** 

Ton apt prin Prin kne a pa efca dign a gre wher have incon confe two y an E had t my L charac timid, fions c creatu conten will ela ther En gatorie

† Bri fubmitti until the ferious c

<sup>\*</sup> THE oppression of an obscure individual gave birth to the samous Habeas Corpus Act of 31. Car. 2. which is frequently considered as another Magna Charta of the Kingdom.

Blackstone, 3. 135.

Tometimes of service to the public. Facts are apt to alarm us more than the most dangerous principles. The fufferings and firmness of a Printer have roused the public attention. You knew and felt that your conduct would not bear a parliamentary inquiry, and you hoped to escape it by the meanest the basest sacrifice of dignity and confiftency, that ever was made by a great magistrate. Where was your firmness, where was that vindictive spirit of which we have feen fo many examples, when a man, fo inconfiderable as Bingley, could force you to confess, in the face of this country, that, for two years together, you had illegally deprived an English subject of his liberty, and that he had triumphed over you at last? Yet I own, my Lord, that your's is not an uncommon character. Women, and men like women, are timid, vindictive, and irresolute. Their pasfions counteract each other, and make the fame creature, at one moment hateful, at another contemptible. I fancy, my Lord, some time will elapse before you venture to commit another Englishman for refusing to answ r interrogatories +.

THE

ond

as nobthe

ge, nd, d to

e of our de-

im.

After ious d u-

upon ourt,

neiwith

al is

which of the

times

<sup>†</sup> BINGLEY was committed for contempt in not submitting to be examined: He lay in prison two years, until the crown thought the matter might occasion some serious complaint, and therefore he was let out, in the same

THE doctrine you have constantly delivered, in cases of libel, is another powerful evidence of a fettled plan to contract the legal power of juries, and to draw questions, inseparable from fact, within the arbitrium of the court. Here, my Lord, you have fortune of your fide. When you invade the province of the jury, in matter of libel, you, in effect, attack the liberty of the press, and with a fingle stroke, wound two of your greatest enemies. - In some instances you have succeeded, because jurymen are too often ignorant of their own rights, and too apt to be awed by the authority of a chief justice. In other criminal profecutions, the malice of the defign is confessedly as much the subject of consideration to a jury, as the certainty of the fact. If a different doctrine prevails in the case of libels, why should it not extend to all criminal cases ?- Why not to capital offences? I see no reason (and I dare say you will agree with me that there is no good one) why the life of the subject should be better protected against you, than his liberty or property Why should you enjoy the full power of pillory,

same contumelious state he had been put in, and with all his fins about him, unannointed and unannealed .-There was much coquetry between the Court and the \*The Attorney General, about who should undergo the ridiciannable cule of letting him escape.—Vide another Letter to AL-Hell, MON, p. 189.

fine with Lord I car have whic

Bu (and of the the ve men, prono when, their which guilttions ?. you co useless greater for enla extendi o every

an Engl

d.

ce

of

om

re,

de.

er-

und

tan-

are

1 too

jus-

ma-

fub-

ainty

Is in

nd to

offen-

will

) why

r pro-

perty.

illory

nd with aled.—

fine,

fine, and imprisonment, and not be indulged with hanging or transportation? With your Lordship's fertile genius and merciful disposition, I can conceive such an exercise of the power you have, as could hardly be aggravated by that which you have not.

Bur, my Lord, fince you have laboured, (and not unsuccessfully) to destroy the substance of the trial, why would you fuffer the form of the verdict to remain? Why force twelve honest men, in palpable violation of their oaths, to pronounce their fellow-subject a guilty man, when, almost at the same moment, you forbid their enquiring into the only circumstance, which in the eye of law and reason, constitutes guilt-the malignity or innocence of his intentions?-But I understand your Lordship.-If you could fucceed in making the trial by jury useless and ridiculous, you might then with greater safety introduce a bill into parliament for enlarging the jurisdiction of the court, and extending your favourite trial by interrogatories to every question, in which the life or lib by 1 an Englishman is concerned. \*

Your

and the \* The philosophical poet, doth notably describe the he ridi damnable and damned proceedings of the Judge of to AL Hell,

<sup>&</sup>quot; Gnoffius

Your charge to the jury, in the profecution against Almon and Woodfall, contradicts the highest legal authorities, as well as the plainest dictates of reason. In Miller's cause, and still more expressly in that of Baldwin, you have proceeded a step farther, and grossly contradicted yourself .- You may know perhaps, though! do not mean to infult you by an appeal to your experience, that the language of truth is uni-To depart from it fafely, form and confistent. requires memory and discretion. In the two last trials, your charge to the jury began, a usual, with affuring them that they had nothing to do with the law, -that they were to find the bare fact, and not concern themselves about the legal inferences drawn from it, or the degree of the defendant's guilt -Thus far you wer confistent with your former practice. - But how will you account for the conclusion? You told the jury that, "if, after all, they would tak " upon themselves to determine the law, the

First he punisheth, and then he heareth: and last compelleth to confess, and makes and mars laws at he pleasure; like as the Centurion, in the holy history dito St. Paul, for the text saith, "Centurio apprehent" Paulum justit, & se catenis eligari, et tunc INTER "ROGABAT, quis suisset, & quid secisse;" but go Judges and Justices abhor these Courses. Coke Inst. 55.

malic tions the ! diffic dicial of the decifi power power my L distin inforn of fair Lordfl other o have n \* Di maintai

the deciafferted

court w

to enter

Voi

66 m

es de

er pe

you

case of

juriso

<sup>&</sup>quot;Gnossius hæc Rhadamanthus habet durissima regna

<sup>&</sup>quot;Castigatque, auditque dolos, subigitque fateri."

tion

the

inest

Hill

have

dia.

ighl

your

uni

fely,

two

n, a

thing

d the

about

legre

wer

t how

u tol

l tak

, the

regna

d laft

ory di

NTE

ut goo

Coke

· migl

law

er might do it, but they must be very sure that they determined according to law, for it touched "their consciences, and they acted at their " peril."-If I understand your first proposition, you meant to affirm, that the jury were not . competent judges of the law in the criminal case of a libel-that it did not fall within their jurisdiction; and that, with respect to them, the malice or innocence of the defendant's intentions would be a question coram non judice .- But the fecond proposition clears away your own difficulties, and restores the jury to all their judicial capacities. \*You make the competence of the court to depend upon the legality of the decision. In the first instance you deny the power absolutely. In the second, you admit the power, provided it be legally exercised. Now. my Lord, without pretending to reconcile the distinctions of Westminster-hall with the simple information of common-fense, or the integrity of fair argument, I shall be understood by your Lordship, when I affert that, if a jury or any other court of judicature (for jurors are judges) have no right to entertain a cause, or question of

Vol. II. D

<sup>\*</sup> Directly the reverse of the doctrine he constantly maintained in the house of lords and elsewhere, upon the decision of the Middlesex election. He invariably afferted that the decision must be legal, because the court was competent; and never could be prevailed on to enter farther into the question.

daw, it fignifies nothing whether their decision be or be not according to law. Their decision is in itself a mere nullity: the parties are not bound to submit to it; and, if the jury run any risque of punishment, it is not for pronouncing a corrupt or illegal verdict, but for the illegality of meddling with a point, on which they have no legal authority to decide \*.

I CANNOT quit this subject without reminding your Lordship of the name of Mr. Benson. Without offering any legal objection, you ordered a special juryman to be set aside in a cause where the King was profecutor. The novelty of the fact required explanation. Will you condescend to tell the world by what law or custom you were authorifed to make a peremptory challenge of a juryman? The parties indeed have this power, and perhaps your Lordship, having accustomed yourself to unite the characters of judge and party, may claim it in virtue of the new capacity you have assumed, and profit by your own wrong. The time within which you might have been punished for this daring

atter no l

T are laws fuch didl min mat poir for, kept con of t of I wer Kin flitt able and of i field his pee

his

wer

Up

diff

<sup>\*</sup> These iniquitous prosecutions cost the best of princes hx thousand pounds, and ended in the total defeat and difgrace of the profecutors. In the course of one of them Judge Aslon had the unparallelled impudence to tell Mr. Morris (a gentleman of unquestionable honour and integrity, and who was then giving his evidence on oath) that he flould pay very little regard to any affidavit he Should make. attempt

fion

ion

not

ro-

the

ich

ıd-

n.

er-

use

lty

n-

m

al-

ed

P,

2-

r-

nd

ch

ıg

es id

of

tour

rit

30

attempt to pack a jury, is, I fear, elapsed; but no length of time shall erase the record of it.

THE mischiefs you have done this country, are not confined to your interpretation of the laws. You are a minister, my Lord, and as fuch, have long been confulted. Let us eandidly examine what use you have made of your ministerial influence. I will not descend to little matters, but come at once to those important points, on which your resolution was waited for, on which the expectation of your opinion kept a great part of the nation in suspence.-A constitutional question arises upon a declaration of the law of parliament, by which the freedom of Election, and the birthright of the fubject were supposed to have been invaded.-The King's fervants are accused of violating the constitution.—The nation is in a ferment.—The ablest men of all parties engage in the question, and exert their utmost abilities in the discussion of it.-What part has the honest Lord Mansfield acted? as an eminent judge of the law, his opinion would have been respected.—As a peer, he had a right to demand an audience of his Sovereign, and inform him that his ministers were pursuing unconstitutional measures. Upon other occasions, my Lord, you have no difficulty in finding your way into the closet. D 3 The

The pretended neutrality of belonging to no party, will not fave your reputation. In queftions merely political, an honest man may stand neuter. But the laws and constitution are the general property of the subject; not to defend is to relinquish; and who is there so senseless as to renounce his share in a common benefit. unless he hopes to profit by a new division of the spoil. As a lord of parliament, you were repeatedly called upon to condemn or defend the new law declared by the house of commons. You affected to have scruples, and every expedient was attempted to remove them .- The question was proposed and urged to you in a thousand different shapes .- Your prudence still supplied you with evasion; -your resolution was invincible. For my own part, I am not anxious to penetrate this folemn fecret. I care not to whose wisdom it is intrusted, nor how soon you carry it with you to your grave \*. You have betrayed your opinion by the very care you have taken to conceal it. It is not from Lord Mansfield that we expect any referve in declaring his real fentiments in favour of government, or in opposition to the people; nor is it difficult

to ac

hear

knov

-Y

tion

whic

with

take

you a

too v

Scoto

rous

you

your

**fpirit** 

the p

fter;

you l

office

fion,

a yea

have

\_T

houf

mida bad p

tiona

quish

a mi

<sup>\*</sup> He faid in the house of lords, that he believed he should carry his opinion with him to the grave. It was afterwards reported that he had intrusted it in special considence to the ingenious Duke of Cumberland.

10

f-

nd

ne

d

(s

t,

of

e

d

.

e

a

1

to account for the motions of a timid, dishonest heart, which neither has virtue enough to acknowledge truth, nor courage to contradict it. -Yet you continue to support an administration which you know is univerfally odious, and which, on fome occasions, you yourself speak of with contempt. You would fain be thought to take no share in government, while, in reality you are the main spring of the machine.-Here too we trace the little, prudential policy of a Scotchman.-Instead of acting that open, generous part, which becomes your rank and station, you meanly skulk into the closet and give your Sovereign such advice, as you have not spirit to avow or defend. You secretly ingross the power, while you decline the title of minifter; and though you dare not be Chancellor, you know how to fecure the emoluments of the office.—Are the feals to be for ever in commiffion, that you may enjoy five thousand pounds. a year ?- I beg pardon, my Lord ;-your fears have interposed at last, and forced you to resign. -The odium of continuing speaker of the house of lords, upon such terms, was too formidable to be refifted. What a multitude of bad passions are forced to submit to a constitutional infirmity! But though you have relinquished the falary, you still assume the rights of a minister.-Your conduct, it seems, must be defended D 3

defended in parliament.—For what other purpose is your wretched friend, that miserable serjeant, posted to the house of commons? Is it in the abilities of Mr. Leigh to defend the great Lord Manssield?—Or is he only the punch of the puppet-shew, to speak as he is prompted, by the CHIEF JUGGLER behind the curtain \*?

In public affairs, my Lord, cunning, let it be ever fo well wrought, will not conduct a man honourably through life. Like bad money, it may be current for a time, but it will foon be cried down. It cannot confift with a liberal spirit, though it be fometimes united with extraordinary qualifications. When I acknowledge your abilities, you may believe I am fincere. I feel for human nature, when I fee a man, fo gifted as you are, descend to such vile practise. -Yet do not fusser your vanity to console you too foon. Believe me, my good Lord, you are not admired in the fame degree, in which you are detefted. It is only the partiallity of your friends, that balances the defects of your heart with the superiority of your understanding .-No learned man, even among your own tribe, thinks you qualified to perfide in a court of

hope best, flouring are fu

comm

Juftin

pause wish tion. ons of to the security to the timpo posses prop

common

<sup>\*</sup> This paragraph gagged poor Leigh. I really am concerned for the man, and wish it were possible to open his mouth—He is a very pretty orator.

ur-

fer-

in

eat

of

by

be

an

it

be

pi-

or-

ge

I

fo

fe.

ou

re

u

ur

rt

e,

m

n

fuffinian, you might have made an incomparable Prætor.—It is remarkable enough, but I hope not ominous, that the laws you understand best, and the judges you effect to admire most, flourished in the decline of a great empire, and are supposed to have contributed to its fall.

HERE, my Lord, it may be proper for us to pause together.—It is not for my own sake that I wish you to consider the delicacy of your situation. Beware how you indulge the first emotions of your resentment. This paper is delivered to the world, and cannot be recalled. The perfecution of an innocent printer cannot alter sacts, nor resute arguments—Do not surnish me with farther materials against yourself.—An honest man, like the true religion, appeals to the understanding, or modestly consides in the internal evidence of his conscience. The imposter employs force instead of argument, imposes silence where he cannot convince, and propagates his character by the sword.

JUNIUS.

D4

LET-

## LETTER XLII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC AD-VERTISER.

SIR,

30. January, 1771.

IF we recollect in what manner the King's Friends have been constantly employed, we shall have no reason to be surprised at any condition of difgrace, to which the once-refpected name of Englishmen may be degraded. His Majesty has no cares, but such as concern the laws and conflitution of this country. his Royal breast there is no room left for resentment, no place for hostile sentiments against the natural enemies of his crown. The fystem of government is uniform.-Violence and oppreffion at home can only be supported by treachery and submission abroad. When the civil rights of the people are daringly invaded on one fide, what have we to expect, but that their political rights should be deserted and betrayed, in the same proportion, on the other? The plan of domestic policy, which has been invariably purfued, from the moment of his prefent Majesty's accession, engrosses all the attention of his fervants. They know that the fecurity of their places depends upon their maintaining, at any

hono are for The as the of it Egm than

out b

that

lingu

ject

They

any

even deep

his

ther be de

advit

facrit

the i

fonal

crow

any hazard, the secret system of the closet. A foreign war might embarrass, an unfavourable event might ruin the minister, and deseat the deep-laid scheme of policy, to which he and his associates owe their employments. Rather than suffer the execution of that scheme to be delayed or interrupted, the King has been advised to make a public surrender, a solemn facrifice, in the sace of all Europe, not only of the interests of his subjects, but of his own personal reputation, and of the dignity of that crown, which his predecessors have worn with honour. These are strong terms, Sir, but they are supported by sact and argument.

D-

71.

ner

oy.

ny

ef-

ed.

rn

In nt-

nft

m

p-

a.

vil

on

eir

d,

he

i-

nt

on

of

at

15

THE King of Great-Britain had been for some years in possession of an island, to which, as the ministry themselves have repeatedly afferted, the Spaniards had no claim of right. The importance of the place is not in question. If it were, a better judgment might be formed of it from the opinion of Lord Anson and Lord Egmont, and from the anxiety of the Spaniards, than from any fallacious infinuations thrown out by men, whose interest it is to undervalue that property, which they are determined to relinquish. The pretensions of Spain were a subject of negotiation between the two courts. They had been discussed, but not admitted.

D 5

The

The King of Spain, in these circumstances. bids adieu to amicable negotiation, and appeals directly to the fword. The expedition against Port Egmont does not appear to have been a fudden ill-concerted enterprise. It seems to have been conducted not only with the usual military precautions, but in all the forms and ceremonies of war. A frigate was first employed to examine the strength of the place. A message was then fent, demanding immediate possession, in the Catholic King's name, and ordering our people to depart. At last a military force appears, and compels the garrison to furrender. A formal capitulation ensues, and his Majesty's ship, which might at least have been permitted to bring home his troops immediately, is detained in port twenty days, and her rudder forcibly taken away. This train of facts carries no appearance of the rashness or violence of a Spanish governor. On the contrary, the whole plan feems to have been formed and executed, in consequence of deliberate orders, and a regular instruction from the Spanish court. Bucarelli is not a pirate, nor has he been treated as fuch by those who employed him. I feel for the honour of a gentleman, when I affirm that our King owes him a fignal reparation. Where will the humiliation of this country end? A King of Great Britain, not contented with placing

place vern justing reput character a country the has equal of reput is country the has equal to the has equa

trod of 1 mez tion impferie con ed, Eng fper pre

wei

ferv

es.

als

nst

1 a

ave

ary

10-

to

age

on,

ng

rce

er. y's

ed n-

oly

p-

a-

ole

d,

e-

Ir.

at-

el

m

17

th

ıg

placing himself upon a level with a Spanish governor, descends so low as to do a notorious injustice to that governor. As a salvo for his own reputation, he has been advised to traduce the character of a brave officer, and to treat him as a common robber, when he knew with certainty that Mr. Bucarelli had acted in obedience to his orders, and had done no more than his duty. Thus it happens in private life, with a man who has no spirit nor sense of honour.—One of his equals orders a servant to strike him.—Instead of returning the blow to the master, his courage is contented with throwing an aspersion, equally salse and public, upon the character of the servant.

This short recapitulation was necessary to introduce the consideration of his Majesty's speech, of 13. November, 1770, and the subsequent measures of government. The excessive caution, with which the speech was drawn up, had impressed upon me an early conviction, that no serious resentment was thought of, and that the conclusion of the business, whenever it happened, must, in some degree, be dishonourable to England. There appears through the whole speech a guard and reserve in the choice of expression, which shews how careful the ministry were not to embarrass their suture projects by

any firm or sprited declaration from the throne. When all hopes of peace are loft, his Majesty tells his parliament, that he is preparing, -not for barbarous war, but (with all his mother's foftness,) for a different Situation .- An open hoftility, authorised by the Catholic King, is called an act of a governor. This act, to avoid the mention of a regular fiege and furrender, passes under the piratical description of seizing by force; and the thing taken is described, not as a part of the King's territory or proper dominion, but merely as a possession, a word expressly chosen in contradiftinction to, and exclusion of the idea of right, and to prepare us for a future furrender both of the right and of the possession. Yet this speech, Sir, cautious and equivocal as it is, cannot, by any fophistry, be accommodated to the measures, which have fince been adopted. It feemed to promife, that whatever might be given up by fecret stipulation, some care would be taken to fave appearances to the public. The event shews us that to depart, in the minutest article, from the nicity and strictness of punctilio, is as dangerous to national honour, as to The woman, who admits of one female virtue. familiarity, feldom, knows where to stop, or what to refuse; and when the counsels of a great country give way in a fingle instance,when they once are inclined to submission, eve-

The r
fpeech
accede

The the rig Spania fession, ferving portuni

of fatis do myfer have b tember refufed himfelf fpeaks of his a

TH:

THE tinue my reparation be relies tailed,

Restitut

ry

The ministry themselves, when they framed the speech, did not foresee, that they should ever accede to such an accommodation, as they have since advised their master to accept of.

t

e

S

it

È

n

a -

t

,

0

e'd

e

-

0

e

r

a

THE King says, The bonour of my crown and the rights of my people are deeply affected. The Spaniard, in his reply, says, I give you back posession, but I adhere to my claim of prior right, reserving the affertion of it for a more favourable opportunity.

THE speech says, I made an immediate demand of satisfaction, and, if that fails, I am prepared to do myself justice. This immediate demand must have been sent to Madrid on the 12th of September, or in a few days after. It was certainly refused, or evaded, and the King has not done himself justice. — When the first magistrate speaks to the nation, some care should be taken of his apparent veracity.

THE speech proceeds to say, I shall not discontinue my preparations until I have received proper reparations for the injury. If this assurance may be relied on, what an enormous expence is entailed, sine die, upon this unhappy country! Restitution of a possession, and reparation of an injury

injury are as different in substance, as they are in language. The very act of restitution may contain, as in this instance it palpably does, a shameful aggravation of the injury. A man of spirit does not measure the degree of an injury by the mere positive damage he has sustained. He considers the principle on which it is sounded; he resents the superiority afferted over him; and rejects with indignation the claim of right, which his adversary endeavours to establish, and would force him to acknowledge.

THE motives, on which the Catholic King makes restitution, are, if possible, more infolent and difgraceful to our Sovereign, than even the declaratory condition annexed to it. After taking four months to confider, whether the expedition was undertaken by his own orders or not, he condescends to disavow the enterprize, and to restore the island,-not from any regard to justice; --- not from any regard he bears to his Britannic Majesty, but merely from the persuasion, in which he is, of the pacific fentiments of the King of Great Britain .- At this rate, if our King had discovered the spirit of a man, he had made a peremptory demand of fatisfaction, the King of Spain would have given him a peremptory refusal. But why this unseasonable, this ridiculous mention of

the Ha agg out is i hoft a v

has to position been if M ferved restitution and to continue to co

ed, the cularly himfel aggrave Medite aversion fairs are with his folicit.

are

nay

, a of

ury ned.

nd-

im;

ght, and

King

info-

even

Af-

r the

rders

nter-

any

erely

pacific

t this

of a

mand

have

why

ion of

the

the King of Great Britain's pacific intentions? Have they ever been in question? Was He the aggressor? Does he attack foreign powers without provocation? Does he even resist, when he is insulted? No, Sir, if any ideas of strife or hostility have entered his royal mind, they have a very different direction. The enemies of England have nothing to fear from them.

AFTER all, Sir, to what kind of disavowal has the King of Spain at last consented? Supposing it made in proper time, it should have been accompanied with instant restitution; and if Mr. Bucarelli acted without orders, he deserved death. Now, Sir, instead of immediate restitution, we have a four months negociation, and the officer, whose act is disavowed, returns to court, and is loaded with honours.

If the actual fituation of Europe be confidered, the treachery of the King's fervants, particularly of Lord North, who takes the whole upon himfelf, will appear in the strongest colours of aggravation. Our allies were masters of the Mediterranean. The King of France's present aversion from war, and the distraction of his affairs are notorious. He is now in a state of war with his people. In vain did the Catholic King solicit him to take part in the quarrel against us.

His finances were in the last disorder, and it was probable that his troops might find sufficient employment at home. In these circumstances, we might have dictated the law to Spain. There are no terms, to which she might not have been compelled to fubmit. At the worst, a war with Spain alone, carries the fairest promise of advantage. One good effect at least would have been immediately produced by it. The defertion of France would have irritated her ally, and in all probability have diffolved the family compact. The scene is now fatally changed. The advantage is thrown away. The most favourable opportunity is lost .- Hereafter we shall know the value of it. When the French King is reconciled to his fubjects; when Spain has compleated her preparations; when the collected strength of the house of Bourbon attacks us at once, the King himself will be able to determine upon the wisdom or imprudence of his present conduct. As far as the probability of argument extends, we may fafely pronounce, that a conjuncture, which threatens the very being of this country, has been wilfully prepared and forwarded by our own ministry. How far the people may be animated to refistance under the present administration, I know not; but this I know with certainty, that, under the present administration,

or ver

of n wan Let thrt reign them fable of for to vice fupper volum

\* T declara ed by 1 fpent | and is nies. mar or the con well qu vice.ford's " En i " creta " figna nos . feven fa the stile

have fa

S. M

l it

ent

es,

not

rft,

ro-

east

it.

ited

ved

ally

vay.

ere-

hen

cts;

e of

nself

n or

r as

may

hich

has

our

ani-

nini-

cer-

tion,

Or

or if any thing like it should continue, it is of very little moment whether we are a conquered nation or not \*.

HAVING travelled thus far in the high road of matter of fact, I may now be permitted to wander a little into the field of imagination. Let us banish from our minds the persuasion that these events have really happened in the reign of the best of princes. Let us consider them as nothing more than the materials of a sable, in which we may conceive the Sovereign of some other country to be concerned. I mean to violate all the laws of probability, when I suppose that this imaginary King, after having voluntarily disgraced himself in the eyes of his

<sup>\*</sup> The King's acceptance of the Spanish Ambassador's declaration, is drawn up in barbarous French, and figned by the Earl of Rochford. This diplomatic Lord has fpent his life in the fludy and practice of Etiquettes, and is supposed to be a profound master of the ceremonies. I will not infult him by any reference to grammar or common fense, if he were even acquainted with the common forms of his office, I should think him as well qualified for it, as any man in his Majesty's fervice,--The reader is requested to observe Lord Rochford's method of authenticating a public infirument. "En foi de quoi, moi fousfigne, un des principaux Se-" cretaires d'État S. M. B. ai figne la presente de ma " signature ordinaire, et icelle fait apposer le catchet de "nos Armes." In three lines there are no less than feven false concords. But the man does not even know the stile of his office ;--- If he had known it, he would have said " nous, soussigne' Secretaire d'Etat de S. M. B. avons figne &c. subjects,

subjects, might return to a sense of his dishonour:-that he might perceive the snare laid for him by his ministers, and feel a spark of shame kindling in his breast. - The part he must then be obliged to act, would overwhelm him with confusion. To his parliament he must fay, I called you together to receive your advice, and have never asked your ofinion .- To the merchant,-I bave diffressed your commerce; I bave dragged your feamen out of your ships, I have loaded you with a grievous weight of insurances .-To the landholder, —I told you war was too probable, when I was determined to submit to any terms of accommodation; I extorted new taxes from you before it was possible they could be wanted, and am now unable to account for the application of them .-To the public creditor, -I bave delivered up your fortunes a prey to foreigners and to the vilest of your fellow subjects. Perhaps this repenting Prince might conclude with one general acknowledge- feet b ment to them all,—I bave involved every rank of I neith my subjects in anxiety and distress, and bave nothing use the to offer you in return, but the certainty of national King's dishonour, an armed truce, and peace without secu- honour rity.

If these accounts were settled, there would fill remain an apology to be made to his navy with the and to his army. To the first he would fay, you pudor!

wer your 110 11 wou the whe on w a blos ed fai wbic. confir this

for hi

Bu minist of diff and th has ye contend blemish

10-

aid

of

he

elm

he

ad-

the

; I

bave

5.pro-

erms

1 3.04

id am

m .--

your your

rince

edge-

would

were

were once the terror of the world. But go back to your barbours. A man dishonoured, as I am, bas nouse for your service. It is not probable that he would appear again before his foldiers, even in the pacific ceremony of a review \*. But wherever he appeared, the humiliating confession would be extorted from him. I have received a blow, -and had not stirit to resent it. I demanded latisfaction, and have accepted a declaration, in which the right to strike me again is afferted and confirmed. His countenance at least would speak this language, and even his guards would blush for him.

Bur to return to our argument.—The ministry, it seems, are labouring to draw a line of distinction between the honour of the crown and the rights of the people. This new idea has yet been only started in discourse, for in effect both objects have been equally facrificed. ank of I neither understand the distinction, nor what othing use the ministry propose to make of it. The ational King's honour is that of his people. Their real t secu- honour and real interest are the same.—I am not contending for a vain punctilio. A clear, unblemished character comprehends not only the

integrity

<sup>\*</sup> A Mistake. He appears before them every day, with the mark of a blow upon his face.—-proh

integrity that will not offer, but the spirit that will not fubmit to an injury; and whether it belongs to an individual or to a community, it is the foundation of peace, of independence, Private credit is wealth ;-puband of fafety. lie honour is fecurity. - The feather that adorns the royal bird, supports his flight. Strip him of his plumage and you fix him to the earth.

JUNIUS

### XLIII. LETTER

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR,

6. Feb. 1771.

HOPE your correspondent Ju- at bon nius is better employed than in answering or subming reading the criticisms of a news-paper. This hose ri is a task, from which, if he were inclined to tent of submit to it, his friends ought to relieve him ower is Upon this principle, I shall undertake to answer the this Anti-Junius; more, I believe, to his conviction solute than to his satisfaction. Not daring to attack me, w the main body of Junius's last letter, he tri- crown umphs in having, as he thinks, surprised an wers. out-post, and cut off a detached argument, a free i mere

mere petty

JUI as the that d tice, t when a ed that ment v country of polit when h are 1 century rophec

THE

mere straggling proposition. But even in this petty warfare, he shall find himself deseated.

hat

r it

nce,

oub-

orns

m of

US.

IC

1771.

Junius does not speak of the Spanish nation as the natural enemies of England. He applies that description with the strictest truth and justice, to the Spanish Court. From the moment, when a Prince of the House of Bourbon ascended that throne, their whole system of government was inverted and became hostile to this country. Unity of possession introduced a unity of politics, and Lewis the source had reason when he said to his grandson, "The Pyrenees are removed." The History of the present century is one continued confirmation of the prophecy.

The Affertion "That violence and offression at full at home can only be supported by treachery and sing of submission abroad," is applied to a free people, This hose rights are invaded, not to the government of a country, where despotic, or absolute the him ower is confessedly vested in the prince; and answer the this application, the affertion is true. An aviction solute monarch having no points to carry at attack me, will naturally maintain the honour of the tri-scrown in all his transactions with foreign rised an wers. But if we could suppose the Sovereign ment, a a free nation, possessed with a design to make mere

himself absolute, he would be inconsistent with himself if he suffered his projects to be interrupted or embarrassed by a foreign war; unless that war tended, as in some cases it might, to promote his principal defign. Of the three exceptions to this general rule of conduct, (quoted by Anti-Junius) that of Oliver Cromwell is the only one in point. Harry the Eighth, by the submission of his parliament, was as absolute a prince as Lewis the Fourteenth. Quéen Elizabeth's government was not oppressive to the people; and as to her foreign wars, it ought to he himi be considered that they were unavoidable. The honour national honour was not in question. She was people. compelled to fight in defence of her own person pondent and of her title to the crown. In the common the Cou cause of selfish policy, Oliver Cromwell should strength have cultivated the friendship of foreign powers, "King E or at least have avoided disputes with them, the people, better to establish his tyranny at home. Had he more been only a bad man, he would have facrificed dignity the honour of the nation to the success of his domestic policy. But, with all his crimes, he THE quantity had the spirit of an Englishman. The conduct be answered of such a man must always be an exception to they are, vulgar rules. He had abilities sufficient to re have for so concile contradictions, and to make a great me mother me tion at the same moment unhappy and formid affertion of able. If it were not for the respect I bear the had no right minister

mini one g much

WI the cl are que appear cide for

THE

minister, I could name a man, who, without one grain of understanding, can do half as much as Oliver Cromwell.

th

t-

at -0

ep-

by

on-

ub-

e a za-

the

at to The

of his

WHETHER or no there be a fecret fystem in the closet, and what may be the object of it are questions, which can only be determined by appearances, and on which every man must decide for himself.

THE whole plan of Junius's letter provesthat he himself makes no distinction between the real honour of the crown and the real interest of the was people. In the climax, to which your correferson pondent objects, Junius adopts the language of amon the Court, and, by that conformity, gives nould firength to his argument. He fays that, " the wers, "King bas not only facrificed the interests of bis ad he " more nearly,) bis personal reputation and the rificed " dignity of his crown."

nes, he The queries, put by Anti-Junius, can only ondubbe answered by the ministry. Abandoned as ion they are, I fancy they will not confess that they to re have for so many years, maintained possession of eat na mother man's property. After admitting the formid iffertion of the ministry—viz. that the Spaniards ear the had no rightful claim, and after justifying them for inister

faying

faying so;—it is bis business not mine, to give us some good reason for their suffering the pretensions of Spain to be a subject of negociation. He admits the sacts;—let him reconcile them is he can.

THE last paragraph brings us back to the original question, whether the Spanish declaration contains such a satisfaction as the King of Great Britain ought to have accepted. This was the field, upon which he ought to have encountered Junius openly and fairly. But here he leaves the argument, as no longer defensible. I shall therefore conclude with one general admonition to my fellow subjects ;-that, when they hear these matters debated, they should not fuffer themselves to be misled by general declamations upon the conveniences of peace, or the miseries of war. Between peace and war, abstractedly, there is not, there cannot be a question in the mind of a rational being. The real questions are, Have we any security that the peace we have fo dearly purchased will last a twelvementh? and, if not .- have we, or have we not, facrificed the fair of opportunity of making war with advantage ?

PHILO JUNIUS

LET.

TO

S

ing t know and t by ev tions, I forf the fe of de an ho people to ren than though a defe of this questio a Scot house o

and all

# LETTER XLIV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC AD-

SIR,

give.

ten-

f he

ori-

reat

the

ered

aves

Chall

tion

hear

uffer

ions

eries

dly,

the

ions

bave

and,

d the

wan-

US.

T.

22. April, 1771.

To write for profit without taxing the press;-to write for fame and to be unknown;-to support the intrigues of faction and to be disowned, as a dangerous auxiliary, by every party in the kingdom, are contradictions, which the minister must reconcile, before I forfeit my credit with the public. I may quit the fervice, but it would be abfurd to suspect me of defertion. The reputation of these papers is an honourable pledge for my attachment to the people. To facrifice a respected character, and to renounce the esteem of society, requires more than Mr. Wedderburne's resolution; and though, in him, it was rather a profession than a defertion of his principles, [I speak tenderly of this gentleman, for when treachery is in question, I think we should make allowances for a Scotchman, ] yet we have feen him in the house of commons overwhelmed with confusion, and almost bereft of his faculties .- But in truth, VOL. II. E Sir.

Sir, I have left no room for an accommodation with the piety of St. James's. My offences are not to be redeemed by recantation or repentance. On one fide, our warmest patriots would disclaim me as a burthen to their honest ambition. On the other, the vilest prostitution, if Junius could descend to it, would lose its natural merit and influence in the cabinet, and treachery be no longer a recommendation to the royal savour.

THE persons, who, till within these few years, have been most distinguished by their zeal for high church and prerogative, are now, it feems, the great affertors of the privileges of the house of commons. This sudden alteration of their fentiments or language carries with it a Juspicious appearance. When I hear the undefined privileges of the popular branch of the legislature exalted by Tories and Jacobites, at the expence of those strict rights, which are known to the subject and limited by the laws, I cannot but suspect, that some mischievous scheme is in agitation, to destroy both law and privilege, by opposing them to each other. They who have uniformly denied the power of the whole legislature to alter the descent of the crown, and whose ancestors, in rebellion against his Majesty's family, have defended that doctrine at the hazard of their lives, now tell us that

that p right, dom .there stance thefe nound -Lib -Th from were highly parlia feafon and b as the fent 1 hostilit author were li If they would danger withou

I H I that sh

have le

n

re

e.

f-

n.

us

rit

be

ır.

W

eal

it

he

of

2

le-

he

at

are

, I

ous

ind

er.

· of

the

oc-

us

hat

that privilege of parliament is the only rule of right, and the chief fecurity of the public freedom.-I fear, Sir, that, while forms remain, there has been some material change in the substance of our constitution. The opinions of these men were too absurd to be so easily renounced. Liberal minds are open to conviction. -Liberal doctrines are capable of improvement. -There are profelites from atheism, but none from superstition.-If their present professions were fincere, I think they could not but be highly offended at feeing a question, concerning parliamentary privilege, unnecessarily started at a feason so unfavourable to the house of commons, and by fo very mean and infignificant a person as the minor Onflow. They knew that the prefent house of commons, having commenced hostilities with the people, and degraded the authority of the laws by their own example, were likely enough to be refisted, per fas & nefas. If they were really friends to privilege, they would have thought the question of right too dangerous to be hazarded at this feason, and, without the formality of a convention, would have left it undecided.

I HAVE been filent hitherto, though not from that shameful indifference about the interests of society, which too many of us profess, and call E 2 moderation. moderation. I confess, Sir, that I felt the prejudices of my education, in favour of a house of commons, still hanging about me. I thought that a question, between law and privilege, could never be brought to a formal decision, without inconvenience to the public service, or a manifest diminution of legal liberty;—that it ought therefore to be carefully avoided: and when I saw that the violence of the house of commons had carried them too far to retreat, I determined not to deliver a hasty opinion upon a matter of so much delicacy and importance.

THE state of things is much altered in this country, fince it was necessary to protect our representatives against the direct power of the We have nothing to apprehend from prerogative, but every thing from undue influence. Formerly it was the interest of the people, that the privileges of parliament should be left unlimited and undefined. At present it is not only their interest, but I hold it to be effentially necessary to the preservation of the constitution, that the privileges of parliament should be strictly ascertained, and confined within the narrowest bounds the nature of their institution will admit of. Upon the same principle, on which I would have refifted prerogative in the last century, I now refist privilege. It is indifferent

fere imi old pro of t pre fen tion thei be f duc mer at o and of c to al nera gove circu ing o terco than ble and neral degre

have

shoul

ence

ferent to me, whether the crown, by its own immediate act, imposes new, and dispenses with old laws, or whether the fame arbitrary power produces the same effects through the medium of the house of commons. We trusted our representatives with privileges for their own defence and ours. We cannot hinder their defertion, but we can prevent their carrying over their arms to the fervice of the enemy.-It will be faid, that I begin with endeavouring to reduce the argument concerning privilege to a mere question of convenience;—that I deny at one moment what I would allow at another; and that to refift the power of a proftituted house of commons may establish a precedent injurious to all future parliaments .- To this I answer generally, that human affairs are in no instance governed by strict positive right. If change of circumstances were to have no weight in direding our conduct and opinions, the mutual intercourse of mankind would be nothing more than a contention between positive and equitable right. Society would be a state of war. and law itself would be injustice. On this general ground, it is highly reasonable, that the degree of our submission to privileges, which have never be defined by any positive law, should be considered as a question of convenience, and proportioned to the confidence we re-E 3 pose

reuse tht

ge, on, or

it ind of

, I

the om

flueobe

t is

onuld

the ion on

the

difent

pose in the integrity of our representatives. to the injury we may do to any future and more respectable house of commons, I own I am not now fanguine enough to expect a more plentiful harvest of parliamentary virtue in one year than another. Our political climate is severely altered; and, without dwelling upon the depravity of modern times, I think no reasonable man will expect that, as human nature is constituted, the enormous influence of the crown should cease to prevail over the virtue of individuals. mischief lies too deep to be cured by any remedy, less than some great convulsion, which may either carry back the constitution to its original principles, or utterly destroy it. I do not doubt that, in the first session after the next election, fome popular measures may be adopted. The present house of commons have injured themfelves by a too early and public profession of their principles; and if a strain of prostitution, which had no example, were within the reach of emulation, it might be imprudent to hazard the experiment too foon. But after all, Sir, it is very immaterial whether a house of commons shall preserve their virtue for a week, a month, or a year. The influence, which makes a feptennial parliament dependent upon the pleasure of the crown, has a permanent operation, and cannot fail of fuccess .- My premises, I know, will

will be confeithen the terest [which it has nominated the of it,

On tadvoc

crown

" fro

" po

" ter

aga " mig

" the

" Spe " den

" nity

" ing

As

re

ot

ful

an

er-

ity

an

d,

ife

he

y,

nal bt

n,

he

n-

of

n, ch

rd it

ns

h,

p-

re

nd

w,

ill

will be denied in argument, but every man's conscience tells him they are true. It remains then to be considered, whether it be for the interest of the people that privilege of parliament [which \*, in respect to the purposes, for which it has hitherto been acquiesced under, is merely nominal] should be contracted within some certain limits, or whether the subject shall be left at the mercy of a power, arbitrary upon the face of it, and notoriously under the direction of the crown.

I Do not mean to decline the question of right. On the contrary, Sir, I join issue with the advocates for privilege and affirm, that, "excepting the cases, wherein the house of commons are a court of judicature, [to which, from the nature of their office, a coercive power must belong] and excepting such contempts as immediately interrupt their proceedings, they have not legal authority to impri-

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;The necessity of securing the house of commons against the King's power, so that no interruption might be given either to the attendance of the members in parliament, or to the freedom of debate, was the foundation of parliamentary privilege; and we may observe, in all the addresses of new appointed Speakers to the Sovereign, the utmost privilege they demand is liberty of speech and freedom from arrests. The very word privilege, means no more than immunity, or a safeguard to the party who possesses it, and can never be construed into an active power of invading the rights of others."

whic

allow

comi

The

are n

are t

the b

necel drefs

crime

be ne

have ries

mons

boun Engl

ceptio

ly rel

year the l

temp

grant

vilege

mons,

the p

They

King

for ju

of fon any man for any supposed violation of or privilege whatfoever."--It is not pretended that privilege, as now claimed, has ever been defined or confirmed by statute; neither can it be faid, with any colour of truth, to be a part of the common law of England, which had grown into prescription, long before we knew any thing of the existence of a house of commons. As for the law of parliament it is only another name for the privilege in question; and fince the power of creating new privileges has been formally renounced by both houses,-fince there is no code, in which we can study the law of parliament, we have but one way left to make ourselves acquainted with it; -that is, to compare the nature of the institution of a house of commons, with the facts upon record. To eftablish a claim of privilege in either house, and to distinguish original right from usurpation, it must appear that it is indispensably necessary for the performance of the duty they are employed in, and also that it has been uniformly allowed. From the first part of this description it follows clearly, that whatever privilege does of right belong to the present house of commons, did equally belong to the first assembly of their predecesfors, was as compleatly vested in them, and might have been exercised in the same extent. From the second we must infer that privileges, which

of

ed

en

an

a

ad

w

n-

ly

nd

as

ce

w

ke

n-

of

-f-

nd

it

or

ed

d.

24

e-

11-

·f-

nd

it-

S.

ch

which for feveral centuries, were not only never allowed, but never even claimed by the house of commons, must be founded upon usurpation. The constitutional duties of a house of commons. are not very complicated nor mysterious. They are to propose or affent to wholesome laws for the benefit of the nation. They are to grant the necessary aids to the King ;-petition for the redress of grievances, and prosecute treason or high crimes against the state. If unlimited privilege be necessary to the performance of these duties, we have reason to conclude that, for many centuries after the institution of the house of commons, they were never performed. I am not bound to prove a negative, but I appeal to the English history when I affirm that, with the exceptions already stated, (which yet I might safely relinquish) there is no precedent, from the year 1265 to the death of Queen Elizabeth, of the house of commons having imprisoned any man (not a member of their house) for contempt or breach of privilege. In the most flagrant cases, and when their acknowledged privileges were most grossly violated, the poor Commons, as they then stiled themselves, never took the power of punishment into their own hands. They either fought redress by petition to the King, or, what is more remarkable, applied for justice to the house of lords; and when satis-E 5 faction

faction was denied them or delayed, their only remedy was to refuse proceeding upon the King's business. So little conception had our ancestors of the monstrous doctrines, now maintained concerning privilege, that, in the reign of Elizabeth, even liberty of speech, the vital principle of a deliberative assembly, was restrained, by the Queen's authority, to a simple age or no, and this restriction, though imposed upon three successive parliaments\*, was never once disputed by the house of commons.

I know there are many precedents of arbitrary commitments for contempt. But, besides that they are of too modern a date to warrant a presumption that such a power was originally vested in the house of commons,—Fact alone does not constitute Right. If it does, general warrants were lawful.—An ordinance of the two houses has a force equal to law; and the criminal jurisdiction assumed by the commons in 1621, in the case of Edward Loyd is a good precedent, to warrant the like proceedings against any man, who shall unadvisedly mention the folly of a King, or the ambition of a Princess.—The truth is, Sir, that the greatest and most exceptionable part of the privileges now

contended

a he nar alth flan the prof

as t

I dera unli intr fact ftill, is ft of th laws out lega pow The The or a war

Doe

<sup>\*</sup> In the years 1593-1597-and 1601.

ily

g's

DES

ed

li-

ci-

d,

no.

ree

ut-

bi-

les

t a

lly

ne

ral

wo

ni-

in

od

a-

on

n-

br

W

d

contended for, were introduced and afferted by a house of commons which abolished both monarchy and peerage, and whose proceedings, although they ended in one glorious act of substantial justice, could no way be reconciled to the forms of the constitution. Their successors profited by the example, and confirmed their power by a moderate or a popular use of it. Thus it grew by degrees, from a notorious innovation at one period, to be tacitly admitted as the privilege of parliament at another.

If however it could be proved, from confiderations of necessity or convenience, that an unlimited power of commitment ought to be intrusted to the house of commons, and that in fact they have exercised it without opposition, still, in contemplation of law, the presumption is strongly against them. It is a leading maxim of the laws of England (and, without it, all laws are nugatory) that there is no right without a remedy, nor any legal power without a legal course to carry it into effect. Let the power, now in question, be tried by this rule. The Speaker issues his warrant of attachment. The party attached either refists force with force, or appeals to a magistrate, who declares the warrant illegal, and discharges the prisoner. Does the law provide no legal means for inforc-

ing a legal warrant? Is there no regular proeeeding pointed out in our law books to affert and vindicate the authority of fo high a court as the house of commons? The question is answered directly by the fact. Their unlawful commands are refisted, and they have no remedy. The imprisonment of their own members is revenge indeed, but it is no affertion of the privilege they contend for \*. Their whole proceeding stops, and there they stand, ashamed to retreat, and unable to advance. Sir, these ignorant men should be informed that the execution of the laws of England is not left in this uncertain, defenceless condition. If the process of the courts of Westminster-hall be resisted, they have a direct course, sufficient to inforce fubmission. The court of King's Bench commands the Sheriff to raise the posse comitatus. The courts of Chancery and Exchequer issue a writ of rebellion, which must also be supported, if necessary, by the power of the county.—To whom will our honest representatives direct their writ of rebellion? The guards, I doubt not, are

willing

willin nothin it nec

IT gume there to the withir the di punish jurifdi Attorn tion o or vili memb court King. drew to his ing it comm their r the lav lating forbids

\* " com

the ca

<sup>\*</sup> Upon their own principles, they should have committed Mr. Wilkes, who had been guilty of a greater offence than even the Lord-Mayor or Alderman Oliver. But after repeatedly ordering him to attend, they at last adjourned beyond the day appointed for his attendance, and by this mean, pitiful evasion, gave up the point,—Such is the force of conscious guilt.

willing enough to be employed, but they know nothing of the doctrine of writs, and may think it necessary to wait for a letter from Lord Barrington.

IT may now be objected to me, that my arguments prove too much; for that certainly there may be instances of contempt and insult to the house of commons, which do not fall within my own exceptions, yet, in regard to the dignity of the house, ought not to pass unpunished. Be it so.—The courts of criminal jurisdiction are open to prosecutions, which the Attorney General may commence by information or indictment. A libel, tending to afperfe or vilify the house of commons, or any of their members, may be as feverely punished in the court of King's Bench, as a libel upon the King. Mr. De Grey thought fo, when he drew up the information upon my letter to his Majesty, or he had no meaning in charging it to be a scandalous libel upon the house of commons. In my opinion, they would confult their real dignity much better, by appealing to the laws when they are offended, than by violating the first principle of natural justice, which forbids us to be judges, when we are parties to the cause \*.

e

1

9

t

5

IDO

<sup>\* &</sup>quot; If it be demanded, in case a subject should be committed by either house, for a matter manifestly

I no not mean to pursue them through the remainder of their proceedings. In their first resolutions, it is possible they might have been deceived by ill-considered precedents. For the rest, there is no colour of palliation or excuse. They have advised the King to resume a power of dispensing with the laws by royal proclamation\*; and Kings we see are ready enough to follow such advice. By mere violence, and without the shadow of right, they have expunged the record of a judicial proceeding.

" out of their jurisdiction, what remedy can he have? "I answer, that it cannot well be imagined that the

" law, which favours nothing more than the liberty of the subject, should give us a remedy against commit-

"ments by the King himfelf, appearing to be illegal, and yet give us no manner of redress against a com-

" mitment by our fellow subjects, equally appearing to be unwarranted. But as this is a case, which I am

" perfuaded will never happen, it feems needless over nicely to examine it.—Hawkins 2. 110."

N. B. He was a good lawyer, but no prophet.

\* That their practice might be every way conformable to their principles, the house proceeded to advise the crown to publish a proclamation universally acknowledged to be illegal. Mr. Moreton publicly protested against it before it was iffued; and Lord Manssield, though not scrupulous to an extreme, speaks of it with horror. It is remarkable enough that the very men who advised the proclamation, and who hear it arraigned every day both within doors and without, are not daring enough to utter one word in its defence, nor have they ventured to take the least notice of Mr. Wilkes for discharging the persons apprehended under it.

+ Lord Chatham very properly called this the act of

a mob, not of a senate.

thin vote of cri

Ti have it is and I them he lo ment spect. will d aband able, fense the w should the co other 1 people

\* Wino ferup though record a bers of en fon, felon fitation case of

have dor

the

een the

use.

wer

na-

1 to

and

ng-

No-

the

ty of

egal,

om-

ng to

over

e the

NOD.

ested field, with

who gned

dar-

have

s for

et of

ning

thing remained, but to attribute to their own vote a power of stopping the whole distribution of criminal and civil justice.

THE public virtues of the chief magistrate have long fince ceased to be in question. But it is faid that he has private good qualities, and I myfelf have been ready to acknowledge them. They are now brought to the test. he loves his people, he will dissolve a parliament, which they can never confide in or respect. If he has any regard for his honour, he will disdain to be any longer connected with such abandoned prostitution. But if it were conceivable, that a King of this country had loft all fense of personal honour, and all concern for the welfare of his subjects, I confess, Sir, I should be contented to renounce the forms of the constitution once more, if there were no other way to obtain substantial justice for the people \*.

JUNIUS.

<sup>\*</sup> When Mr. Wilkes was to be punished, they made no scruple about the privileges of parliament; and although it was as well known as any matter of public record and uninterrupted custom could be, that the members of either house are privileged except in case of treason, felony, or breach of peace, they declared without hestration that privilege of parliament did not extend to the case of a seditious libel; and undoubted they would have done the same if Mr. Wilkes had been prosecuted

## LETTER XLV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC AD-

SIR,

1. May, 1771.

THEY, who object to detached parts of Junius's last letter, either do not mean him fairly, or have not considered the general scope and course of his argument.—There are degrees in all the private vices.—Why not in public prostitution?—The insluence of the crown naturally makes a septennial parliament dependent.—Does it follow that every house of

for any other misdemeaner whatsoever. The ministry are of a fudden grown wonderfully careful of privileges, which their predecessors were as ready to invade. The known laws of the land, the rights of the subject, the fanctity of charters, and the reverence due to our magiftrates, must all give way, without question or resistance, to a privilege of which no man knows either the origin or the extent. The house of commons judge of their own privileges without appeal:—they may take offence at the most innocent action, and imprison the person who offends them, during their arbitrary will and pleafure. The party has no remedy ; -he cannot appeal from their jurisdiction; and if he questions the privilege, which he is supposed to have violated, it becomes an aggravation of his offence. Surely this doctrine is not to be found in Magna Charta. If it be admitted without limitation, I affirm that there is neither law nor liberty in this kingdom. We are the flaves of the house of commons, and, through them, we are the flaves of the King and his ministers. Anonymous.

commons

comm deptbs presen mous as wel ample that, i Some po He do ment : it will who w constit After a or alle cause y you no fight o beries is adm becom mishes fagacit

an anf

are rea

not me

liamen

to follo

I.

ch-

not

ge-

ere

not

the

ent

e of

ftry

ges,

The the

gifnce,

igin

heir

who

ure. heir

1 he

tion

ita-

in om-

ing

ons

commons will plunge at once into the lowest depths of prostitution?-Junius supposes that the present house of commons, in going such enormous lengths, have been imprudent to themselves, as well as wicked to the public; that their example is not within the reach of emulation ; - and that, in the first session after the next election, some popular measures may probably be adopted. He does not expect that a diffolution of parliament will destroy corruption, but that at least it will be a check and terror to their successors, who will have feen that, in flagrant cases, their constituents can and will interpose with effect .-After all, Sir, will you not endeavour to remove or alleviate the most dangerous symptoms, because you cannot eradicate the disease? Will you not punish treason or parricide, because the fight of a gibbet does not prevent highway robberies? When the main argument of Junius is admitted to be unanswerable, I think it would become the minor critic, who hunts for blemishes, to be a little more distrustful of his own fagacity.—The other objection is hardly worth an answer. When Junius observes that Kings are ready enough to follow fuch advice, he does not mean to infinuate that, if the advice of parliament were good, the King would be fo ready to follow it.

PHILO JUNIU LET.

### LETTER XLVI.

ADDRESSED TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR,

22. May, 1771

VERY early in the debate upon the decision of the Middlesex election, it was well observed by Junius, that the house of commons had not only exceeded their boafted precedent of the expulsion and subsequent incapacitation of Mr. Walpole, but that they had not even adhered to it strictly as far as it went. After convicting Mr. Dyson of giving a false quotation from the journals, and having explained the purpose, which that contemptible fraud was intended to answer, he proceeds to state the vote itself, by which Mr. Walpole's supposed incapacity was declared,-viz. "Refolved, "That Robert Walpole, Eig; having been this " fession of parliament committed a prisoner to " the Tower, and expelled this house for a high " breach of trust in the execution of his office, and notorious corruption when fecretary at war, was and is incapable of being elected a " member to serve in this present parliament." And then observes that from the terms of the vote, we have no right to annex the incapacitation

ritation proposition the exp I believe of dialed dispute tion. port his Grafton in the admoniten. I upon the

votes of 1689, "havin

" tion conti

" the a

" havii " dom,

-make

" as w

And the

Lord S

citation to the expulsion only, for that, as the proposition stands, it must arise equally from the expulsion and the commitment to the Tower. I believe, Sir, no man, who knows any thing of dialectics, or who understands English, will dispute the truth and fairness of this construc-But Junius has a great authority to support him, which to speak with the Duke of Grafton, I accidentally met with this morning in the course of my reading. It contains an admonition, which cannot be repeated too often. Lord Sommers, in his excellent tract upon the rights of the people, after reciting the votes of the convention, of the 28th of January, 1689, viz.-" That King James the Second, " having endeavoured to subvert the constitu-"tion of this kingdom by breaking the original " contract between King and people; and by " the advice of jesuits and other wicked persons " having violated the fundamental laws, and " having withdrawn himself out of this king-"dom, hath abdicated the government, &c." -makes this observation upon it. "The word " abdicated relates to all the clauses aforegoing, " as well as to his deferting the kingdom, or " else they would have been wholly in vain." And that there might be no pretence for confining the abdication merely to the withdrawing, Lord Sommers farther observes, That King Fames

HE

pon was

71

omcce-

ven fter ota-

ned was

ofed ved,

this er to

nigh fice,

y at

nt."

ipa-

James, by refusing to govern us according to that law, by which he held the crown, did implicitly renounce his title to it.

IF Junius's construction of the vote against Mr. Walpole be now admitted, (and indeed a cannot comprehend how it can honestly be disputed) the advocates of the house of common must either give up their precedent entirely, or be reduced to the necessity of maintaining one of the grossest -absurdaties imaginable, viz.

"That a commitment to the Tower is a constitutent part of, and contributes half at least to the incapacitation of the person who suffers it."

I NEED not make you any excuse for endeavouring to keep alive the attention of the public
to the decision of the Middlesex election. The
more I consider it, the more I am convinced
that, as a fact, it is indeed highly injurious to
the rights of the people; but that, as a precedent, it is one of the most dangerous that ever
was established against those who are to come
after us. Yet I am so far a moderate man, that
I verily believe the majority of the house of
commons, when they passed this dangerous
vote, neither understood the question, nor knew
the consequence of what they were doing.
Their

Their minal did n fuch a house fo imp back t they w know great e houfe his in fame : preced comm fequen which felf fel tion; 1 takes

pects 1

for his

that

gainst eed I e difmons y, or y one viz. conleast

rdeapublic The

fuf.

us to

come

fe of erous knew

cing. Their

Their motives were rather despicable than criminal in the extreme. One effect they certainly did not foresee. They are now reduced to fuch a fituation, that if a member of the present house of commons were to conduct himself ever fo improperly, and in reality deferve to be fent back to his constituents with a mark of disgrace, they would not dare to expel him; because they know that the people, in order to try again the great question of right, or to thwart an odious house of commons, would probably overlook his immediate unworthiness, and return the fame person to parliament.—But, in time, the precedent will gain strength. A future house of commons will have no fuch apprehensions, confequently will not scruple to follow a precedent, which they did not establish. The miser himfelf feldom lives to enjoy the fruit of his extortion; but his heir succeeds to him of course, and takes possession without censure. No man expects him to make restitution, and no matter for his title, he lives quietly upon the estate.

PHILO JUNIUS.

LET.

#### LETTER XLVII.

PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC AD TO THE VERTISER.

SIR,

25. May, 1771.

CONFESS my partiality to "one, Junius, and feel a confiderable pleasure in being able to communicate any thing to the public, in support of his opinions. The doctrine, laid down in his last letter, concerning the power of the house of commons to commit for contempt, of these is not so new as it appeared to many people, who, dazzled with the name of trivilege, had never suffered themselves to examine the ques-In the course of my reading this morntion fairly. ing, I met with the following passage in the journals of the house of commons. (Vol. 1st. page 603. Upon occasion of a jurisdiction unlawfully assumed by the house in the year 1621, Mr. At torney-General Nove gave his opinion, as fol-"No doubt but, in some cases, this " house may give judgment; -in matters of returns, and concerning members of ou " house, or falling out in our view in parliament; but, for foreign matters, knowet hey are

" we se cafe

SIR fays, ee hou

" judi " judg

" men

censi

Now

doctrine allows own m grossly where th viz. ele n fuch

heir pro

alling ou

THEY parliame nean we

not how we can judge it.—Knoweth not that sa gover

" we have been used to give judgment in any ss case, but those beforementioned."

SIR Edward Coke, upon the same subject, fays, (page 604) " No question but this is a " house of record, and that it hath power of "judicature in some cases;-have power to " judge of returns and members of our house; " one, no member, offending out of the parliament, when be came bither and justified it, was eing "censured for it."

A De

71.

laid

er of

ople,

had

wfully

r. At-

as fol-, this

ers o

f out

parlia-

66 W

Now, Sir, if you will compare the opinion of these great sages of the law with Junius's doctrine, you will find they tally exactly.-He allows the power of the house to commit their quel own members; (which however they may morn grossly abuse.) He allows their power in cases where they are acting as a court of judicature, viz. elections, returns, &c.—and he allows it n fuch contempts as immediately interrupt heir proceedings, or, as Mr. Noye expresses it, alling out in their view in parliament.

THEY, who would carry the privileges of parliament farther than Junius, either do not nean well to the public, or know not what oweth hey are doing. The government of England ot that sa government of law. We betray ourselves,

WC

we contradict the spirit of our laws, and we shake the whole system of English jurisprudence, whenever we intrust a discretionary power over the life, liberty, or fortune of the subject, to any man, or set of men whatsoever, upon a presumption that it will not be abused.

PHILO JUNIUS.

# LETTER XLVIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC AD-

SIR,

28. May, 1771.

ANY man, who takes the trouble of perufing the journals of the house of commons, will foon be convinced, that very little, if any regard at all, ought to be paid the resolutions of one branch of the legislature declaratory of the law of the land, or even what they call the law of parliament. It wi appear that these resolutions have no one of the properties, by which, in this country, particular larly, law is diftinguished from mere will an pleasure; but that, on the contrary they be every mark of a power arbitrarily assumed an capriciously applied:-That they are usua ly made in times of contest, and to serve som unworth

the law which tion at fons, that a fumes—in furdition which the foctor and might

above 4

qualified house of tual contained which a ther. vations firing, reading, tion to of Mar 565.) the following the fo

VOL.

We

ce,

ver

to

ore.

S.

71.

TOU-

e of

very

id to

ture

en o

Wi

f th

rticu

ll an

bea

l an

ufua

fom

rorth

unworthy purpose of passion or party;—that the law is seldom declared until after the sact, by which it is supposed to be violated;—that legislation and jurisdiction are united in the same persons, and exercised at the same moment;—and that a court, from which there is no appeal, assumes an original jurisdiction in a criminal case;—in short, Sir, to collect a thousand absurdities into one mass, "we have a law, "which cannot be known because it is expost facto, the party is both legislator and judge, and the jurisdiction is without appeal." Well might the judges say, The law of parliament is above us.

You will not wonder, Sir, that, with these qualifications, the declaratory resolutions of the house of commons should appear to be in perpetual contradiction, not only to common sense and to the laws we are acquainted with, (and which alone we can obey) but even to one ano-I was led to trouble you with these observations by a passage, which, to speak in lutefiring, I met with this morning in the course of my reading, and upon which I mean to put a queftion to the advocates for privilege. On the 8th of March 1704, (vide Journals, Vol. 14. p. 565.) the house thought proper to come to the following refolutions .-- 1. "That no commoner Vol. II. F se of " of England, committed by the house of com" mens for breach of privilege or contempt of that
" house, ought to be by any writ of Habeas Corpus,
" made to appear in any other place, or before
" any other judicature during that session of

" any other judicature, during that fession of parliament, wherein such person was so com-

" mitted."

2. "THAT the Serjeant at Arms, attending this house do make no return of or yield any

" obedience to the faid writs of Habeas Corpus,

" and for fuch his refusal, that he have the pro-

" tection of the house of commons" \*.

Welbore Ellis, What say you? Is this the saw of parliament or is it not? I am a plain man, Sir, and cannot follow you through the phlegmatic forms of an oration. Speak out, Grildrig,—say yes, or no.—If you say yes, I shall then inquire by what authority Mr. De

\* If there be in reality any such law in England, as the law of parliament, which, (under the exceptions stated in my letter on privilege) I confess, after long deliberation, I very much doubt, it certainly is not constituted by, nor can it be collected from the resolutions of either house, whether enacting or declaratory. I defire the reader will compare the above resolution of the year 1704, with the following of the 3d of April, 1628.

"Resolved, That the writ of Habeas Corpus cannot" be denied, but ought to be granted to every man,

"that is committed or detained in prison, or otherwise refereined, by the command of the King, the Privy

" Council, or any other, he praying the fame."

Grey,

Grey, rons of Habeas Lord N why th return had, ir ful .- I once g you ha underst that the -no e is, and resolution nals, 1 contain by a cou decision be law, they we liberatio What f annex t of comn face of which y

ments ar

in confec

1-

30

5,

re

of

1-

g

y

5,

0-

2

n

18

t,

1

)c

as ns

e-

nns

ehe

8.

ot

n,

vy

y,

Grey, the honest Lord Mansfield, and the Barons of the Exchequer, dared to grant a writ of Habeas Corpus for bringing the bodies of the Lord Mayor and Mr. Oliver before them, and why the Lieutenant of the Tower made any return to a writ, which the house of commons had, in a fimilar instance, declared to be unlawful.—If you fay no, take care you do not at once give up the cause, in support of which you have fo long and fo laboriously tortured your understanding. Take care you do not confess that there is no test by which we can distinguish, -no evidence by which we can determine what is, and what is not the law of parliament. The resolutions I have quoted stand upon your journals, uncontroverted and unrepealed; -they contain a declaration of the law of parliament by a court, competent to the question, and whose decision, as you and Lord Mansfield say, must be law, because there is no appeal from it, and they were made, not hastily, but after long deliberation upon a constitutional question.-What farther fanction or folemnity will you annex to any resolution of the present house of commons, beyond what appears upon the face of those two resolutions, the legality of which you now deny. If you fay that parliaments are not infallible, and that Queen Anne, in consequence of the violent proceedings of that F 2 house

house of commons, was obliged to prorogue and dissolve them, I shall agree with you very hearly, and think that the precedent ought to followed immediately. But you, Mr. Ellis, who hold this language, are inconfiftent with your own principles. You have hitherto maintaind that the house of commons are the sole judgs of their own privileges, and that their declaration does, ip/o facto, constitute the law of parliament; yet now you confess that parliament are fallible, and that their resolutions may be illegal, confequently that their resolutions do no constitute the law of parliament. When the King was urged to dissolve the present parliament, you advised him to tell his subjects, that be was careful not to assume any of those powers, which the constitution had placed in other hands, &c. Yet Queen Anne, it seems, was justified in exerting her prerogative to stop a house of commons, whose proceedings, compared with those of the affembly, of which you are a most worthy member, were the perfection of justice and reason.

In what a labyrinth of nonsense does a man involve himself who labours to maintain salsehood by argument? How much better would it become the dignity of the house of commons to speak plainly to the people, and tell us at once, ful an Their of the

worfe

TO H

MY

the gra

with no to his rank us multithave putracted though ment, can releffen then.

bis fac

appear

that

that their will must be obeyed, not because it is lawful and reasonable, but because it is their will. Their constituents would have a better opinion of their candour, and, I promise you, not a worse opinion of their integrity,

PHILO JUNIUS.

# LETTER XLIX.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON.

MY LORD,

and

111

0 1

Wo

VOIT !

ned

arı. par

en

y b

the lia-

that

nds,

fied

e of

with

nost

tice

nan

Ile-

d it

s to

ice,

hat

22. June, 1771.

THE profound respect I bear to the gracious Prince, who governs this country with no less honour to himself than satisfaction to his fubjects, and who restores you to your rank under his standard, will save you from a multitude of reproaches. The attention I should have paid to your failings is involuntarily attracted to the hand that rewards them; and though I am not fo partial to the royal judgment, as to affirm, that the favour of a King can remove mountains of infamy, it serves to lessen at least, for undoubtedly it divides the bur-While I remember how much is due to bis facred character, I cannot with any decent appearance of propriety, call you the meanest F 3 and

and the basest fellow in the kingdom. I protet, my Lord, I do not think you fo. You will have a dangerous rival, in that kind of fame to which you have hitherto fo happily directed your ambition, as long as there is one man liv. ing, who thinks you worthy of his confidence, and fit to be trufted with any share in his go. vernment. I confess you have great intrinsic merit; but take care you do not value it too highly. Confider how much of it would have been lost to the world, if the King had not graciously affixed his stamp, and given it currency among his subjects. If it be true that a virtuous man, struggling with adversity, be a scene worthy of the gods, the glorious contention, between you and the best of Princes, deserves a circle, equally attentive and respectable. think I already see other gods rising from the earth to behold it.

Bur this language is too mild for the occafion. The King is determined, that our abilities shall not be lost to society. The perpetration and description of new crimes will find employment for us both. My Lord, if the persons, who have been loudest in their professions of patriotism, had done their duty to the
public with the same zeal and perseverance that
I did, I will not affert that government would
have

have ciou this left a the fure wou char field of g own unde and thin truff Prin Sand ceiv my l the follo fure chai he a whi

the

ed

otef,

will

me to

ected

n liv.

ence,

is go.

t too

have

gra.

ency irtu-

cene

res a

the

ccabili-

etra-

per-

effi-

the

hat

ould

have recovered its dignity, but at least our gra-. cious Sovereign must have spared his subjects this last infult \*, which, if there be any feeling left among us, they will refent more than even the real injuries they received from every meafure of your Grace's administration. In vain would he have looked round him for another character so consummate as yours. Lord Mansfield shrinks from his principles; —his ideas of government perhaps go farther than your own, but his heart difgraces the theory of his understanding .- Charles Fox is yet in blossom; and as for Mr. Wedderburne, there is something about him, which even treachery cannot trust. For the present therefore, the best of Princes must have contented himself with Lord Sandwich.-You would long fince have received your final dismission and reward; and I, my Lord, who do not esteem you the more for the high office you possess, would willingly have followed you to your retirement. There is furely fomething fingularly benevolent in the character of our Sovereign. From the moment he ascended the throne, there is no crime, of which human nature is capable, (and I call upon the Recorder to witness it) that has not appeared venial in his fight. With any other Prince,

<sup>\*</sup> The Duke was lately appointed Lord Privy Seal.

the shameful desertion of him, in the midst of that distress, which you alone had created,-in the very crisis of danger, when he fancied he faw the throne already furrounded by men of virtue and abilities, would have outweighed the memory of your former fervices. But his Majesty is full of justice, and understands the doctrine of compensations. He remembers with gratitude how foon you had accommodated your morals to the necessity of his fervice;how chearfully you had abandoned the engagements of private friendship, and renounced the most solemn professions to the public. The facrifice of Lord Chatham was not lost upon him. Even the cowardice and perfidy of deferting him may have done you no differvice in his esteem. The instance was painful, but the principle might please.

You did not neglect the magistrate, while you flattered the man. The expulsion of Mr. Wilkes predetermined in the cabinet;—the power of depriving the subject of his birthright, attributed to a resolution of one branch of the legislature;—the constitution impudently invaded by the house of commons;—the right of defending it treacherously renounced by the house of lords.—These are the strokes, my Lord, which, in the present reign, recommend to office,

fice, have they We to ac to re ther Duk of t thinl adde your knov defer trust Mr. may that mone of it goyn tent,

> Ti lieft f

the fa

must

\* A adver

10

-in

he

of

he

Ia-

oc-

ith

ed

re-

he

fa-

on

de-

in

he

ile

Ar.

the

th-

ch

tly

he

rd,

of-

ce,

fice, and constitute a minister. They would have determined your Sovereign's judgment, if they had made no impression upon his heart. We need not look for any other species of merit to account for his taking the earliest opportunity to recall you to his councils. - Yet you have other merit in abundance -Mr. Hine, -the Duke of Portland, -and Mr. Yorke. -- Breach of trust, robbery, and murder. You would think it a compliment to your gallantry, if I added rape to the catalogue; -but the stile of your amours fecures you from refistance. I know how well these several charges have been defended. In the first instance, the breach of trust is supposed to have been its own reward. Mr. Bradshaw affirms upon his honour, (and so may the gift of smiling never depart from him!) that you referved no part of Mr. Hine's purchasemoney for your own use, but that every shilling of it was scrupulously paid to governor Burgoyne .- Make haste, my Lord, -another patent, applied in time, may keep the Oaks \* in the family. If not, Birnham Wood, I fear, must come to the Macaroni.

THE Duke of Portland was in life your earliest friend. In defence of his property, he had

F 5

nothing

<sup>\*</sup> A fuperb villa of Col. Burgoyne, about this time advertised for fale,

nothing to plead, but equity against Sir James Lowther, and prescription against the crown. You selt for your friend; but the law must take its course. Posterity will scarce believe that Lord Bute's son-in-law had barely interest emough at the treasury to get his grant compleated before the general election \*.

ENOUGH has been said of that detestable transaction, which ended in the death of Mr. Yorke,—I cannot speak of it without horror and compassion. To excuse yourself, you publicly impeach your accomplice, and to bis mind perhaps the accusation may be slattery. But in murder you are both principals. It was once a question of emulation, and if the event had not disappointed the immediate schemes of the closet, it might still have been a hopeful subject of jest and merriment between you.

This letter, my Lord, is only a preface to my future correspondence. The remainder of the summer shall be dedicated to your amusement. I mean now and then to relieve the se-

verity

VC.

yo

ter

yo

yo

to

CO

da

wa

dig

thi

Ye

he

the

the

M

fort

<sup>\*</sup> It will appear by a subsequent letter, that the Duke's precipitation, proved fatal to the grant. It looks like the hurry and confusion of a young high-wayman, who takes a few shillings, but leaves the purse and watch behind him.—And yet the Duke was an old offender!

verity of your morning studies, and to prepare you for the business of the day. Without pretending to more than Mr. Bradshaw's sincerity, you may rely upon my attachment, as long as you are in office.

WILL your Grace forgive me, if I venture to express some anxiety for a man, whom I know you do not love? My Lord Weymouth has cowardice to plead, and a desertion of a later date than your own. You know the privy seal was intended for him; and if you consider the dignity of the post he deserted, you will hardly think it decent to quarter him on Mr. Rigby. Yet he must have bread, my Lord;—or rather he must have wine. If you deny him the cup, there will be no keeping him within the pale of the ministry.

JUNIUS.

## LETTER L.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAF-

MY LORD,

9. July, 1771.

THE influence of your Grace's fortune still seems to preside over the treasury.

The

n. ake

les

eat-

ble Ir.

ıbnd

in

not

lo-

to

of

fefe-

the It

ghthe

ity

-The genius of Mr. Bradshaw inspires Mr. Robinson \*. How remarkable it is, (and I fpeak of it not as matter of reproach, but as fomething peculiar to your character) that you have never yet formed a friendship, which has not been fatal to the object of it, nor adopted a cause, to which, one way or other, you have not done mischief. Your attachment is infamy while it lasts, and which ever way it turns, leaves ruin and disgrace behind it. The deluded girl, who yields to fuch a profligate, even while he is constant, forfeits her reputation as well as her innocence, and finds herfelf abandoned at last to misery and shame. Thus it happened with the best of Princes. Poor Dingley too !- I protest I hardly know which of them we ought most to lament ;- The unhappy man, who finks under the fense of his dishonour, or him who furvives it. Characters, fo finished, are placed beyond the reach of panegyric. Death has fixed his feal upon Dingley, and you, my Lord, have fet your mark upon the other.

THE only letter I ever addressed to the King was so unkindly received, that I believe I shall

never

way perior otifm, is mu am n Adver deavoi (as pe with fi as prot other o nation delity faithfu been i Lord, langua vanity, of Prin known flood b lone, I His pla manufa hero, w

and, th

in forei

fiance.

never

<sup>\*</sup> By an intercepted letter from the Secretary of the Treasury it appeared, that the friends of government were to be very active in supporting the ministerial nomination of sheriffs.

I

3

u

S

a

t

5,

n

15

1-

it

5-

of

p-

0-

So

y-

y,

on

15

Ill

he

nt

10-

er

never presume to trouble his Majesty in that way again. But my zeal for his fervice is fuperior to neglect, and like Mr. Wilkes's patriotism, thrives by persecution. Yet his Majesty is much addicted to useful reading, and, if I am not ill-informed, has honoured the Public Advertiser with particular attention. I have endeavoured therefore, and not without success. (as perhaps you may remember) to furnish it with fuch interesting and edifying intelligence, as probably would not reach him through any other channel. The fervices you have done the nation,-your integrity in office, and fignal fidelity to your approved good master, have been faithfully recorded. Nor have his own virtues been intirely neglected. These letters, my Lord, are read in other countries and in other languages; and I think I may affirm without vanity, that the gracious character of the best of Princes is by this time not only perfectly known to his subjects, but tolerably well underflood by the rest of Europe. In this respect alone, I have the advantage of Mr. Whitehead. His plan, I think, is too narrow. He feems to manufacture his verses for the sole use of the hero, who is supposed to be the subject of them, and, that his meaning may not be exported in foreign bottoms, fets all translation at defiance.

Your Grace's re appointment to a feat in the cabinet was announced to the public by the ominous return of Lord Bute to this country. When that noxious planet approaches lingland, he never fails to bring plague and pestilence along with him. The King already feels the malignant effect of your influence over his Your former administration made councils. Mr. Wilkes an Alderman of London, and Representative of Middlesex. Your next appearance in office is marked with his election to the shrievalty. In whatever measure you are concerned, you are not only disappointed of success, but always contrive to make the government of the best of Princes contemptible in his own eyes, and ridiculous to the whole world. Making all due allowance for the effect of the minister's declared interposition, Mr. Robinson's activity, and Mr. Horne's new zeal in support of administration, we still want the genius of the Duke of Grafton to account for committing the whole interest of government in the city, to the conduct of Mr. Harley. I will not bear hard upon your faithful friend and emissary Ms. Touchet, for I know the difficulties of his situation, and that a few lottery tickets are of use to his economy. There is a proverb concerning persons in the predicament of this gentleman, honest which however cannot be strictly applied to him. present They

They Touc vince his ci ous ft man perfo whom rious body intere fubmi Was whom intruff intere riffs ? Scotch mean attachi the cor feen ft princip abfurd, ideas of to the p

ter of t

at in the ntry. and, ce athe his made Repearo the confucvernn his vorld. f the

They

They commence dupes, and finish knaves. Now Mr. Touchet's character is uniform. I am convinced that his fentiments never depended upon his circumstances, and that, in the most prosperous state of his fortune, he was always the very man he is at present. But was there no other person of rank and consequence in the city, whom government could confide in, but a notorious Jacobite? 'Did you imagine that the whole body of the Diffenters, that the whole Whiginterest of London would attend at the levy, and fubmit to the directions of a notorious Jacobite? Was their no Whig magistrate in the city, to whom the fervants of George the Third could intrust the management of a business, so very interesting to their master as the election of sheriffs? Is there no room at St. James's, but for association's Scotchmen and Jacobites? My Lord, I do not pport mean to question the fincerity of Mr. Harley's us of attachment to his Majesty's government. Since itting the commencement of the present reign, I have city, seen still greater contradictions reconciled. The bear principles of these worthy Jacobites, are not so y Mr. absurd, as they have been represented. fituaideas of divine right are not so much annexed
use to the person or family, as to the political character of the Sovereign. Had there ever been an eman, honest man among the Stuarts, his Majesty's him present friends would have been Whigs upon principle.

principle. But the conversion of the best of Princes has removed their scruples. They have forgiven him the fins of his Hanoverian anceftors, and acknowledge the hand of providence in the descent of the crown upon the head of a true Stuart. In you, my Lord, they also behold, with a kind of predilection, which borders upon loyalty, the natural representative of that illustrious family. The mode of your defcent from Charles the Second is only a bar to your pretentions to the crown, and no way interrupts the regularity of your fuccession to all the virtues of the Stuarts.

THE unfortunate success of the reverend the pa Mr. Horne's endeavours, in support of the mi- of succession nisterial nomination of sheriffs, will I fear ob- tunate struct his preferment. Permit me to recommend him to your Grace's protection. You will find him copiously gifted with those quali- jesty's ties of the heart, which usually direct you in the spite of choice of your friendships. He too was Mr. last pe Wilkes's friend, and as incapable as you are with fur of the liberal resentment of a gentleman. No, nation my Lord,-it was the folitary, vindictive ma- government lice of a monk, brooding over the infirmities him in of his friend, until he thought they quickened friends. into public life; and feasting with a rancorous rapture, upon the fordid catalogue of his diftreffes.

treff The princ

T my your am d idea d of his he co he fee whate vour and tu thers,

tresses. Now let him go back to his cloister. The church is a proper retreat for him. In his principles he is already a Bishop.

The mention of this man has moved me from my natural moderation. Let me return to your Grace. You are the pillow, upon which I am determined to rest all my resentments. What idea can the best of Sovereigns form to himself of his own government?—in what repute can he conceive that he stands with his people, when he sees, beyond the possibility of a doubt, that, whatever be the office, the suspicion of his favour is fatal to the candidate, and that, when the party he wishes well to has the fairest prospect of fuccess, if his royal inclination should unfortunately be discovered, it drops like an acid, and turns the election. This event, among others, may perhaps contribute to open his Majesty's eyes to his real honour and interest. In spite of all your Grace' singenuity, he may at Mr. last perceive the inconvenience of selecting, u are with fuch a curious felicity, every villain in the No, nation to fill the various departments of his ma- government. Yet I should be forry to confine nities him in the choice either of his footmen or his kened friends.

JUNIUS.

LET-

O' ave cef-

nce of a be-

bore of r de-

ar to inall o

rend mir obcom-

You jualin the

orous s difresses.

#### LETTER LI.

REVEREND HORNE TO FROM THE MR. JUNIUS.

SIR,

13. July 1771.

\*\* tale

of dair

Wil

Gen

no o bour

that

auth

I

that

admi

abho

ever

ration

life,

that 1

muni

or inc man,

fort, f

FARCE, Comedy, and Tragedy, -Wilkes, Foote, and Junius, united at the same time, against one poor Parson, are fearful odds. The two former are only labouring in their vocation, and may equally plead in excuse, that their aim is a livelihood. I admit the plea for the fecond; his is an honest calling, and clothes were lawful game; but I cannot fo readily approve Mr. Wilkes, or commend him for making patriotism a trade, and a fraudulent trade. But what shall I say to Junius? the grave, the solemn, the didactic ! ridicule, indeed, has been ridiculously called the test of truth; but furely, to confess that you lose your natural moderation when mention is made of the man, does not have i promise much truth or justice when you speak of fired, him yourself.

You charge me with "a new zeal in sup- any " or port of administration, "and with " endea" non vours in support of the ministerial nomina- solicit;

ftration

ss tion

" tion of Sheriffs," The reputation which your talents have defervedly gained to the fignature of Junius, draws from me a reply, which I difdained to give to the anonymous lies of Mr. Wilkes. You make frequent use of the word Gentleman; I only call myself a Man, and desire no other distinction: if you are either, you are bound to make good your charges, or to confess that you have done me a hasty injustice upon no authority.

I pur the matter fairly to issue. I fay, that so far from any " new zeal in support of administration," I am possessed with the utmost abhorrence of their measures; and that I have ever shewn myself, and am still ready, in any rational manner, to lay down all I have-my life, in opposition to those measures. I say, that I have not, and never have had any communication or connexion of any kind, directly furely, or indirectly, with any courtier or ministerial deration man, or any of their adherents: that I never es not have received, or folicited, or expected, or depeak of fired, or do now hope for, any reward of any fort, from any party or set of men in administration or opposition: I say, that I never used n fup any "endeavours in support of the ministerial ender " nomination of Sheriffs." That I did not omina folicit any one liveryman for his vote, for any

he foas been

TO

1771.

agedy,

fame

odds. ir vo-

, that

ea for

lothes

ly ap-

aking

. But

ss tion

one of the candidates; nor employ any other person to solicit: and that I did not write one single line or word in favour of Messrs. Plumbe and Kirkman, whom I understand to have been supported by the ministry.—

You are bound to refute what I here advance, or to lose your credit for veracity: You must produce facts; surmise and general abuse, in however elegant language, ought not to pass for proofs. You have every advantage, and I have every disadvantage: you are unknown, I give my name: all parties, both in and out of administration, have their reasons (which I shall relate hereaster) for uniting in their wishes against me: and the popular prejudice is as strongly in your favour, as it is violent against the Parson.

Singular as my present situation is, it is neither painful, nor was it unforeseen. He is not sit for public business who does not even at his entrance prepare his mind for such an event. Health, fortune, tranquility, and private connexions I have facrificed upon the altar of the public; and the only return I receive, because I will not concur to dupe and mislead a senseless multitude, is barely, that they have not yet torn me in pieces. That this has been the only

real can in n of n

es 7

" T

ec It

T

SII

tation

only return, is my pride; and a fource of more real satisfaction than honours or prosperity. I can practise before I am old, the lessons I learned in my youth; nor shall I ever forget the words of my ancient Monitor,

"Tis the last key-stone

"That makes the arch: the rest that there were put,

Are nothing till that comes to bind and

"Then stands it a triumphal mark! then men

"Observe the strength, the height, the why

" It was erected; and still walking under,

"Meet fome new matter to look up and wonder!"

Iam, SIR,

Your humble Servant,

JOHN HORNE.

### LETTER LII.

TO THE REVEREND MR. HORNE.

SIR.

24. July, 1771.

I CANNOT descend to an altertation with you in the news-papers. But since I have

adlou use,

ct

ne

be

en

pass ad I n, I

thall

as as

it is He is even an e-

prine alceive,

n the

I have attacked your character, and you complain of injustice, I think you have some right to an explanation. You defy me to prove. that you ever folicited a vote, or wrote a word in support of the ministerial alderman. Sir, I did never suspect you of such gross folly. It would have been impossible for Mr., Horne to have folicited votes, and very difficult to have written for the news-papers in defence of that cause, without being detected and brought to Neither do I pretend to any intelligence concerning you, or to know more of your conduct, than you yourself have thought proper to communicate to the public. It is from your own letters I conclude that you have fold yourfelf to the ministry: or, if that charge be too fevere, and supposing it possible to be deceived by appearances fo very strongly against you, what are your friends to fay in your defence? must they not confess that, to gratify your perfonal hatred of Mr. Wilkes, you sacrificed, as far as depended upon your interest and abilities, the cause of the country? I can make allowance for the violence of the passions, and if ever I should be convinced that you had no motive but to destroy Wilkes, I shall then be ready to do justice to your character, and to declare to the world, that I despise you somewhat less than I do at present. But as a public man, I must

for e

fo

THE
though
bilities)
udgment
our refer
hat the cheever do
c expect
mmunit
Some old
otman, a
orne, the

n the ot

t

,

d

I

It

to

ve

at

to

ce

n-

to

our

ur-

too ved

ou,

ce?

per-

, as

ies,

ance

er I

otive

dy to

re to

than

must

for ever condemn you. You cannot but know. -nay, you dare not pretend to be ignorant. that the highest gratification of which the most detestable in this nation is capable, would have been the defeat of Wilkes. I know that man much better than any of you. ture intended him only for a good-humoured fool. A systematical education, with long practice, has made him a confummate hypocrite. Yet this man, to fay nothing of his worthy ministers, you have most assiduously laboured ogratify. To exclude Wilkes, it was not necessary you should solicit votes for his opponents. We incline the balance as effectually by leffenng the weight in one scale, as by increasing it n the other.

The mode of your attack upon Wilkes though I am far from thinking meanly of your bilities) convinces me, that you either want adgment extremely, or that you are blinded by our resentment. You ought to have foreseen, hat the charges you urged against Wilkes could ever do him any mischief. After all, when e expected discoveries highly interesting to the mmunity, what a pitiful detail did it end in ! Some old cloaths—a Welsh poney—a French otman, and a hamper of claret. Indeed Mr. orne, the public should, and will forgive him his

his claret and his footmen, and even the ambition of making his brother chamberlain of Lon. don, as long as he stands forth against a miniftry and parliament, who are dorng every thing they can to enflave the country, and as long as he is a thorn in the King's fide. You will not suspect me of setting up Wilkes for a pefrect character. The question to the public is, where shall we find a man, who, with purer principles, will go the lengths, and, run the hazards that he has done? the feafon calls for fuch a man, and he ought to be supported What would have been the triumph of that odious hypocrite and his minions, if Wilkes had been defeated! It was nor your fault, reverend Sir, that he did not enjoy it completely.—But now I promife you, you have fo little power to do mischief, that I much question whether the ministry will adhere to the promises they have made you. It will be in vain to fay that I ama a partizan of Mr. Wilkes, or personally your enemy. You will convince no man, for you do not believe it yourself. Yet, I confese, I an a little offended at the low rate, at which you feem to value my understanding. I beg, M Horne, you will hereafter believe that I mea fure the integrity of men, by their conduct not by their professions. Such tales may enter tain

mi po wii co ne

ha

ma

im

t

ti

doe
fo b
ter,
if y

at li

Print return

V

tain Mr. Oliver, or your grandmother, but trust me, they are thrown away upon Junius.

mbi

Lonminithing

ng as

t cha-

where orinci-

e ha-

alls for

orted.

at odi-

es had

verend

-But

her the

I am a

for you e, I am ich you

g, Mr.
I meaondud,
enter

tain

You say you are a man. Was it generous, was it manly, repeatedly to introduce into a news-paper, the name of a young lady, with whom you must heretofore have lived on terms of politeness and good-humour?-but I have done with you. In my opinion, your credit is irrecoverably ruined. Mr. Townshend, I think is nearly in the same predicament .- Poor Oliver has been shamefully duped by you. You have made him facrifice all the honour he got by his imprisonment .- As for Mr. Sawbridge, whose character I really respect, I am assonished he does not fee through your duplicity. Never was fo base a design so poorly conducted. - This letter, you fee, is not intended for the public, but if you think it will do you any fervice, you are at liberty to publish it.

JUNIUS.

Vol. II. G LETTER

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> This letter was transmitted privately by the Printer to Mr. Horne, by Junius's request. Mr. Horne returned it to the Printer, with directions to publish it.

# LETTER LIII.

FROM THE REVEREND MR. HORNE TO
JUNIUS

SIR,

31. July, 1771.

Y OU have disappointed me. When I told you that furmise and general abuse, in however elegant language, ought not to pass for proofs, I evidently hinted at the reply which I expeded: but you have dropped your usual elegance, and feem willing to try what will be the effect of furmife and general abuse in very coarfe language. Your answer to my letter (which I hope was cool, and temperate and modest) has convinced me that my idea of a man is much superior to yours of a gentleman. Of your former letters I have always faid materiem superabat opus: I do not think so of the present; the principles are more detestable than the expressions are mean and illiberal. I am contented that all those who adopted the one should for ever load me with the other.

I APPEAL to the common-sense of the public, to which I have ever directed myself: I believe they have it, though I am sometimes half-inclined

cli tru eve upo and dice cari com for paff hon artfi desti mon fake ly fo and

> agair confime p " ad " fur

of ci

" riff tives i

"by

clined to suspect that Mr. Wilkes has formed a truer judgment of mankind than I have. However of this I am fure, that there is nothing else upon which to place a steady reliance. and low cunning, and addressing their prejudices and passions, may be the fittest means to carry a particular point; but if they have not common-sense, there is no prospect of gaining for them any real permanent good. The fame passions which have been artfully used by an honest man for their advantage, may be more artfully employed by a dishonest man for their destruction. I desire them to apply their common-sense to this letter of Junius, not for my fake but their own; it concerns them most nearly for the principles it contains lead to difgrace and ruin, and are inconfiftent with every notion of civil fociety.

THE charges which Junius has brought against me are made ridiculous by his own inconsistency and self-contradiction. He charges me positively with "a new zeal in support of administration;" and with "endeavours in support of the ministerial nomination of Sheriffs." And he assigns two inconsistent motives for my conduct: either that I have "fold myself to the ministry;" or am instigated by the soltary, vindictive malice of a monk:

G 2 cither

TO

71.

me.

país
which
ufual
ill be
very
letter
d mo-

Of teriem efent; ne ex-

a man

uld for

ntent-

public, pelieve alf-inclined 124

either that I am influenced by a fordid defire of gain; or am hurried on by " personal batred " and blinded by refentment." in his letter to the Duke of Grafton he supposes me actuated by both: in his letter to me he at first doubts which of the two, whether interest, or revenge is my motive: however, at last he determines for the former, and again positively afferts that "the ministry have made me promises;" yet he produces no instance of corruption, nor pretends to have any intelligence of a ministerial connexion: he mentions no cause of personal hatred to Mr. Wilkes, nor any reason for my resentment, or revenge; nor has Mr. Wilkes himself ever hinted any, though repeatedly pressed. When Junius is called upon to justify his accusation, he answers, " he cannot de-" feend to an altercation with me in the news-" papers." Junius, who exists only in the newspapers, who acknowledges "he has attacked " my character" there, and "thinks I have some " right to an explanation;" yet this Junius " cannot descend to an altercation in the news-" papers!" and because he cannot descend to an altercation with me in the news-papers, he fends a letter of abuse by the printer, which he finishes with telling me-" I am at liberty to publish it." This to be sure is a most excellent

lent

T traor er te « ol ee pi does have nyme posit tecte Who two y der of eft ad ing bi ver fu bazar by an guilty certain Signed for th " thir

" Saw

he is

e tren

lent method to avoid an altercation in the newspapers.

S

it

et

-

al

al

e-

es

lly

ify

de-

VS-

WS-

ked

me

nius

WS-

d to

, he

hich

erty

ccel-

lent

THE proofs of his positive charges are as extraordinary, " He does not pretend to any in-" telligence concerning me, or to know more " of my conduct than I myself have thought " proper to communicate to the public." He does not suspect me of such gross folly as to have folicited votes, or to have written anonymously in the news-papers; because it is impossible to do either of these without being detected and brought to shame. Junius says this! Who yet imagines that he has himfelf written two years under that fignature, (and more under others) without being detected !-his warmest admirers will not hereafter add, without being brought to shame. But though he did never suspect me of such gross folly as to run the bazard of being detected and brought to shame by anonymous writing, he infifts that I have been guilty of a much groffer folly of incurring the certainty of shame and detection by writings figned with my name! But this is a finall flight for the towering Junius: "He is FAR from "thinking meanly of my abilities," though he is "convinced that I want judgment ex-"tremely," and can, "really respect Mr. " Sawbridge's character," though he declares him G 3

him \* to be so poor a creature as not to " see " through the basest design conducted in the " poorest manner !" And this most base design is conducted in the poorest manner, by a man whom he does not suspect of gross felly, and of whose abilities he is FAR from thinking meanly !\*

SHOULD we ask Junius to reconcile these contradictions, and explain this nonfense; the answer is ready; "he cannot descend to an altercation in the news-papers," He feels no reluctance to attack the character of any man: the throne is not too high, nor the cottage too low: his mighty malice can grasp both extremes: he hints not his accusations as ofinion,

\* I beg leave to introduce Mr. Horn to the character of the Double Dealer. I thought they had been better acquainted .- "Another very wrong objection had been " made by fome, who have not taken leifure to diffin-" guish the characters. The hero of the play (mean-" ing Mellefont) is a gull, and made a fool and cheated, "-Is every man a gull and a fool that is deceived?

"At that rate, I am afraid the two classes of men " will be reduced to one, and the knaves themselves be " at a loss to justify their title. But if an open, honest-" hearted man, who has an entire confidence in one, " whom he takes to be his friend, and who (to confirm " him in his opinion) in all appearance and upon several " trials has been fo; if this man be deceived by the treachery of the other, must be of necessity com-" mence fool immediately, only because the other has proved a villain?"—YES, fays parfon Horne. No, fays Congreve, and he, I think, is allowed to have known fomething of human nature,

conjecture,

conje tofita injul fort for 1 thou

" he " ne

> An ce ber affaffi as in

" It " 'T

" Or " An

" He " An

OF to giv young l good o Oliver ble far

there t ed on t conjecture, or inference; but delivers them as tositive assertions: Do the accused complain of injustice? He acknowledges they have some sort of right to an explanation; but if they ask for troofs and facts, he begs to be excused: and though he is no where else to be encountered—" he cannot descend to an altercation in the "news-papers."

AND this perhaps Junius may think "the li"beral refentment of a gentleman:" this skulking affassination he may call courage. In all things as in this I hope we differ:

-

0

7,

er

er

11-

n-d,

en be

ft-

ne,

ral

the

125

No,

re,

OF two things however he has condescended to give proof. He very properly produces a young lady to prove that I am not a man: and a good old woman, my grandmother, to prove Mr. Oliver a fool. Poor old foul! she read her bible far otherwise than Junius! she often found there that the sins of the fathers had been visited on the children; and therefore was cautious

<sup>&</sup>quot; I thought that fortitude had been a mean

<sup>&</sup>quot;Twixt fear and rashness; not a lust obscene

<sup>&</sup>quot; Or appetite of offending; but a skill

<sup>&</sup>quot; And nice discernment between good and ill.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Her ends are honefly and public good,

<sup>&</sup>quot;And without these she is not understood."

that herself and her immediate descendents should leave no reproach on her posterity: and they lest none: how little could she foresee this reverse of Junius, who visits my political sins upon my grandmother! I do not charge this to the scorce of malice in him, it proceeded intirely from his propensity to blunder; that whilst he was reproaching me for introducing in the most harmless manner, the name of one semale, he might himself at the same instant, introduce two.

I AM represented alternately as it suits Junius's purpose, under the opposite characters of, a gloomy Monk, and a man of politeness and good bumour. I am called " a folitary Monk," in order to confirm the notion given of me in Mr. Wilkes's anonymous paragraphs, that I never laugh: and the terms of politeness and good kumour on which I am faid to have lived heretofore with the young lady, are intended to confirm other paragraphs of Mr. Wilkes, in which he is supposed to have offended me by refusing bis daughter. Ridiculous! Yet I cannot deny but that Junius has proved me unmanly and ungenerous as clearly as he has shewn me corrupt and vindictive : and I will tell him more ; I have paid the present Ministry as many visits, and sempliments as ever I paid to the young lady, and shall

shall ness

Bu must ing, the st

to me

where direct not, 1 to sho conten but pu fhared refused what I the inf cerned which danger not fac death ? pence ! I not re duct belo shall all my life treat them with the same polite-

e

.

1-

of.

od

1-

Ir.

er

uto-

n-

ich

ing

eny

ige-

and

ave

and

and

hall

But Junius " begs me to believe that he " measures the integrity of men by their con-" duct, not by their professions." Sure this Junius must imagine his readers as void of understanding, as he is of modesty! Where shall we find the standard of HIS integrity? By what are we to measure the conduct of this lurking affaffin ? And he fays this to me, whose conduct, wherever I could personally appear, has been as direct and open and public as my words; I have not, like him, concealed myself in my chamber to shoot my arrows out of the window; nor contented myself to view the battle from afar; but publicly mixed in the engagement, and shared the danger. To whom have I, like him, refused my name upon complaint of injury? what printer have I defired to conceal me? in the infinite variety of business I have been concerned, where it is not so easy to be faultless, which of my actions can he arraign? to what danger has any man been exposed, which I have not faced? information, action, imprisonment, or death? what labour have I refused? what expence have I declined? what pleasure have I not renounced ?- But Junius, to whom no conduct belongs, " measures the integrity of men ee pa

himself all the while being nothing but professions, and those too anonymous! the political ignorance or wisful salshood of this declaimer is extreme: his own former letters justify both my conduct and those whom his last letter abuses: for the public measures, which funius has been all along defending, were ours, whom he attacks; and the uniform opposer of those measures has been Mr. Wilkes, whose bad actions and intentions he endeavours to screen.

LET Junius now, if he pleases, change his abuse; and quitting his loose hold of interest and revenge, accuse me of vanity, and call this defence boafting. I own I have a pride to fee statues decreed, and the highest honours conferred for measures and actions which all men have approved: whilst those who counselled and caused them are execrated and insulted. darkness in which Junius thinks himself shrouded, has not concealed him; nor the artifice of only attacking under that fignature those he would pull down (whilft he recommends by other ways those he would have promoted) disguised from me whose partizan he is. When Lord Chatham can forgive the aukward fituation in which for the fake of the public he was defignedly placed by the thanks to him from the city: and when

who Lor the diffe ther and publ who Funi faith for t obno thofe pect will have were preve a cha of m

by the conhist distribution the conhist distribution that the conh

attem

terin

23

)-

-

y

:

n

t-

1-

18

is

bi

e-

a-

re

nd

10

d-

of ld

75

m

t-

ch

ly

br

n

when Wilkes's name ceases to be necessary to Lord Rockingham to keep up a clamour against the persons of the ministry, without obliging the different factions now in opposition to bind themselves beforehand to some certain points, and to stipulate some precise advantages to the public; then, and not till then, may those whom he now abuses expect the approbation of Junius. The approbation of the public for our faithful attention to their interest by endeavours for those stipulations, which have made us as obnoxious to the factions in opposition as to those in administration, is not perhaps to be expected till some years hence; when the public will look back and fee how shamefully they have been deluded; and by what arts they were made to lofe the golden opportunity of preventing what they will furely experience,a change of ministers, without a material change of measures, and without any security for a tottering constitution.

But what cares Junius for the security of the constitution? He has now unfolded to us his diabolical principles. As a public man be must ever condemn any measure which may tend accidentally to gratify the Sovereign: and Mr. Wilkes is to be supported and affished in all his attempts (no matter how ridiculous and mischievous

chievous his projects) as long as be continues to be a thorn in the King's fide !- The cause of the country it feems, in the opinion of Junius, is merely to vex the King; and any rafcal is to be fupported in any roguery, provided he can only thereby plant a thorn in the King's side. - This is the very extremity of faction, and the last degree of political wickedness. Because Lord Chatham has been ill-treated by the King and treacherously betrayed by the Duke of Grafton, the latter is to be "the pillow on which Junius " will rest his resentment;" and the public are to oppose the measures of government from mere motives of personal enmity to the Sovereign !- These are the avowed principles of the man who in the same letter says, " if ever he " should be convinced that I had no motive but " to destroy Wilkes, he shall then be ready to " do justice to my character, and to declare to the world that he despises me somewhat " less than he does at present!" Had I ever acted from personal affection or enmity to Mr. Wilkes, I should justly be despised: But what does he deferve whose avowed motive is perfonal enmity to the Sovereign; the contempt which I should otherwise feel for the absurdity and glaring inconfistency of Junius, is here swallowed up in my abhorrence of his principle. The right divine and facredness of Kings is to

me a expre Char place dischia any o those of to giv I wou and w charge to any justify death should act as the per ver be that of reign but if tl

Bur principl Wilkes Walpol thousand

mily m

would o

me a fenfeless jargon. It was thought a daring expression of Oliver Cromwell in the time of Charles the First, that if he found himself placed opposite to the King in battle, he would discharge his piece into his bosom as soon as into any other man's. I go farther: had I lived in those days, I would not have waited for chance to give me an opportunity of doing my duty; I would have fought him through the ranks, and without the least personal enmity, have discharged my piece into his bosom rather than into any other man's. The King, whose actions justify rebellion to his government, deserves death from the hand of every subject. And should such a time arrive, I shall be as free to act as to fay. But till then, my attachment to the person-and family of the Sovereign shall ever be found more zealous and fincere than that of his flatterers. I would offend the Sovereign with as much reluctance as the parent; but if the happiness and security of the whole family made it necessary, so far and no farther, I would offend him without remorfe.

e

e

t

0

e

it

r

.

it

ot

y 1-

e.

to

But let us consider a little whither sese principles of Junius would lead us. Should Mr. Wilkes once more commission Mr. Thomas Walpole to procure for him a pension of one thousand pounds upon the Irish establishment for thirty

thirty years; he must be supported in the demand by the public—because it would mortify the King!

SHOULD he wish to see Lord Rockingham and his friends once more in administration, unclogged by any flipulations for the people, that he might again enjoy a tension of one thousand and forty tounds a year, viz. From the First Lord of the Treasury 500l. From the Lords of the Treasury 60l. each. From the Lords of Trade, 40l. each, &c. The public must give up their attention to points of national benefit, and assist Mr. Wilkes in his attempt—because it would mortify the King!

SHOULD he demand the Government of Canada, or of Jamaica, or the embassy to Constantinople; and in case of resusal threaten to write them down, as he had before served another administration, in a year and a half; he must be supported in his pretensions and upheld in his insolence—because it would mortify the King!

JUNIUS may chuse to suppose that these things cannot happen! But that they have happened, notwithstanding Mr. Wilkes's denial, I do aver. I maintain that Mr. Wilkes did commission Mr. Thomas Walpole to solicit for him a pension of

one thir clar stan a cla from they larie as ar nam contr gove their "ay " mi " upo " the vail, h by his Rocki: manly lied\_ Wilke withou Lord 1 one bun

turned

here ad

to Loro

11

١,

e

nd

of

a-

ol.

at-

fift

ald

Ca-

011-

rite

ad.

t be

his g!

ings

ned,

ver.

Mr.

on of

one

one thousand pounds on the Irish establishment for thirty years; with which and a pardon he declared he would be fatisfied: and that, not withstanding his letter to Mr. Onslow, he did accept a clandestine, precarious and eleemosynary pension from the Rockingham administration; which they paid in proportion to and out of their falaries; and fo entirely was it ministerial, that as any of them went out of the ministry, their names were scratched out of the lift, and they contributed no longer. I fay, he did folicit the governments and the embaffy, and threatened their refusal nearly in these words-" It cost me " a year and a half to write down the last ad-" ministration, should I employ as much time " upon you, very few of you would be in at " the death." When these threats did not prevail, he came over to England to embarrass them by his presence; and when he found that Lord Rockingham was fomething firmer and more manly than he expected, and refused to be bullied-into what he could not perform, Mr. Wilkes declared that the could not leave England without money; and the Duke of Portland and Lord Rockingham purchased his absence with one bundred pounds a piece; with which he returned to Paris. And for the truth of what I here advance, I appeal to the Duke of Portland, to Lord Rockingham, to Lord John Cavendish,

to

to Mr. Walpole, &c.—I appeal to the handwriting of Mr. Wilkes, which is still extant.

SHOULD Mr. Wilkes afterwards (failing in this wholesale trade) chuse to dole out his popularity by the pound, and expose the city offices to sale to his brother, his attorney, &c. Junius will tell us, it is only an ambition that he has to make them chamberlain, town-clerk, &c. and he must not be opposed in thus robbing the ancient citizens of their birth-right—because any defeat of Mr. Wilkes would gratify the King!

SHOULD he, after confuming the whole of his own fortune and that of his wife, and incurring a debt of twenty thousand pounds merely by his own private extravagance, without a fingle fervice or exertion all this time for the public, whilst his estate remained; should he, at length, being undone, commence patriot, have the good fortune to be illegally persecuted, and in confideration of that illegality be espoused by a few gentlemen of the purest public principles; should his debts, (though none of them were contracted for the public) and all his other incumbrances be discharged; should he be offered 600l. or 1000l. a year to make him independent for the future; and should he, after all, instead of gratitude for these services, insolently forbid

forbiney
vile
plies
ham,
enory
think
priva
meafu
grand
hold t

tinues

UP and I diftion the too under to be under membe places, either o will no grant c of the tion. cause th any fut forbid his benefactors to bestow their own money upon any other object but himself, and revile them for setting any bounds to their supplies; Junius (who, any more than Lord Chatham, never contributed one farthing to these enormous expences) will tell them, that if they think of converting the supplies of Mr. Wilkes's private extravagance to the support of public measures—they are as great fools as my grandmother; and that Mr. Wilkes ought to hold the strings of their purses—as long as be continues to be a thorn in the King's side!

n

1-

es

115

to

he

nt

at

of

11-

by

gle

ic,

th,

the

in

ya

es;

ere

in-

red

enall,

ntly

Upon these principles I never have acted, and I never will act. In my opinion, it is lefs dishonourable to be the creature of a court than the tool of a faction. I will not be either. understand the two great leaders of opposition to be Lord Rockingham and Lord Chatham; under one of whose banners all the opposing members of both houses, who defire to get places, enlift. I can place no confidence in either of them, or in any others, unless they will now engage, whilst they are out, to grant certain effential advantages for the security of the public when they shall be IN administration. These points they refuse to stipulate, because they are fearful lest they should prevent any future overtures from the court. To force them

them to these stipulations has been the uniform endeavour of Mr. Sawbridge, Mr. Townsend, Mr. Oliver, &c. and THEREFORE, they are abused by Junius. I know no reason but my zeal and industry in the same cause that should intitle me to the honour of being ranked by his abuse with persons of their fortune and station. It is a duty I owe to the memory of the late Mr. Beckford to fay, that he had no other aim than this when he provided that fumptuous entertainment at the Mansien-house for the members of both houses in opposition. At that time he drew up the heads of an engagement, which he gave to me with a request that I would couch it in terms fo cautious and precise, as to leave no room for future quibble, and evasion; but to oblige them either to fulfil the intent of the obligation, or to figntheir own infamy, and leave it on record; and this engagement he was determined to propose to them at the Mansionhouse, that either by their refusal they might forfeit the confidence of the public, or by the engagement lay a foundation for confidence. When they were informed of the intention, Lord Rockingham and his friends flatly refused any engagement; and Mr. Beckford as flatly swore, they should then-" eat none of his " broth;" and he was determined to put off the entertainment : But Mr. Beckford was prevaillous processing the city, image of the

IT thank were favou fix Lo tional ford n was c the R arain of wh again dence confed chose i by his the pe cordia whofe of mai nexion

curing

house

n

e

y

ld

n.

r.

in

r-

rs

he

he

it

no

to

li-

ve

125

n-

ht

he

ce.

n,

fed

tly

his

the

ail-

ed

ed upon by —— to indulge them in the ridiculous parade of a popular procession through the city, and to give them the soolish pleasure of an imaginary consequence, for the real benefit only of the cooks and purveyors,

IT was the same motive which dictated the thanks of the city to Lord Chatham; which were expressed to be given for his declaration in favour of fort tarliaments: in order thereby to fix Lord Chatham at least to that one constitutional remedy, without which all others can afford no fecurity. The embarrassment no doubt was cruel. He had his choice either to offend the Rockingham party, who declared formally against short parliaments, and with the assistance of whose numbers in both houses he must expect again to be minister; or to give up the confidence of the public, from whom finally all real consequence must proceed. Lord Chatham chose the latter: and I will venture to fay, that, by his answer to those thanks, he has given up the people without gaining the friendship or cordial affistance of the Rockingham faction; whose little politics are confined to the making of matches, and extending their family connexions, and who think they gain more by procuring one additional vote to their party in the house of commons, than by adding their languid

guid property and feeble character to the abilities of a Chatham, or the confidence of a public.

WHATEVER may be the event of the prefent wretched flate of politics in this country, the principles of Junius will fuit no form of go-They are not to be tolerated under any constitution. Personal enmity is a motive fit only for the devil. Whoever or whatever is Sovereign, demands the respect and support of the people. The union is formed for their happiness, which cannot be had without mutual refpect; and he counfels maliciously who would persuade either to a wanton breach of it. When it is banished by either party, and when every method has been tried in vain to restore it, there is no remedy but a divorce: But even then he must have a hard and a wicked heart indeed who punishes the greatest criminal merely for the fake of the punishment; and who does not let fall a tear for every drop of blood that is shed in a public struggle, however just the quarrel.

JOHN HORNE.

LET.

TO T

SI

the Du my att Mr. H of the detesta the cer with th tò the not cor nion, ufual does no that the -that that if taken f with th peal to willing

peers.

# LETTER LIV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC AD-VERTISER.

SIR,

7,

0-

er

is

of

p-

·e-

eld

en

ry

ere

he

for

let lin

E.

T.

15. Aug. 1771.

OUGHT to make an apology to the Duke of Grafton, for fuffering any part of my attention to be diverted from his Grace to Mr. Horne. I am not justified by the fimilarity of their dispositions. Private vices, however detestable, have not dignity sufficient to attract the censure of the press, unless they are united with the power of doing some signal mischief to the community.-Mr. Horne's fituation does not correspond with his intentions.-In my opinion, (which I know, will be attributed to my usual vanity and presumption) his letter to me does not deserve an answer. But I understand that the public are not fatisfied with my filence; -that an answer is expected from me, and that if I perfift in refufing to plead, it will be taken for conviction. I should be inconsistent with the principles I profess, if I declined an appeal to the good fense of the people, or did not willingly fubmit myself to the judgement of my peers.

IF any coarse expressions have escaped me, I am ready to agree that they are unfit for Junius to make use of, but I see no reason to admit that they have been improperly applied.

MR. HORNE, it feems, is unable to comprehend how an extreme want of conduct and difcretion can confift with the abilities I have allowed him; nor can he conceive that a very honest man, with a very good understanding, may be deceived by a knave. His knowledge of human nature must be limited indeed. Had he never mixed with the world, one would think that even his books might have taught him better, Did he hear Lord Manisfield, when he defended his doctrine concerning libels?-Or when he stated the law in prosecutions for criminal conversation?-Or when he delivered his reasons for calling the house of lords together to receive a copy of his charge to the jury in Woodfall's trial?-Had he been present upon any of these occasions, he would have feen how possible it is for a man of the first talents to confound himfelf in absurdities, which would disgrace the lips of an ideot. Perhaps the example might have taught him not to value his own understanding so highly.—Lord Littleton's integrity and judgment are unquestionable; -yet he is known to admire that cunning Scotchman, and

verily facts, fpeak not de tries c not n there i of Mr ment capaci ledgen bount in my

to write fure, a culty I change poses m any mar discover

donatio

ISA

HE I that he what co am no w

-I shall

verily

verily believes him an honest man.—I speak to facts, with which all of us are conversant,—I speak to men and to their experience, and I will not descend to answer the little sneering sophistries of a collegian.—Distinguished talents are not necessarily connected with discretion. If there be anything remarkable in the character of Mr. Horne, it is that extreme want of judgment should be united with his very moderate capacity. Yet I have not forgotten the acknowledgement I made him. He owes it to my bounty; and though his letter has lowered him in my opinion, I scorn to retract the charitable donation.

1-

V-

ft be

an

eF

er,

he

on-

ons

ive

all's

hefe

it is

the

iight

ider-

grity

he is

and

erily

I said it would be very difficult for Mr. Horne to write directly in defence of a ministerial measure, and not be detected; and even that difficulty I confined to bis particular situation. He changes the terms of the proposition, and supposes me to assert, that it would be impossible for any man to write for the news-papers and not be discovered.

He repeatedly affirms or intimates at least, that he knows the author of these letters.—With what colour of truth then can he pretend that I am no where to be encountered but in a news-paper?

—I shall leave him to his suspicions. It is not necessary

discretion of a man, who already seems to hate me with as much rancour, as if I had formerly been his friend.—But he afferts that he has traced me thro' a variety of signatures. To make the discovery of any importance to his purpose, he should have proved, either that the siditious character of funius has not been consistently supported, or that the author has maintained different principles under different signatures.—I cannot recall to my memory the numberless trisses I have written;—but I rely upon the consciousness of my own integrity, and defy him to fix any colourable charge of inconsistency upon me.

I am not bound to affign the fecret motives of his apparent hatred of Mr. Wilkes: not does it follow that I may not judge fairly of bit conduct, though it were true that I had no conduct of my own—Mr. Horne enlarges, with rapture, upon the importance of his fervices;—the dreadful battles which he might have been engaged in, and the dangers he has escaped.—In support of the formidable description, he quotes verses without mercy. The gentleman deals in siction, and naturally appeals to the evidence of the poets.—Taking him at his word, he cannot but admit the superiority of Mr. Wilkes in this

line
but
real
font
one
Tha
does
wou

I

been own which of con terial his fo disting the co guishe longer throng, ever 7. enemie ferve t quarrel mitting an elect life to th What p line of service. On one side we see nothing but imaginary distresses. On the other we see real prosecutions;—real penalties; real imprisonment;—life repeatedly hazarded;—and, at one moment, almost the certainty of death. Thanks are undoubtedly due to every man who does his duty in the engagement; but it is the wounded soldier who deserves the reward.

I DID not mean to deny that Mr. Horne had been an active partizan. It would defeat my own purpose not to allow him a degree of merit, which aggravates his guilt. The very charge of contributing bis utmost efforts to support a minifterial measure, implies an acknewledgement of his former services. If he had not once been distinguished by his apparent zeal in defence of the common cause, he could not now be distinguished by deferting it. - As for myself, it is no longer a question whether I shall mix with the throng, and take a single sbare in the danger. Whenever Junius appears, he must encounter a host of But is there no honourable way to enemies. ferve the public, without engaging in personal quarrels with infignificant individuals, or fubmitting to the drudgery of canvassing votes for an election? Is there no merit in dedicating my life to the information of my fellow-fubjects?-What public question have I declined, what villain

or ate

ofe,

ntly

rless con-

him up-

nor of bis

con-

—the

.—In

eals in

n this

line

villain have I spared?—Is there no labour in the composition of these letters! Mr. Horne, I fear is partial to me, and measures the facility of my writings, by the fluency of his own.

HE talks to us, in high terms, of the gallant feats he would have performed, if he had lived in the last century. The unhappy Charles could hardly have escaped him. But living princes have a claim to his attachment and refped. Upon these terms there is no danger in being a patriot. If he means any thing more than a pompous rhapfody, let us try, how well his argument holds together .- I presume he is not yet so much a courtier as to affirm that the constitution has not been grossly and daringly violated under the present reign. He will not fay, that the laws have not been shamefully broken or perverted; -that the rights of the fubject have not been invaded, or that redress has not been repeatedly folicited and refused .-Grievances like these were the foundation of the rebellion in the last century, and, if I understand Mr. Horne, they would, at that period, \* have justified him to his own mind, in deliberately attacking the life of his Sovereign. I shall not ask him to what political constitution this doctrine can be reconciled. But at least, it is incumbent upon him to shew, that the present King

the fur foften were once quirk to me to the morrobe for fcorch

a con

Ice

to the

King has better excuses than Charles the First, for the errors of his government. He ought to demonstrate to us that the constitution was better understood a hundred years ago than it is at present;—that the legal rights of the subject, and the limits of the prerogative were more accurately defined, and more clearly comprehended. If propositions like these cannot be fairly maintained, I do not see how he can reconcile it to his conscience, not to act immediately with the same freedom with which he speaks. I reverence the character of Charles the First as little as Mr. Horne; but I will not insult his missortunes, by a comparison that would degrade him.

it

d

es

ıg

e-

in

re

ell

is

he

ot

lly

he

ess

the

ler-

od,

be-

I

ion

, it

ing

It is worth observing, by what gentle degrees, the surious, persecuting zeal of Mr. Horne has softened into moderation. Men and measures were yesterday his object. What pains did he once take to bring that great state criminal Macquirk to execution!—To day he confines himself to measure only.—No penal example is to be left to the successors of the Duke of Graston.—Tomorrow, I presume both men and measures will be forgiven. The slaming patriot, who so lately scorched us in the meridian, sinks temperately to the west, and is hardly felt as he descends.

I COMPREHEND the policy of endeavouring
H 2 to

to communicate to Mr. Oliver and Mr. Saw. bridge, a share in the reproaches, with which he supposes me to have loaded him. My memory fails me, if I have mentioned their names with difrespect; -unless it be reproachful to acknowledge a fincere respect for the character of Mr. Sawbridge, and not to have questioned the innocence of Mr. Oliver's intentions.

IT scems I am a partizan of the great leader of the opposition. If the charge had been a reproach, it should have been better supported. I did not intend to make a public declaration of the respect I bear Lord Chatham. I well knew what unworthy conclusions would be drawn from it. But I am called upon to deliver my opinion, and furely it is not in the little cenfure of Mr. Horne to deter me from doing fignal juftice to a man, who, I confess, has grown upon my esteem. As for the common, fordid views of avarice, or any purpose of vulgar ambition, I question whether the applause of Junius would be of fervice to Lord Chatham. My vote will hardly recommend him to an increase of his penfion, or to a feat in the cabinet. But if his ambition be upon a level with his understanding: -if he judges of what is truly honourable for himself, with the same superior genius, which animates and directs him, to eloquence in de-

niu hon thic fupp verf prai well

bate

M foun thou publi betra al in Duke chara his b chief ambit

TH is a fu too w If I di be sha unnec ten for

licly d

bate,

bate, to wisdom in decision, even the pen of Junius shall contribute to reward him. Recorded honours shall gather round his monument, and thicken over him. It is a solid fabric, and will support the laurels that adorn it.—I am not conversant in the language of panygeric.—These praises are extorted from me; but they will wear well, for they have been dearly earned.

My detestation of the Duke of Graston is not sounded upon his treachery to any individual: though I am willing enough to suppose that, in public affairs, it would be impossible to desert or betray Lord Chatham, without doing an essential injury to this country. My abhorrence of the Duke arises from an intimate knowledge of his character, and from a thorough conviction, that his baseness has been the cause of greater mischief to England, than even the unfortunate ambition of Lord Bute.

THE shortening the duration of parliaments is a subject, on which Mr. Horne cannot enlarge too warmly; nor will I question his sincerity. If I did not profess the same sentiments, I should be shamefully inconsistent with myself. It is unnecessary to bind Lord Chatham by the written formality of an engagement. He has publicly declared himself a convert to Triennial Par-

H 3

liaments;

w-ich

nes acof

he

der re-

of ew

wn my

ure uf-

ws

on, uld vill

vill enm-

g; for

tor ich

dete, liaments; and tho' I have long been convinced that this is the only possible resource we have left to preserve the substantial freedom of the constitution, I do not think we have a right to determine against the integrity of Lord Rockingham or his friends. Other measures may undoubtedly be supported in argument, as better adapted to the disorder, or more likely to be obtained.

Mr. Horne is well affured, that I never was the champion of Mr. Wilkes. But tho' I am not obliged to answer for the firmess of his future adherence to the principles he professes, I have no reason to presume that he will hereaster difgrace them. As for all those imaginary cases, which Mr. Horne so petulantly urges against me, I have one plain, honest answer to make to him. -Whenever Mr. Wilkes shall be convicted of foliciting a pension, an embassy, or a government, he must depart from that situation, and renounce that character, which he assumes at present, and which, in my opinion, intitle him to the support of the public. By the same act, and at the same moment, he will forfeit his power of mortifying the King; and though he can never be a favourite at St. James's, his baseness may administer a solid satisfaction to the royal mind. The man, I speak of, has not a heart

tures.

Horn grant ventic exagg what who n moral the ex in a n to rej conne made years crimes ble. appeal his ow fences nausec the pu what e

constit

mately l

trust a

heart to feel for the frailties of his fellow-creatures. It is their virtues that afflict, it is their vices that confole him.

d

e 0

-

ly

t-

30

25

m

11-

I

er

e,

n.

of

11-

nd

at im

et,

his

he fe-

he

. 8 art

I GIVE every possible advantage to Mr. Horne, when I take the facts he refers to for granted. That they are the produce of his invention, feems highly propable; that they are exaggerated I have no doubt. At the worst, what do they amount to, but that Mr. Wilkes, who never was thought of as a perfect pattern of morality, has not been at all times proof against the extremity of distress. How shameful is it, in a man who has lived in friendship with him, to reproach him with failings, too naturally connected with despair! Is no allowance to bemade for banishment and ruin? Does a two years imprisonment make no atonement for his crimes?—The refentment of a priest is implacable. No fufferings can soften, no penitence can appeale him.-Yet he himself, I think, upon his own fystem, has a multitude of political offences to atone for. I will not infift upon the nauseous detail, with which he so long disgusted the public. He feems to be ashamed of it. But what excuse will he make to the friends of the constitution for labouring to promote this consummately bad man to a station of the highest national trust and importance? Upon what honourable, motives

H 4

motives did he recommend him to the livery of London for their representative;—to the ward of Farringdon for their alderman;—to the county of Middlesex for their knight? Will he affirm that, at that time, he was ignorant of Mr. Wilkes's solicitations to the ministry?—That he should say so, is indeed very necessary for his own justification, but where will he find credulity to believe him?

In what school this gentleman learned his ethics I know not. His logic feems to have been studied under Mr. Dyson. That miserable pamphleteer, by dividing the only precedent in point, and taking as much of it as fuited his purpose, had reduced his argument upon the Middlesex election to something like the shape of a fyllogism. Mr. Horne has conducted himfelf with the same ingenuity and candour. I had affirmed that Mr. Wilkes would preferve the public favour, "as long as he stood forth a-" gainst a ministry and parliament, who were "doing every thing they could to enflave the country, and as long as he was a thorn in the "King's fide." Yet, from the exulting triumph of Mr. Horne's reply, one would think that I had rested my expectation, that Mr. Wilkes would be supported by the public, upon the fingle condition of his mortifying the King. This

This fury is fol

Is fingl tende preffi mear fepar coole pofes to the the S nor is of thi know not d throw them his r his fa in the must This, and th

maxi

cessar

This may be logic at Cambridge or at the Treafury, but among men of sense and honour, it is folly or villainy in the extreme.

of

of ty

m

Ir.

he

nis

U-

115

en

ole

in

he

pe

m-

I

he a-

ere

he

ri-

nk

Ar.

on ng.

his

I SEE the pitiful advantage he has taken of a fingle unguarded expression, in a letter not intended for the public. Yet it is only the expression that is unguarded. I adhere to the true meaning of that member of the fentence, taken feparately as be takes it, and now, upon the coolest deliberation, reassert that, for the purposes I referred to, it may be highly meritorious to the public, to wound the personal feelings of the Sovereign. It is not a general proposition, nor is it generally applied to the chief magistrate of this, or any other constitution. Mr. Horne knows as well as I do, that the best of princes is not displeased with the abuse which he sees thrown upon his oftenfible ministers. It makes them, I presume, more properly the objects of his royal compassion; -neither does it escape his fagacity, that the lower they are degraded in the public esteem, the more submissively they must depend upon his favour for protection. This, I affirm upon the most solemn conviction, and the most certain knowledge, is a leading maxim in the policy of the closet. It is unnecessary to pursue the argument any farther.

H 5

Mr.

Mr. Horne is now a very loyal subject. He laments the wretched state of politics in this country, and fees, in a new light, the weakness and folly of the opposition. Whoever or whatever is Sovereign, demands the respect and support of the people \*, it was not fo, when Nero fiddled while Rome was burning. Our gracious Sovereign has had wonderful fuccess in creating new attachments to bis person and family. owes it, I presume, to the regular system he has pursued in the mystery of conversion. He began with an experiment upon the Scotch, and concludes with converting Mr. Horne. What a pity it is, that the Jews should be condemned by Providence to wait for a Messiah of their own!

THE priesthood are accused of misinterpreting the scriptures. Mr. Horne has improved upon his profession. He alters the text, and creates a resutable doctrine of his own. Such artifices cannot long delude the understanding of the people; and without meaning an indecent comparison, I may venture to foretell, that the Bible and Junius will be read, when the commentaries of the Jesuits are forgotten.

JUNIUS.

LET-

TO

S

havi Funi to ra His topio the true If I gree Var carr thou word the publ Ian mof be g

ples

by t

of h

<sup>\*</sup> The very foliloque of Lord Suffolk, before he paffed the Rubicon.

# LETTER LV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC AD-

SIR,

He

this

r or

Supfid-

So-

ing

He

has

be-

and

at a

ned

neir

et-

red

nd

ich

ng

de-

nat

he

ff-

Γ.

26. August, 1771.

HE enemies of the people, having now nothing better to object to my friend Junius, are at last obliged to quit his politics and to rail at him for crimes he is not guilty of. His vanity and impiety are now the perpetual topics of their abuse. I do not mean to lessen the force of fuch charges, (supposing they were true), but to shew that they are not founded. If I admitted the premises, I should readily agree in all the consequences drawn from them. Vanity indeed is a venial error, for it usually carries its own punishment with it; -but if I thought Junius capable of uttering a difrespectful word of the religion of his country, I should be the first to renounce and give him up to the public contempt and indignation. As a man, I am satisfied that he is a Christian upon the most fincere conviction. As a writer, he would be grossly inconsistent with his political principles, if he dared to attack a religion established by those laws, which it feems to be the purpose of his life to defend.—Now for the proofs.— Fu-

nius

nius is accused of an impious allusion to the holy sacrament, where he says that, if Lord Weymouth be denied the cup, there will be no keeping
bim within the pale of the ministry. Now, Sir, I
affirm that this passage refers intirely to a ceremonial in the Roman catholic church, which
denies the cup to the laity. It has no manner
of relation to the Protestant creed, and is, in this
country, as fair an object of ridicule as transubstantiation, or any other part of Lord Peter's
history in the Tale of the Tub.

Bur Junius is charged with equal vanity and impiety, in comparing his writings to the holy fcripture.-The formal protest he makes against any fuch comparison, avails him nothing. becomes necessary then to shew that the charge destroys itself.-If he be vain, he cannot be impious. A vain man does not usually compare himself to an object, which it is his design to undervalue. On the other hand, if he be impious, he cannot be vain. For his impiety, if any, must consist in his endeavouring to degrado the holy scriptures by a comparison with his This would be own contemptible writings. folly indeed of the groffest nature, but where lies the vanity ?- I shall now be told,- " Sir, " what you fay is plaufible enough, but still you must allow that it is shamefully impruas dent

Agree at his he exive may lignatin be Supp would must trate

idea (

ally a candi in ho true to rest of all rand co hearty ny; to Reverse of the kneeling.

-

y-

ng I

ech

er

is b-

2'9

nd

oly

It

ge

m-

are

to

mif

ado

his

be ere

ir,

till ru-

nt

"dent in Junius to tell us that his works will "live as long as the Bible." My answer is—Agreed: but first prove that he has said so. Look at his words, and you will find that the utmost he expects is, that the Bible and Junius will survive the commentaries of the Jesuits, which may prove true in a fortnight. The most malignant sagacity cannot shew that his works are, in his opinion, to live as long as the Bible.—Suppose I were to foretell that Jack and Tom would survive Harry.—Does it follow that Jack must live as long as Tom? I would only illustrate my meaning and protest against the least idea of profaneness.

YET this is the way in which Junius is usually answered, arraigned and convicted. These candid critics never remember any thing he says in honour of our holy religion; though it is true that one of his leading arguments is made to rest upon the internal evidence which the purest of all religions carries with it. I quote his words, and conclude from them, that he is a true and hearty Christian, in substance, not in ceremony; though possibly he may not agree with my Reverend Lords the Bishops, or with the Head of the Church, that prayers are morality, or that kneeling is religion.

PHILO JUNIUS. LET-

### LETTER LVI.

FROM THE REVEREND MR. HORNE TO JUNIUS.

17. August, 1771.

I CONGRATULATE you, Sir, on the recovery of your wonted style, though it has cost you a fortnight. I compassionate your labour in the composition of your letters, and will communicate to you the secret of my sluency.—
Truth needs no ornament; and, in my opinion, what she borrows of the pencil is deformity.

You brought a positive charge against me of corruption. I denied the charge, and called for your proofs. You replied with abuse and re-afferted your charge. I called again for proof. You reply again with abuse only, and drop your accusation. In your fortnight's letter there is not one word upon the subject of my corruption.

I HAVE no more to fay, but to return thanks to you for your condescension, and to a grateful public and bonest ministry for all the favours they have conferred upon me. The two latter, I am folking fave tion after my know prejudent one general

pane ment will I

bour

ter;

I am sure, will never refuse me any grace I shall solicit; and since you have been pleased to acknowledge that you told a deliberate lye in my favour out of bounty, and as a charitable donation, why may I not expect that you will hereafter (if you do not forget you ever mentioned my name with disrespect) make the same acknowledgement for what you have said to my prejudice?—This second recantation will perhaps be more abhorrent from your disposition; but should you decline it, you will only afford one more instance how much easier it is to be generous than just, and that men are sometimes bountiful who are not honest.

n

15

1-

ill

)i-

-10

of

ed

nd

for

and

letmy

nks teful ours

ter,

AT all events I am as well fatisfied with your panegyric as Lord Chatham can be. Monument I shall have none; but over my grave it will be said, in your own words, "Horne's situation did not correspond with bis intentions \*."

# JOHN HORNE.

\* The epitaph would not be ill fuited to the character;—At the best, it is but equivocal.

LET.

# LETTER LVII.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON.

MY LORD,

28. Sept. 1771,

THE people of England are not apprifed of the full extent of their obligations to They have yet no adequate idea of the endless variety of your character. They have feen you distinguished and successful in the continued violation of those moral and political duties, by which the little, as well as the great focieties of life, are collected and held together. Every colour, every character became you.-With a rate of abilities, which Lord Weymouth very justly looks down upon with contempt, you have done as much mischief to the community as Cromwell would have done, if Cromwell had been a coward, and as much as Machiavel, if Macbiavel had not known, that an appearance of morals and religion are useful in society.-To a thinking man, the influence of the crown will, in no view, appear so formidable, as when he observes to what enormous excesses it has safely conducted your Grace, without a ray of real understanding, without even the pretensions to common decency or principle of any kind, or a fingle

the o whic gator eft ft fence quali tion, ashan Like fuperi the ft: vice a ments Majef withir moder confid and or cies. gion o model ral refi fided in lects an naries, rishes a

predece

from w

fingl

fingle spark of personal resolution. What must be the operation of that pernicious influence, (for which our Kings have wifely exchanged the nugatory name of prerogative) that, in the higheft stations, can fo abundantly supply the abfence of virtue, courage, and abilities, and qualify a man to be the minister of a great nation, whom a private gentleman would be ashamed and afraid to admit into his family! Like the universal passport of an ambassador, it supersedes the prohibition of the laws, banishes the staple virtues of the country, and introduces vice and folly triumphantly into all the departments of the state. Other princes, besides his Majesty, have had the means of corruption within their reach, but they have used it with moderation. In former times corruption was confidered as a foreign auxiliary to government, and only called in upon extraordinary emergen-The unfeigned piety, the fanctified religion of George the Third have taught him to new model the civil forces of the state. The natural refources of the crown are no longer confided in. Corruption glitters in the van ;-collects and maintains a standing army of mercenaries, and, at the same moment, impoverishes and inflaves the country.—His Majesty's predecessors, (excepting that worthy family, from which you, my Lord, are unquestionably descended,)

1.

to

ve nu-

eat er.

th ou ity

ad if

wn

ien ife-

eal to

or a

gle

descended,) had some generous qualities in their composition, with vices, I confess, or frailties in abundance. They were Kings or gentlemen, not hypocrites or priests. were at the head of the church, but did not know the value of their office. They faid their prayers without ceremony, and had too little priestcraft in their understanding, to reconcile the fanctimonious forms of religion with the utter destruction of the moralite their people.-My Lord this is fact, not declamation.-With all your partiality to the house of Stuart, you must confess, that even Charles the Second would have blushed at that open encouragement, at those eager, meretricious caresses, with which every species of private vice and public prosiitution is received at St. James's .- The unfortunate house of Stuart has been treated with an afperity, which, if comparison be a defence, feems to border upon injustice. Neither Charles nor his brother were qualified to support such a fystem of measures, as would be necessary, to change the government, and subvert the constitution of England. One of them was too much in earnest in his pleasures,-the other in his religion. But the danger to this country would cease to be problematical, if the crown should ever descend to a prince, whose apparent simplicity might throw his subjects off their guard,-

guar --- (V him, pose conf thefe adva are. a the v mon knov both am r you : great dime requi stand derfi ing t migh fic w attain and c no ei In for

ftroy

dom.

tifica

in

or

Or

ey

ot

eir

tle

ile

ut-

ith

ou

uld

at

ich

fti-

tu-

af-

ce,

rles

ha

, to

on-

too

rin

itry

wn

ent heir

,--

guard,—who might be no libertine in behaviour -who should have no sense of honour to restrain him, and who, with just religion enough to impose upon the multitude, might have no scruples of conscience to interfere with his morality. With these honourable qualifications, and the decisive advantage of fituation, low craft and falsehood are all the abilities that are wanting to destroy the wisdom of ages, and to deface the noblest monument that man policy has erected-I know fuch a man; -My Lord, I know you both; and with the bleffing of God (for I too am religious,) the people of England shall know you as well as I do. I am not very fure that greater abilities would not in effect be an impediment to a defign, which feems at first fight to require a superior capacity. A better underflanding might make him sensible of the wonderful beauty of that system he was endeavouring to corrupt. The danger of the attempt might alarm him. The meanness, and intrinfic worthlessness of the object (supposing he could attain it) would fill him with shame, repentance and difgust. But these are sensations which find no entrance into a barbarous, contracted heart. In some men, there is a malignant passion to destroy the works of genius, literature, and freedom. The Vandal and the Monk find equal gratification in it.

REFLECTIONS

REFLECTIONS like these, my Lord, have a general relation to your Grace, and inseparably attend you, in whatever company or situation your character occurs to us. They have no immediate connection with the following recent sact, which I lay before the public, for the honour of the best of Sovereigns, and for the edification of his people.

A PRINCE (whose picty and felf-denial one would think, might fecure him from fuch a multitude of worldly necessities,) with an annual revenue of near a million sterling, unfortunately wants money .- The navy of England, by an equally strange concurrence of unforeseen circumstances, (though not quite fo unfortunately for his Majesty) is in equal want of timber. The world knows, in what a hopeful condition you delivered the navy to your fuccessor, and in what a condition we found it in the moment of You were determined it should continue in the fituation in which you left it. It happened, however, very luckily for the privy purse, that one of the above wants promised fair to supply the other. Our religious, benevolent, generous Sovereign, has no objection to felling bis own timber to bis own admiralty, to repair bis own ships, nor to putting the money into bis own pocket. People of a religious turn naturally

turall Wha Upon extra fable was d all the Havin tentic had a every in W think. this re the tre which down **should** menti the w princi North the pu forest. warra mous,

ingly.

officer

have r

e a

bly

ion

m-

ent

ho-

one

iul-

re-

an cir-

tely

The

you

l in

nti-

ap-

rivy

fair

ent, ling

pair

into

na-

turally adhere to the principles of the church. Whatever they acquire falls into mortmain. Upon a representation from the admiralty of the extraordinary want of timber, for the indispenfable repairs of the navy, the furveyor general was directed to make a furvey of the timber in all the royal chaces and forests in England .-Having obeyed his orders with accuracy and attention, he reported, that the finest timber he had any where met with, and the properest in every respect for the purposes of the navy, was in Whittlebury Forest, of which your Grace, I think, is hereditary ranger. In consequence of this report, the usual warrant was prepared at the treasury, and delivered to the surveyor, by which he or his deputy were authorised to cut down any trees in Whittlebury Forest, which should appear to be proper for the purposes above mentioned. The deputy being informed that the warrant was figned and delivered to his principal in London, croffes the country to Northamptonshire, and with an officious zeal for the public fervice, begins to do his duty in the forest. Unfortunately for him he had not the warrant in his pocket. The overfight was enormous, and you have punished him for it accordingly. You have infifted that an active, useful officer should be dismissed from his place. have ruined an innocent man and his family.-In

In what language shall I address so black, so cowardly a tyrant; -thou worse than one of the Brunswicks, and all the Stuarts!-To them, who know Lord North, it is unnecessary to fay, that he was mean and base enough to submit to This however is but a small part of the After ruining the furveyor's deputy, for acting without the warrant, you attack the war-You declared it was illegal, and rant itself. fwore, in a fit of foaming, frantic passion, that it never should be executed. You afferted upon your honour, that in the grant of the rangership of Whittlebury Forest, made by Charles the Second (whom, with a modesty that would do honour to Mr. Rigby, you are pleased to call your an. cestor) to one of his bastards, (from whom I make no doubt of your descent,) the property of the timber is vested in the ranger.—I have examined the original grant, and now, in the face of the public, contradict you directly upon the fact. The very reverse, of what you have asferted upon your honour is the truth. The grant, expressly, and by a particular clause, referves the property of the timber for the use of the crown .- In spite of this evidence, -in defiance of the reprefentations of the admiralty,in perfect mockery of the notorious distresses of the English navy, and those equally pressing, and almost equally notorious necessities of your pious

pious lords deput Mr. J five to fulted King may J in the appearman, dom itreach

the m

your to rob order fon-in Nullun boafte partiz Second facred ed by the interpretation of the particular of the particular

you to

0-

ne

n,

у,

to

he

for

ar-

ind

hat

on

hip

ond

our an·

m I

y of

xa-

face

the

af-

The

re-

fe of

defi-

y,-

es of

ling,

your

oious

pious Sovereign,—here the matter rests.—The lords of the treasury recall their warrant; the deputy surveyor is ruined for doing his duty;—Mr. John Pitt, (whose name I suppose is offensive to you) submits to be brow-beaten and insulted;—the oaks keep their ground;—the King is destrauded, and the navy of England may perish for want of the best and finest timber in the island. And all this is submitted to—to appease the Duke of Graston!—To gratify the man, who has involved the King and his kingdom in confusion and distress, and who, like a treacherous coward, deserted his Sovereign in the midst of it.

THERE has been a strange alteration in your doctrines, since you thought it adviseable to rob the Duke of Portland of his property, in order to strengthen the interest of Lord Bute's son-in-law, before the last general election. Nullum tempus occurrit regi, was then your boasted motto, and the cry of all your hungry partizans. Now it seems a grant of Charles the Second to one of his bastards is to be held sacred and inviolable! It must not be questioned by the King's servants, nor submitted to any interpretation but your own.—My Lord, this was not the language you held, when it suited you to insult the memory of the glorious deti-

verer

verer of England from that detested family, to which you are still more nearly allied in principle than in blood .- In the name of decency and common-sense, what are your grace's merits, either with King or ministry, that should intitle you to assume this domineering authority over both?—Is it the fortunate confanguinity you claim with the house of Stuart?—Is it the secret correspondence you have for so many years carried on with Lord Bute, by the affiduous affiftance of your cream coloured parafite?-Could not your gallantry find sufficient employment for him, in those gentle offices by which he first acquired the tender friendship of Lord Barrington?—Or is it only that wonderful sympathy of manners, which subsists between your Grace and one of your superiors, and does so much honour to you both?——Is the union of Blifil and Black George no longer a romance !- From whatever origin your influence in this country arises, it is a phænomenon in the history of human virtue and understanding.-Good men can hardly believe the fact. Wise men are unable to account for it. Religious men find exercise for their faith, and make it the last effort of their piety, not to repine against providence.

JUNIUS.

LET-

AD1

the giftr eft p fluen pinic anne refoli magi are g every I will that I which at sta ment to be tice. peace. rate, While

Vo

a rule

#### LETTER LVIII.

ADDRESSED TO THE LIVERY OF LONDON.

GENTLEMEN,

30. Sept. 1771.

IF you alone were concerned in the event of the present election of a chief magistrate of the metropolis, it would be the highest presumption in a stranger, to attempt to influence your choice, or even to offer you his opinion. But the situation of public affairs has annexed an extraordinary importance to your resolutions. You cannot, in the choice of your magistrate, determine for yourselves only. You are going to determine upon a point, in which every member of the community is interested. I will not scruple to say, that the very being of that law, of that right, of that constitution, for which we have been fo long contending, is now They, who would enfnare your judgat stake. ment, tell you, it is a common ordinary case, and to be decided by ordinary precedent and practice. They artfully conclude, from moderate, peaceable times, to times which are not moderate, and which ought not to be peaceable .-While they folicit your favour, they infift upon a rule of rotation, which excludes all idea of election.

VOL. II.

I

LET

nciand rits,

, to

title

over you

cret car-

ffist-

ould nent

first ring-

y of race

nuch Blifil

Frem

intry y of

men

e und ex-

ast ef-

provi-

US.

ET-

LET me be honoured with a few minutes of your attention.-The question, to those who mean fairly to the liberty of the people, (which we all profess to have in view) lies within a very narrow compass,-Do you mean to desert that just and honourable system of measures which you have hitherto purfued, in hopes of obtaining from parliament, or from the crown, a full redress of past grievances, and a security for the future ?- Do you think the cause desperate, and will you declare, that you think to to the whole people of England?—If this be your meaning and opinion, you will act confiftently with it, in choosing Mr. Nash .- I profess to be unacquainted with his private cha-But he has acted as a magistrate, -as a public man .- As fuch I speak of him, -I see his name in a protest against one of your remonstrances to the crown.-He has done every thing in his power to destroy the freedom of popular elections in the city by publishing the poll upon a former occasion; and I know, in general, that he has distinguished himself, by flighting and thwarting all those public meafures, which you have engaged in with the greatest warmth, and hitherto thought most worthy of your approbation.-From his past conduct, what conclusion will you draw, but that he will act the same part as Lord Mayor, which he has invariably

invacant he thou who tion cond

opin

IF vere whic hono to th respe in th not to meta they o The prope You Are I ecute duties comm they Have

venal

s of

who

nich

n a

efert

ures

s of

n, a irity

def-

hink

is be

nfift-

pro-

cha-

-as a

[ fee

r re-

very

m of

g the

n ge-

f, by

mea-

reat-

orthy

duct,

e has

invariably acted as Alderman and Sheriff? He cannot alter his conduct, without confessing that he never acted upon principle of any kind.—I should be forry to injure the character of a man, who perhaps may be honest in his intention, by supposing it possible, that he can ever concur with you in any political measure of opinion.

Ir, on the other hand, you mean to perfewere in those resolutions for the public good, which though not always fuccessful, are always honourable, your choice will naturally incline to those men, who, (whatever they be in other respects,) are most likely to co-operate with you in the great purposes which you are determined not to relinquish: - The question is not, of what metal your instruments are made, but whether they are adapted to the work you have in band? The honours of the city, in thefe times, are improperly, because exclusively, called a reward. You mean not merely to pay, but to employ. Are Mr. Crosby and Mr. Sawbridge likely to execute the extraordinary, as well as the ordinary duties of Lord Mayor?-Will they grant you common halls when it shall be necessary ?-Will they go up with remonstrances to the King ?-Have they firmness enough to meet the fury of 3 venal house of commons?—Have they forti-12 tude

tude enough not to shrink at imprisonment?-Have they spirit enough to hazard their lives and fortunes in a contest, if it should be necesfary, with a proflituted legislature ?- If these questions can fairly be answered in the affirmative, your choice is made. Forgive this paffionate language.- I am unable to correct it.-The subject comes home to us all .-- It is the lan-JUNIUS. guage of my heart.

## LETTER LIX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC AD. VERTISER.

SIR,

5. 08. 1771.

No man laments, more fincerely than I do, the unhappy differences, which have arisen among the friends of the people, and divided them from each other. The cause undoubtedly fuffers, as well by the diminution of that strength, which union carries with it, as by the separate loss of personal reputation, which every man sustains, when his character and conduct are frequently held forth in odious or contemptible colours. These differences are only advantageous to the common enemy of the country. The hearty friends of the cause

tache renou and v rality damer

are

voca

into

that

ly c

The

men

indu

the p an a

who have

have

the c anim

pose.

ons i impra

worst

fuade

confe

to tha

prefer

to his

?ives cefhefe maaffilan-US. AD. 771. cerehich ople, cause ution h it,

cerechich
ople,
cause
ution
ch it,
tion,
acter
dious
ences
my of
cause
are

are provoked and difgusted .- The lukewarm advocate avails himself of any pretence to relapse into that indolent indifference about every thing that ought to interest an Englishman, so unjustly dignified with the title of moderation .-The falfe, infidious partizan, who creates or foments the disorder, sees the fruit of his dishonest industry ripen beyond his hopes, and rejoices in the promise of a banquet, only delicious to such an appetite as his own.—It is time for those, who really mean the Cause and the People, who have no view to private advantage, and who have virtue enough to prefer the general good of the community to the gratification of personal animofities,-it is time for fuch men to interpose.-Let us try whether these fatal dissentions may not yet be reconciled; or, if that be impracticable, let us guard at least against the worst effects of division, and endeavour to perfuade thefe furious partizans, if they will not consent to draw together, to be separately useful to that cause, which they all pretend to be attached to .- Honour and honesty must not be renounced, although a thousand modes of right and wrong were to occupy the degrees of morality between Zeno and Epicurus. The fundamental principles of Christianity may still be preserved, though every zealous sectary adheres to his own exclusive doctrine, and pious Eccle-Gaffics I 3

fiaftics make it part of their religion to perfecute one another.-The civil constitution too, that legal liberty, that general creed, which every Englishman professes, may still be supported. though Wilkes, and Horne, and Townfend, and Sawbridge, should obstinately refuse to communicate, and even if the fathers of the church. if Savil, Richmond, Camden, Rockingham, and Chatham, should disagree in the ceremonies of their political worship, and even in the interpretation of twenty texts in Magna Charta.-I speak to the people as one of the people.—Let us employ these men in whatever departments their various abilities are best suited to, and as much to the advantage of the common cause, as their different inclinations will permit. They cannot ferve us, without effentially ferving themfelves.

Ir Mr. Nash be elected, he will hardly venture, after so recent a mark of the personal esteem of his fellow-citizens, to declare himself immediately a courtier. The spirit and activity of the Sheriffs will, I hope, be sufficient to counteract any sinister intentions of the Lord-Mayor. In collision with their virtue, perhaps he may take fire.

IT is not necessary to exact from Mr. Wilkes the

the with mer yet fort an a that pedi but con that were retre as F ther by p guilt The

I and i man ment tent, ours.

ory t

whice Defe

the virtues of a Stoic. They were inconfishent with themselves, who, almost at the same moment, represented him as the basest of mankind, yet feemed to expect from him fuch instances of fortitude and felf-denial, as would do honour to an apostle. It is not however flattery to fay, that he is obstinate, intrepid, and fertile in expedients. That he has no possible resource, but in the public favour, is, in my judgment, a confiderable recommendation of him. I with that every man, who pretended to popularity, were in the same predicament. I wish that a retreat to St. James's were not so easy and open, as Patriots have found it. To Mr. Wilkes. there is no access. However he may be misled by passion or imprudence, I think he cannot be guilty of a deliberate treachery to the public. The favour of his country constitutes the shield, which defends him against a thousand daggers. Desertion would disarm him.

I CAN more readily admire the liberal spirit and integrity, than the sound judgement of any man, who prefers a republican form of government, in this or any other empire of equal extent, to a monarchy so qualified and limited as ours. I am convinced, that neither is it in theory the wisest system of government, nor practicable in this country. Yet, though I hope

I 4

the

kes

ute

hat

ery

ed,

nd,

m-

ch,

ım,

nies

er-

Let

nts

las

ife,

ney

m-

enef-

felf

ity

ın-

or.

ay

the English constitution will for ever preserve its original monarchial form, I would have the manners of the people purely and firicity republican .- I do not mean the licentious spirit of anarchy and riot .- I mean a general attachment to the common weal, distinct from any partial attachment to persons or families; -- an implicit submission to the laws only, and an affection to the magistrate, proportioned to the integrity and wisdom, with which he distributes justice to his people, and administers their affairs. The prefent habit of our political body appears to me the very reverse of what it ought to be: 'The form of the constitution leans rather more than enough to the popular branch; while, in effect, the manners of the people (of those at least who are likely to take a lead in the country) incline too generally to a dependance upon the crown. The real friends of arbitrary power combine the facts, and are not inconsistent with their principles, when they strenuously support the unwarrantable privileges assumed by the House of Commons. In these circumstances, it were much to be defired, that we had many such men as Mr. Sawbridge to represent us in parliament .- I speak from common report and opinion only, when I impute to him a speculative predilection in fayour of a republic. In the personal conduct and manners of the man, I cannot be mistaken.

He had can fin which and as of ano

MR gratitu -It is have fi of his the aff does no they a He has A your himfelf polite a he foun I fancy ever he With al it woul

I have Mr. Ho men will however

return.

He has shewn himself possessed of that republican firmness, which the times require, and by which an English gentleman may be as usefully and as honourably distinguished, as any citizen of ancient Rome, of Athens, or Lacedæmon.

Mr. Townsend complains, that the public gratitude has not been answerable to his deserts. -It is not difficult to trace the artifices, which have fuggested to him a language, so unworthy of his understanding. A great man commands the affections of the people. A prudent man does not complain when he has loft them. Yet they are far from being loft to Mr. Townfend. He has treated our opinion a little too cavalierly. A young man is apt to rely too confidently upon himself, to be as attentive to his mistress, as a polite and passionate lover ought to be. Perhaps he found her at first too easy a conquest .- Yet, I fancy, she will be ready to receive him, whenever he thinks proper to renew his addresses. With all his youth, his spirit, and his appearance, it would be indecent in the lady to folicit his return.

I have too much respect for the abilities of Mr. Horne, to flatter myself that these gentlemen will ever be cordially re-united. It is not, however, unreasonable to expect, that each of

them should act his seperate part, with honour and integrity to the public.—As for differences of opinion upon speculative questions, if we wait until they are reconciled, the action of human affairs must be suspended for ever. But neither are we to look for perfection in any one man, nor for agreement among many.-When Lord Chatham affirms, that the authority of the British legislature is not supreme over the colonies, in the same sense in which it is supreme over Great Britain; ---- when Lord Camden supposes a necessity, (which the King is to judge of) and, founded upon that necessity, attributes to the crown a legal power (not given by the act itself) to suspend the operation of an act of the legislature, -- I listen to them both with diffidence and respect, but without the smallest degree of conviction or assent. Yet, I doubt not, they delivered their real fentiments, nor ought they to be hastily condemned .- I too have a claim to the candid interpretation of my country, when I acknowledge an involuntary, compulfive affent to one very unpopular opinion. I lament the unhappy necessity, whenever it arises, of providing for the safety of the state, by a temporary invasion of the personal liberty of the subject. Would to God it were practicable to reconcile these important objects, in every possible situation of public affairs !- I regard the legal liberty of the

the own Ikn neve righ fervi ed or all ar mem assen reme ance long berlef highl attem fubje & compl -Th must 1 little . abuse power from t never

his cou

can ha

is that upon o

the meanest man in Britain, as much as my own, and would defend it with the same zeal. I know we must stand or fall together. But I never can doubt, that the community has a right to command, as well as to purchase, the fervice of its members. I fee that right founded originally upon a necessity, which supersedes all argument. I fee it established by usage immemorial, and admitted by more than a tacit affent of the legislature. I conclude there is no remedy, in the nature of things, for the grievance complained of; for if there were, it must long fince have been redreffed. Though numberless opportunities have presented themselves, highly favourable to public liberty, no successful attempts has ever been made for the relief of the subject in this article. Yet it has been felt and complained of, ever fince England had a navy. -The conditions, which constitute this right, must be taken together. Separately, they have little weight. It is not fair to argue, from any abuse in the execution, to the illegallity of the power; much less is a conclusion to be drawn from the navy to the land fervice. A feaman can never be employed but against the enemies of. his country. The only case in which the King can have a right to arm his fubjects in general, is that of a foreign force being actually landed upon our coast. Whenever that case happens,

e

e

0

f

e

e

of

-

0

e

1

it

e

)-

y

le

n

of

e

no true Englishman will enquire, whether the King's right to compel him to defend his country be the custom of England, or a grant of the legislature. With regard to the press for seamen, it does not follow that the symptoms may not be softened, although the distemper cannot be cured. Let bounties be increased as far as the public purse can support them. Still they have a limit; and when every reasonable expence is incurred, it will be found, in sact, that the spur of the press is wanted to give operation to the bounty.

Upon the whole, I never had a doubt about the strict right of pressing, until I heard that Lord Mansfield had applauded Lord Chatham for delivering fomething like this doctrine in the house of lords. That consideration staggered me not a little. But, upon reflection, his conduct accounts naturally for itself. He knew the doctrine was unpopular, and was eager to fix it upon the man, who is the first object of his fear and detestation. The cunning Scotchman never speaks truth without a fraudulent defign. In council, he generally affects to take a moderate part. Besides his natural timidity, it makes part of his political plan, never to be known to recommend violent measures. When the guards are called forth to murder their fellow-subjects,

it is no field. him, i Weym Hillsbo distant are mo Who a Mansfi power ' judge e Mansfie the Kin first ran a fuit fo greater Lord M of the g forms a against ! himfelf ament, was in c Mansfie fludy an alter the

court c

There r

answered

it is not by the oftenfible advice of Lord Mansfield. That odious office, his prudence tells him, is better left to fuch men as Gower and Weymouth, as Barrington and Grafton. Lord Hillsborough wisely confines bis firmness to the distant Americans .- The defigns of Mansfield are more subtle, more effectual, and secure.-Who attacks the liberty of the press?-Lord Mansfield.—Who invades the conflitutional power of juries?-Lord Mansfield.-What judge ever challenged a juryman, but Lord Mansfield ?-Who was that judge, who, to fave the King's brother, affirmed that a man of the first rank and quality, who obtains a verdict in a fuit for criminal conversation, is entitled to no greater damages than the meanest mechanic ?-Lord Mansfield. -- Who is it makes commissioners of the great feal ?-Lord Mansfield .- Who is it forms a decree for those commissioners, deciding against Lord Chatham, and afterwards (finding himself opposed by the judges) declares in parliament, that he never had a doubt that the law was in direct opposition to that decree?-Lord Mansfield.—Who is he, that has made it the study and practice of his life, to undermine and alter the whole system of jurisprudence in the court of King's Bench?-Lord Mansfield. There never existed a man but himself, who answered exactly to so complicated a description. Compared

Compared to these enormities, his original attachment to the Pretender, (to whom his dearest brother was confidental tecretary) is a virtue of the first magnitude. But the hour of impeachment will come, and neither he nor Grafton shall escape me. Now let them make common cause against England and the house of Hanover. A Stuart and a Murray should sympathise with each other.

WHEN I refer to fignal instances of unpopular opinions delivered and maintained by men, who may well be supposed to have no view but the public good, I do not mean to renew the discussion of such opinions. I should be forry to revive the dormant questions of of Stamp-act, Corn-bill, or Press-warrant. I mean only to illustrate one useful proposition, which it is the intention of this paper to inculcate; -That we should not generally reject the friendship or services of any man, because be differs from us in a particular opinion. This will not appear a superstuous caution, if we observe the ordinary conduct of mankind. In public affairs, there is the least chance of a perfect concurrence of fentiment, or inclination. Yet every man is able to contribute fomething to the common flock, and no man's contribution should be rejected. If individuals have no virtues, their vices may be of use

nie new he f The moti triot is a is wo cans and groui prove which withi ftolen duced fant a the n tion .nel Ba the fil ing in plund to be : -Let while be po:

deserti

pous ,

use to us. I care not with what principle the new-born patriot is animated, if the measures he supports are beneficial to the community. The nation is interested in his conduct. motives are his own. The properties of a patriot are perishable in the individual, but there is a quick succession of subjects, and the breed is worth preferving .- The spirit of the Americans may be an useful example to us. Our dogs and horses are only English upon English ground; but patriotism, it seems, may be improved by transplanting .- I will not reject a bill. which tends to confine parliamentary privilege within reasonable bounds, though it should be stolen from the house of Cavendish, and introduced by Mr. Onflow. The features of the infant are a proof of the descent, and vindicate the noble birth from the baseness of the adoption.—I willingly accept of a farcasm from Colonel Barre, or a simile from Mr. Burke. the filent vote of Mr. Calcraft is worth reckoning in a division.—What though he riots in the plunder of the army, and has only determined to be a patriot, when he could not be a peer? -Let us profit by the affistance of such men, while they are with us, and place them, if it be possible, in the post of danger, to prevent desertion. The wary Wedderburne, the pomppous Suffolk never threw away the scabbard, nor

ı,

le

0

7,

1-

1-

ve

es

u-

us

of

ast

ıt,

n-

no

di-

of

ofe

nor ever went upon a forlorn hope. They always treated the King's fervants as men, with whom, fome time or other, they might possibly be in friendship.-When a man who stands forth for the public, has gone that length, from which there is no practicable retreat, -when he has given that kind of personal offence, which a pious monarch never pardons, I then begin to think him in earnest, and that he never will have occasion to solicit the forgiveness of his country.-But instances of a determination so entire and unreserved are rarely met with. Let us take mankind, as they are. Let us distribute the virtues and abilities of individuals, according to the offices they affect, and when they quit the fervice, let us endeavour to supply their places with better men than we have loft. In this country, there are always candidates enough for popular favour. The temple of fame is the shortest passage to riches and preferment.

ABOVE all things, let me guard my countrymen against the meanness and folly of accepting of a trifling or moderate compensation for extraordinary and essential injuries. Our enemies treat us, as the cunning trader does the unskilful Indian. They magnify their generosity, when they give us baubles, of little proportionate

portio fame . flituer prefun claring withou who g ted at abroad prisone affertir the lay dered : fuspend graciou may be contest mined the cafe quence feptenn or of th a day .momen prove,

injuries

monstra

float and

ation.

portionate value, for ivory and gold. The same house of commons, who robbed the conflituent body of the right of free election, who prefumed to make a law under pretence of declaring it, who paid our good King's debts, without once enquiring how they were incurred; who gave thanks for repeated murders committed at home, and for national infamy incurred abroad; who screened Lord Mansfield; who imprisoned the magistrates of the metropolis, for afferting the subjects right to the protection of the laws; who erased a judicial record, and ordered all proceedings in a criminal fuit to be suspended; -this very house of commons have graciously confented, that their own members may be compelled to pay their debts, and that contested elections shall for the future be determined with some decent regard to the merits of the case. The event of the suit is of no consequence to the crown. While parliaments are septennial, the purchase of the fitting member or of the petitioner makes but the difference of a day.—Concessions, such as these, are of little moment to the fum of things; unless it be to prove, that the worst of men are sensible of the injuries they have done us, and perhaps to demonstrate to us the imminent danger of our fituation. In the shipwreck of the state, trifles float and are preserved; while every thing folid and.

)

0

t

C

r

S

-

T

-

e -

)-

0

and valuable finks to the botttom, and is lost for ever.

JUNIUS.

### LETTER XL.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC AD-VERTISER.

SIR,

15. Odober, 1771.

AM convinced that Junius is incapable of wilfully mifrepresenting any man's opinion, and that his inclination leads him to treat Lord Camden with particular candor and respect. The doctrine attributed to him by Junius, as far as it goes, corresponds with that stated by your correspondent Scavola, who seems to make a distinction without a difference. Lord Camden, it is agreed, did certainly maintain that, in the recess of parliament, the King, (by which we all mean the King in council, or the executive power) might fuspend the operation of an act of the legislature; and he founded his doctrine upon a supposed necessity, of which the King, in the first instance, must be judge. The fords and commons cannot be judges of it in the first instance, for they do not exist-Thus far Junius.

But, fays Scavola, Lord Camden made parliament, and not the King, judges of the necessity.

fity .minif differe legal I peril. that it three two of will r fequer thefe t er, wl ceffity, obtain lords : that th necessa did he foon af persons had no in dire trine, exporte perty i might I

house o

vered o

and if ]

fity.-That parliament may review the acts of ministers is unquestionable; but there is a wide difference between faying that the crown has a legal power, and, that ministers may act at their peril. When we say an act is illegal, we mean that it is forbidden by a joint resolution of the three estates. How a subsequent resolution of two of those branches can make it legal ab initio, will require explanation. If it could, the confequence would be truly dreadful, especially in these times. There is no act of arbitrary power, which the King might not attribute to neceffity, and for which he would not be secure of obtaining the approbation of his proflituted lords and commons. If Lord Camden admits that the subsequent sanction of parliament was necessary to make the proclamation legal, why did he so obstinately oppose the bill, which was foon after brought in, for indemnifying all those persons, who had acted under it ?- If that bill had not been passed, I am ready to maintain, in direct contradiction to Lord Camden's doctrine, (taken as Scavola states it) that a litigious exporter of corn, who had suffered in his property in consequence of the proclamation, might have laid his action against the customhouse officers, and would infallibly have recovered damages. No jury could refuse them; and if I, who am by no means litigious, had been

19

3

to

e-

11-

at

rd

in

g,

or

ehe

y,

be

be

ot

11-

ef-

y.

been so injured, I would assuredly have instituted a suit in Westminster-hall, on purpose to try the question of right. I would have done it upon a principle of desiance of the pretended power of either or both houses to make declarations inconsistent with law, and I have no doubt, that, with an act of parliament of my side, I should have been too strong for them all. This is the way, in which an Englishman should speak and act, and not suffer dangerous precedents to be established, because the circumstances are favourable or palliating.

WITH regard to Lord Camden, the truth is, that he inadvertently over-shot himself, as appears plainly by that unguarded mention of a tyranny of forty days, which I myself heard. Instead of afferting that the proclamation was legal, he should have said, "My lords, I know the roclamation was illegal, but I advised it bescause it was indispensably necessary to save the kingdom from samine, and I submit myself to the justice and mercy of my country."

Such language as this would have been manly, rational, and confistent:—not unfit for a lawyer, and every way worthy of a great man.

PHILO JUNIUS.

P. S. IF

P. 5 again me a or neg interv mation and it of wha it neit candid quence it war the ful it is a clared ment, preven

SI

defence racter y of form fes the lordship

P. S. IF Scavola should think proper to write again upon this subject, I beg of him to give me a direct answer, that is, a plain affirmative or negative, to the following questions:-In the interval between the publishing such a proclamation (or order of council) as that in question. and it's receiving the fanction of the two houses. of what nature is it—is it legal or illegal; or is it neither one nor the other ?- I mean to be candid, and will point out to him the confequence of his answer either way .- If it be legal, it wants no farther fanction. If it be illegal, the subject is not bound to obey it, consequently it is a useless, nugatory act, even as to it's declared purpose. Before the meeting of parliament, the whole mischief, which it means to prevent, will have been compleated.

## LETTER XLI.

TO ZENO.

SIR.

t-

y

it

ed

a-

10

y

11.

an

us

n-

15,

p-

a

n-

he be-

ve

y.

22

n-

ra

1.

S.

IF

17. 08. 1771.

THE fophistry of your letter in defence of Lord Mansfield is adapted to the character you defend. But Lord Mansfield is a man of form, and seldom in his behaviour transgrefes the rules of decorum. I shall imitate his lordship's good manners, and leave you in the full

full possession of his principles. I will not call you liar, jesuit, or villain; but, with all the politeness imaginable, perhaps I may prove you so.

LIKE other fair pleaders in Lord Mansfield's school of justice, you answer Junius by misquoting his words, and mistating his propositions. If I am candid enough to admit that this is the very logic taught at St. Omer's, you will readily allow that it is the constant practice in the court of King's Bench .- Junius does not fay, that he never had a doubt about the strict right of preffing, till be knew Lord Mansfield was of the Same opinion. His words are, until be heard that Lord Mansfield bad applauded Lord Chatham for maintaining that doctrine in the bouse of lords. It was not the accidental concurrence of Lord Mansfield's opinion, but the fuspicious applause given by a cunning Scotchman to the man he detests, that raised and justified a doubt in the mind of Junius. The question is not, whether Lord Mansfield be a man of learning and abilities (which Junius has never disputed) but whether or no he abuses and misapplies his talents.

Junius did not say that Lord Mansfield had advifed the calling out the guards. On the contrary, his plain meaning is, that he left that odious

-W ing ! of th gene not e neces darin

odio

" me se not cc ty

will, doctri rence.

" rati

Bu abufed, certain let the and in check cond, I Lord N

" luab " it."

have fe lege tha abused i

odious office to men less cunning than himself.
—Whether Lord Manssield's doctrine concerning libels be or be not an attack upon the liberty of the press, is a question, which the public in general are very well able to determine. I shall not enter into it at present. Nor do I think it necessary to say much to a man, who had the daring considence to say to a jury, "Gentle-" men, you are to bring in a verdict guilty or "not guilty, but whether the defendant be guil-" ty or innocent is not matter for your conside-" ration." Clothe it in what language you will, this is the sum total of Lord Manssield's doctrine. If not, let Zeno shew us the difference.

But it seems, the liberty of the press may be abused, and the abuse of a valuable privilege is the certain means to lose it. The first I admit,—but let the abuse be submitted to a jury, a sufficient and indeed the only legal and constitutional check upon the licence of the press. The second, I stally deny. In direct contradiction to Lord Manssfield, I affirm that "the abuse of a va-" luable privilege is not the certain means to lose "it." If it were, the English nation would have sew privileges left, for where is the privilege that has not, at one time or other, been abused by individuals. But it is false in reason and

is taad adconft that

odious

11

24

P3

ot-

ns.

he

di-

the

Tay,

ght

the

that

for

ause

n he

the

ether

abili-

but

It \_ord

and equity, that particular abuses should produce a general forfeiture. Shall the community be deprived of the protection of the laws because there are robbers and murderers?—Shall the community be punished, because individuals have offended. Lord Mansfield says so, consistently enough with his principles, but I wonder to find him so explicit. Yet, for one concession, however extorted, I confess myself obliged to him.—The liberty of the press is after all a valuable privilege. I agree with him most heartily, and will defend it against him.

You ask me, What juryman was challenged by Lord Mansfield?-I tell you, his name was Benson. When his name was called, Lord Mansfield ordered the clerk to pass him by. As for his reasons, you may ask himself for he affigned none. But I can tell you what all men thought of it. This Benson had been refractory upon a former jury, and would not accept of the law as delivered by Lord Mansfield; bu had the impudence to pretend to think for him felf.—But you it feems, honest Zeno, know no thing of the matter! You never read Junius letter to your patron! You never heard of the intended instructions from the city to impeach Lord Mansfield!—You never heard by wha dexterity of Mr. Paterson that measure was prevented

ven

duci the Wha ling field to the plain that what none you a and t If yo that t large party them tually Your turally jurifpr the dicts of

Vo

whethe

tical.

vented! How wonderfully ill some people are informed!

Junius did never affirm that the crime, of feducing the wife of a mechanic or a peer, is not the fame taken in a moral or religious view. What he affirmed in contradiction to the levelling principle fo lately adopted by Lord Manffield was, that the damages should be proportioned to the rank and fortune of the parties; and for this plain reason; (admitted by every other judge that ever fat in Westminster-Hall) because, what is a compensation or penalty to one man is none to another. The fophistical distinction you attempt to draw between the person injured, and the person injuring is Mansfield all over -If you can once establish the proposition that the injured party is not intitled to receive large damages, it follows pretty plainly that the party injuring should not be compelled to pay them; consequently the King's brother is effectually screened by Lord Mansfield's doctrine .-Your reference to Nathan and David come naturally in aid of you patron's professed system of jurisprudence. He is fond of introducing into the Turt of King's Bench any law that contradicts o excludes the common law of England; whether it be canon, civil, jus gentium, or levitical. But, Sir, the Bible is the code of our religious Vol. II.

ity

the lals

ononvself

ifter most

nged was

Lord As or he men

ctory

; but himw no-

inius'

of the

what spre

nted

gious faith, not of our municipal jurisprudence; and though it was the pleasure of God to inflict a particular punishment upon David's crime (taken as a breach of his div commands) and to fend his prophet to denounce it, an English jury have nothing to do either with David or the prophet. They confider the crime, only as it is a breach of order, an injury to an individual, and an offence to fociety, and they judge of it by certain positive rules of law, or by the practice of their ancestors. Upon the whole, the man, after God's own beart is much indebted to you for comparing him to the Duke of Cumberland. That his Royal Highness may be the man after Lord Mansfield's own heart seems much more probable, and you I think, Mr. Zeno, might succeed tolerably well in the character of Nathan. The evil deity, the prophet, and the royal finner would by very proper company of one another.

You say Lord Mansfield did not make the commissioners of the Great Seal, and that he only advised the King to appoint. I believe Junius meant no more, and the distinction is hardly worth disputing.—

You say he did not deliver an opinion upon Lord Chatham's appeal.—I affirm that he did, directly direction of its and i

grity

incess
proce
you at
nocen
that I
ed the
I fay t
mon I
every
court.
But no
ment

(which

directly in favour of the appeal. This is a point of fact, to be determined by evidence only .-But you assign no fon for his supposed silence, nor for his defirir a conference with the judges the day before. Was not all Westminster-hall convinced that he did it with a view to puzzle them with some perplexing question, and in hopes of bringing some of them over to him ?-You fay the commissioners were very capable of framing a decree for themselves. By the fact, it only appears, that they were capable of framing an illegal one, which, I apprehend, is not much to the credit either of their learning or integrity.

e

0

e

15 r.

1-

t,

n-

he

he

ve

is

non

lid,

aly

WE are both agreed that Lord Mansfield has incessantly laboured to introduce new modes of proceeding in the court where he presides; but you attribute it to an honest zeal in behalf of innocence oppressed by quibble and chicane. I far that he has introduced new law too, and removed the landmarks established by former decisions. I fay that his view is to change a court of common law into a court of equity, and to bring every thing within the arbitrium of a pratorian court. The public must determine between us-But now for his merits. First then, the establishment of the judges in their places for life, (which you tell us was advised by Lord Mans-

K 2

fier

field) was a concession merely to catch the people. It bore the appearance of a royal bounty, but had nothing real in it. The judges were already for life, excepting in the case of a demise. Your boasted bill only provides that it shall not be in the power of the King's fuccessor to remove them. At the best therefore, it is only a legacy, not a gift on the part of his present Majetty, fince for himself, he gives up nothing .- That he did oppose Lord Camden and Lord Northington upon the proclamation against the exportation of corn, is most true, and with great ability.-With his talents, and taking the right fide of fo clear a question, it was impossible to speak ill. His motives are not fo eafily penetrated. They who are acquainted with the state of politics, at that period, will judge of them fomewhat differently from Zino. Of the popular bills, which you fay he supported in the house of lords, the most material is unquestionably that of Mr. Grenville, for deciding contested elections. I should be glad to know upon what possible pretence any member of the upper house could oppose such a bill, after it had passed the bouse of commons ?- I do not pretend to know what share 'he had in promoting the other two bills, but I am ready to give him all the credit you defire. Still you will find that a whole life of deliberate iniquity is ill atoned for by doing now and then a laudable

pr grapu the

pri

to

T

if you who lified answ product ween femble is true ver be the catrial,

were

a laudable action upon a mixed or doubtful principle.-If it be unworthy of him, thus ungratefully treated, to labour any longer for the public, in God's name let him retire. His brother's patron, (whose health he once was anxious for) is dead, but the fon of that unfortunate prince furvives, and, I dare fay, will be ready to receive him.

PHILO JUNIUS.

# LETTER LXII.

TO AN ADVOCATE IN THE CAUSE OF THE PEOPLE.

SIR,

-

h

e

1.

ut

e-

p-

of

are

t I

re.

ate

nen

ble

18. October, 1771.

Y OU do not treat Junius fairly. You would not have condemned him so hastily, if you had ever read Judge Foster's argument upon the legality of pressing seamen. A man who has not read that argument is not qualified to speak accurately upon the subject. In answer to strong facts and fair reasoning, you produce nothing but a vague comparison between two things, which have little or no refemblance to each other. General Warrants, it is true, had been often issued, but they had never been regularly questioned or refisted, until the case of Mr. Wilkes. He brought them to trial, and the moment they were tried, they were declared illegal. This is not the case of

K 3

Press

Press Warrants. They have been complained of, questioned, and resisted in a thousand instances; but still the legislature have never interposed, nor has there ever been a formal decifion against them in any of the superior courts. On the contrary, they have been frequently recognized and admitted by parliament, and there are judicial opinions given in their favour, by judges of the first character. Under the various circumstances stated by Junius, he has a right to conclude, for bimfelf, that there is no remedy. If you have a good one to propose, you may depend upon the affistance and applause of Junius. The magistrate, who guards the liberty of the individual, deserves to be commended. But let him remember that it is also his duty to provide for, or at least not to hazard the fafety of the community. If, in the case of a foreign war and the expectation of an invasion, you would rather keep your fleet in harbour, than man it by preffing feamen, who refuse the bounty, I have done.

You talk of disbanding the army with wonderful ease and indifference. If a wifer man held such language, I should be apt to suspect his sincerity.

As for keeping up a much greater number of seamen

Y tra H tar

pe

fe

may Lau

ing of poor mitted Lord (a fig cried thing an un figned but the

or a field.

feamen in time of peace, it is not to be done.—You will oppress the merchant, you will distress trade, and destroy the nursery of your seamen. He must be a miserable statesman, who voluntarily, by the same act increases the public expence, and lessens the means of supporting it.

PHILO JUNIUS.

#### LETTER LXIII.

22. October, 1771.

A FRIEND of Junius desires it may be observed, (in answer to A Barrister at Law)

ing ordered a juryman to be passed by (which poor Zeno never heard of) is now formally admitted. When Mr. Benson's name was called, Lord Manssield was observed to slush in the face, (a signal of guilt not uncommon with him) and cried out, Pass bim by. This I take to be something more than a peremptory challenge. It is an unlawful command, without any reason assigned. That the council did not resist, is true; but this might happen either from inadvertence, or a criminal complaisance, to Lord Manssield. You Barristers are too apt to be civil to

K 4

my

of nen

3

a

:,

e

id-

u-

he

a

n,

11,

le

on-

an

his

my Lord Chief Justice, at the expence of your clients.

- 2°. Junius did never say that Lord Mansfield had destroyed the liberty of the press. "That his his lordship has laboured to destroy,—that his doctrine is an attack upon the liberty of the press,—that it is an invasion of the right of juries," are the propositions maintained by Junius. His opponents never answer him in point, for they never meet him fairly upon his own ground.
- 3°. Lord Mansfield's policy, in endeavouring to screen his unconstitutional doctrines behind an act of the legislature, is easily understood.—
  Let every Englishman stand upon his guard?—
  the right of juries to return a general verdict in all cases whatsoever, is a part of our constitution. It stands in no need of a bill, either enacting or declaratory, to confirm it.
- 4°. WITH regard to the Grosvenor cause, it is pleasant to observe that the doctrine attributed by Junius to Lord Manssield, is admitted by Zeno and directly defended. The Barrister has not the assurance to deny it flatly, but he evades the charge and softens the doctrine by such poor contemptible

conte

King? rit of of we now 1 thing affirm ter the where who a gar. ceived Rantia who in ceedin in ever should that L judge ;-Our ad ficulty ever sha ter is p **fucceed** 

common

in his p

contemptible quibbles, as cannot impose upon the meanest understanding.

50. The quantity of business in the Court of King's Bench proves nothing but the litigious spirit of the people, arising from the great increase of wealth and commerce. These however are now upon the decline, and will foon leave nothing but law fuits behind them. When Junius affirms that Lord Mansfield has laboured to alter the system of jurisprudence, in the court where his lordship presides, he speaks to those, who are able to look a little farther than the vul-Besides that the multitude are easily deceived by the imposing names of equity and subflantial justice, it does not follow that a judge, who introduces into his court new modes of proceeding, and new principles of law, intends, in every instance, to decide unjustly. should he, where he has no interest?—We say that Lord Mansfield is a bad man, and a worse judge; -but we do not fay that he is a mere devil. Our adversaries would fain reduce us to the difficulty of proving too much .- This artifice however shall not avail him. The truth of the matter is plainly this. When Lord Mansfield has succeeded in his scheme of changing a court of common law to a court of equity, he will have it in his power to do injustice, whenever be thinks K 5 proper.

a

1-

er

is

t-

by

as

les

TO

ole

proper. This, though a wicked purpole, is neither absurd nor unattainable.

60. The last paragraph, relative to Lord Chatham's cause cannot be answered. It partly refers to facts, of too feeret a nature to be afcertained and partly is unintelligible. "Upon one or point, the cause is decided against Lord Chatham.—Upon another point, it is decided for " him." Both the law and the language are well fuited to a Barrister !- If I have any guess at this honest gentleman's meaning, it is, that, whereas the commissioners of the Great Seal " faw the question in a point of view unfavourable to Lord Chatham, and decreed accordingly, " Lord Mansfield, out of sheer love and kindes ness to Lord Chatham, took the pains to of place it in a point of view more favourable to the appellant."-Credat Judaus Apella.-So curious an affertion would stagger the faith of Mr. Sylva.

### LETTER LXIV.

2. November, 1771.

WE are defired to make the following declaration, in behalf of Junius, upon three material points, on which his opinion has been mistaken, or misrepresented.

which the thinks

13901

1º Junius

colo a spe ever pear

1

" W

" an

se of

his and fomet that, fair a ledge will fl plan, divide comment the e mifrey when poor n others

defend

he der

1°. Junius considers the right of taxing the colonies, by an act of the British Legislature, as a speculative right merely, never to be exerted, nor ever to be renounced. To bis judgment it appears plain, "That the general reasonings, "which were employed against that power, went directly to our whole legislative right, and that one part of it could not be yielded to such arguments, without a virtual surrender of all the rest."

7-

2-

r-

ne

a-

or

ell

at,

eal

ra-

ly,

nd-

to

ble

-So

of

I. ;

fol-

pon

has

rius

2°. THAT, with regard to press-warrants, his argument should be taken in his own words, and answered strictly; -that comparisons may fometimes illustrate, but prove nothing; and: that, in this case, an appeal to the passions is unfair and unnecessary. Junius feels and acknowledges the evil in the most express terms, and will shew himself ready to concur in any rational plan, that may provide for the liberty of the individual, without hazarding the fafety of the community. At the fame time, he expects that the evil, fuch as it is, be not exaggerated or mifrepresented. In general, it is not unjust that when the rich man contributes his wealth, the poor man should ferve the state in person; otherwise the latter contributes nothing to the defence of that law and constitution, from which he demands fafety and protection. But the question : question does not lye between rich and poor .-The laws of England make no fuch distinctions. Neither is it true that the poor man is torn from the care and support of a wife and family, helpless without him. The fingle question is, whether the feaman\*, in times of public danger, shall serve the merchant or the state, in that pro-Jession to which he was bred, and by the exercife of which alone he can honeftly support himself and his family. - General arguments against the doctrine of necessity, and the dangerous use that may be made of it, are of no weight in this particular case. Necessity includes the idea of inevitable. Whenever it is fo, it creates a law, to which all positive laws, and all positive rights must give way. In this sense the levy of Thip-money by the King's warrant was not necessary because the bufiness might have been as well or better done by parliament. If the doctrine, maintained by Junius, be confined within this limitation, it will go but very little way in support of arbitrary power. That the King is to judge of the occasion, is no objection, unless we are told how it can possibly be otherwise. There are other instances, not less important in the exercise nor less dangerous in the abuse, in

which

which King claim ties, quararogati greate

30. nius, t the Ga act. I reason thofe. most th upon a every pi expecte certain As to th clare hi Foreft L ject, ai with leg by thefe of the o gree and

not only

a triallb

<sup>\*</sup> I corfine myself strictly to seamen;—if any others are pressed, it is a gross abuse, which the magistrate can and should correct.

which the constitution relies entirely upon the King's judgment. The executive power proclaims war and peace, binds the nation by treaties, orders general embargoes, and impofes quarantines, not to mention a multitude of prerogative writs, which, though liable to the greatest abuses, were never disputed.

1

)--

rt

1-

e-

ht

he

es

ve

of

ary

or

ne,

his

up-

to

lefs ife.

t in

in

hers

trate

3°. It has been urged, as a reproach to 7unius, that he has not delivered an opinion upon the Game Laws, and particularly the late Dogact. But Junius thinks he has much greater reason to complain, that he is never affisted by those, who are able to affist him, and that almost the whole labour of the press is thrown upon a fingle hand, from which a discussion of every public question whatsoever is unreasonably expected. He is not paid for his labour, and certainly has a right to choose his employment. As to the Game Laws, he never scrupled to declare his opinion, that they are a species of the Forest Laws, that they are oppressive to the subject, and that the spirit of them is incompatible with legal liberty: that the penalties, imposed by these laws, bear no proportion to the nature of the offence, that the mode of trial and the degree and kind of evidence necessary to convict, not only deprive the subject of all the benefits of a trial by jury, but are in themselves too sumhich mary,

mary, and to the last degree arbitrary and oppressive. That, in particular, the late acts to prevent dog-stealing, or killing game between fun and fun, are distinguished by their absurdity, extravagance, and pernicious tendency. If these terms are weak, or ambiguous, in what language can Juniur express himself?-It is no excuse for Lord Mansfield to fay that he bappened to be absent when these bills passed the house of lords. It was his duty to be present. Such bills could never have paffed the house of commons without his knowledge. But we very well know by what rule he regulates his attendance. When that order was made in the house of lords in the case of Lord Pomfret, at which every Englishman shudders, my honest Lord Mansfield found himself, by mere accident, in the court of King's Bench.-Otherwise, he would have done wonders in defence of law and property! The pitiful evasion is adapted to the character. But Junius will never justify himself, by the example of this bad man. The distinction between doing wrong, and avoiding to do right belongs to Lord Mansfield. Junius disclaims it.

LET

you

I pr

Lor

do r

part

conf

that

were

in th

him,

cumi

deny

not f

not,

of his

I affi

CHIE

laws .

Mr. I

hear v pledge proper against

#### LETTER LXV.

p-

to

en y,

ele

ge

ule

be

ds.

uld

th-

by

hen

the

lifh-

und

ng's

von-

piti-

t fu-

mple

doing

Lord

ET

TO LORD CHIEF JUSTICE MANSFIELD.
2. November, 1771.

The intercession of three of your countrymen, you have bailed a man, who, I presume, is also a Scotchman, and whom the Lord Mayor of London had refused to bail. I do not mean to enter into an examination of the partial, finister motives of your conduct; but confining myself strictly to the fact, I affirm, that you have done that, which by law your were not warranted to do. The thief was taken in the theft ;-the stolen goods were found upon him, and he made no defence. In these circumstances, (the truth of which You dare not deny, because it is of public notoriety) it could not stand indifferent whether he was guilty or not, much less could there be any presumption of his innocence; and, in these circumstances, I affirm, in contradiction to YOU, LORD CHIEF JUSTICE MANSFIELD, that, by the laws of England, he was not bailable. If ever Mr. Eyre should be brought to trial, we shall hear what You have to fay for Yourself; and I pledge myself, before God and my country, in proper time and place to make good my charge against you.

JUNIUS.

LET-

## LE RECEPTED A LETTER LXVI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SI R, 0 10 10 10 119. November, 1771.

goungers as you eave called a UNIUS engages to make good his charge against Lord Chief Justice Mansfield, fome time before the meeting of parliament, in order that the house of commons may, if they think proper, make it one article in the impeachment of the faid Lord Chief Juffice.

## ware not wanted to do. The calc was tricen LETTER LXVII.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON.

27. November, 1771.

WHAT is the reason, my Lord, that, when almost every man in the kingdom, without distinction of principles or party, exults in the ridiculous defeat of Sir James Lowther, when good and bad men unite in one common opinion of that baronet, and triumph in his diffress, as if the event (without any reference to vice or virtue) were interesting to human nature, your Grace alone should appear so miserably depressed and afflicted? Infuch universal joy, I know not where you will look for a compliment of condolance, unless youappeal

appea Mr. B men's lation like ar fhine 1 of hon not me They in instanc treache -an i pudent But, in pected who ha honour Lowth did no felves. even ar Lord, derelia your fr ty, Ith tenance am a li

chara&

i

,

1

y

-

17

.

.

y

e

7

25

n

-

it

g

)-

n

11

u.

1

appeal to the tender, sympathetic forrows of Mr. Bradshaw. That cream-coloured gentlemen's tears, affecting as they are, carry confolation along with them. He never weeps, but, like an April shower, with a lambent ray of sunfhine upon his countenance. From the feelings of honest men, upon this joyful occasion, I do not mean to draw any conclusion to your Grace. They naturally rejoice, when they see a signal instance of tyranny refisted with success; -of treachery exposed to the derision of the world; -an infamous informer defeated, and an impudent robber dragged to the public gibbet.-But, in the other class of mankind, I own I expected to meet the Duke of Grafton. Men. who have no regard for justice, nor any sense of honour, feem as heartily pleafed with Sir James Lowther's well deserved punishment, as if it did not conflitute an example against themfelves. The unhappy Baronot has no friends, even among those who resemble him. You, my Lord, are not reduced to fo deplorable a state of dereliction. Every villain in the kingdom is your friend; and, in compliment to such amity, I think you should suffer your dismal countenance to clear up. Besides, my Lord ;-I am a little anxious for the confiftency of your character. You violate your own rules of decorum.

corum, when you do not infult the man, whom you have betrayed.

THE divine justice of retribution seems now to have begun its progress. Deliberate treachery entails punishment upon the traitor. There is no possibility of escaping it, even in the highest rank, to which the consent of society can exalt the meanest and worst of men. The forced, their abi unnatural union of Luttrell and Middlesex was usually of an omen of another unnatural union, by which treachery ing story indefeafible infamy is attached to the house of spectable Brunswick. If one of those acts was virtuous present p and honourable, the best of princes, I thank God, corded, for is happily rewarded for it by the other. Your to the pu Grace, it bas been said, had some share in recommending Colonel Luttrell to the King; of life, la commending Colonel Luttrell to the King, brother of or was it only the gentle Bradshaw, who made degree of himself answerable for the good behaviour of the long been himself answerable for the good behaviour of the long been himself answerable for the good behaviour of the long been tommon his friend? An intimate connexion has long tonsequent depended had a friend ham. It arose from a fortunate similarity of all their exprinciples, cemented by the constant mediation was base folly of a He descent

YET probrio beyond fon than of princ I will 1

prayers

did fervi ing ftory ancestors.

YET He defcer

<sup>\*</sup> There is a certain family in this country, on which with her is nature feems to have entailed an hereditary baseness. Wheth disposition. As far as their history has been known, the duce any son has regularly improved upon the vices of his father must be learned has taken care to transmit them pure and undiminist father. nished into the bosom of his successor. In the senate

YET I confess I should be forry that the opprobrious infamy of this match should reach beyond the family. - We have now a better reafon than ever to pray for the long life of the best of princes, and the welfare of his royal iffue. I will not mix any thing ominous with my nigh-prayers:—but let parliament look to it.—A

rced, their abilities have confined them to those humble, fordid fervices, in which the scavengers of the ministry are was usually employed. But in the memoirs of private which treachery, they stand first and unrivalled. The following flory will ferve to illustrate the character of this rease of speciable family, and to convince the world that the tuous present possessor has as clear a title to the infamy of his ancestors, as he has to their estate. It deserves to be re-corded for the curiosity of the fact, and should be given Your to the public as a warning to every honest member of fociety.

hom

now

che-

here

n ex-

God,

enate

Your to the public as a warning to every honest member of society.

The present Lord Irnham, who is now in the decline of life, lately cultivated the acquaintance of a younger brother of a family, with which he had lived in some made degree of intimacy and friendship. The young man had long been the dupe of a most unhappy attachment to a common prostitute. His friends and relations foresaw the long consequences of this connexion, and did every thing that depended upon them to save him from ruin. But he had a friend in Lord Irnham, whose advice rendered ty o all their endeavours ineffectual. This hoary letcher, not contented with the enjoyment of his friend's militress, was base enough to take advantage of the passions and folly of a young man, and persuaded him to marry her. He descended even to perform the office of father to the prostitute. He gave her to his friend, who was on the point of leaving the kingdom, and the next might lay which with her himself.

Whether the depravity of the human heart can prone, the duce any thing more base and detestable that this fact, sather must be left undetermined, until the son shall arrive at diministrations.

Luttress.

Luttrell

Luttrell shall never succeed to the crown of Eng. land.—If the hereditary virtues of the family deferve a kingdom, Scotland will be a proper retreat for them.

THE next is a most remarkable instance of the goodness of providence. The just law of retaliation has at last overtaken the little, contemptible tyrant of the North. To this fon-inlaw of your dearest friend the Earl of Bute, you tion ?meant to transfer the Duke of Portland's property; and you hastened the grant, with an expedition unknown to the Treasury, that he might have it time enough to give a decisive freen b turn to the election for the county. The immediate consequence of this flagitious robbery was, made al that he lost the election, which you meant to was fuch infure to him, and with fuch fignal circum tive im stances of fcorn, reproach, and infult, (to fay The ver nothing of the general exultation of all parties as, (excepting the King's brother-in-law) Colone be pluch Luttrell and old Simon his father-in law hardly ever fell upon a gentleman in this country. In the event, he loses the very property, of P.S. which he thought he had gotten possession; and master after an expence, which would have paid the lorious value of the land in question twenty times over avishly The forms of villainy, you fee, are necessirtue. fary to its success. Hereafter you will act with

greater to your reach o not a ru

AND

consciou

retributi foon ap that Fun fused to that you patent t your diff

greater

greater circumspection, and not drive so directly to your object. To snatch a grace, beyond the reach of common treachery, is an exception, not a rule.

AND now, my good Lord, does not your conscious heart inform you, that the justice of aw of retribution begins to operate, and that it may bon approach your person?—Do you think n-in-that Junius has renounced the Middlesex elecyou tion?—Or that the King's timber shall be repro-fused to the Royal Navy with impunity?—Or n expatent to Mr. Hine, which you endeavoured to cifive kreen by suddenly dropping your prosecution of nme-Samuel Vaugban, when the rule against him was was, made absolute?—I believe indeed there never ant to was such an instance in all the history of negacumtive impudence.—But it shall not save you. The very sunshine you live in is a prelude to rties your diffolution. When you are ripe, you shall slone be plucked. JUNIUS.

y, of P. S. I beg you will convey to our gracious ; and master my humble congratulations upon the d the dorious fuccess of peerages and pensions, so over avifhly distributed as the rewards of Irish ecef virtue.

with eater

Eng-

mily

roper

e of

con-

## LETTER LXVIII.

TO LORD CHIEF JUSTICE MANSFIELD.

21. Jan. 1772. body of t

I HAVE undertaken to prove urt, you that when, at the intercession of three of your mee, but countrymen, you bailed John Eyre, you did moder that, which by law you were not warranted to do, er, or un and that a felon, under the circumstances, of ower wil being taken in the fact, with the stolen goods upon o is gone bim, and making no defence, is not bailable by the he respect laws of England. Your learned advocates to longer have interpreted this charge into a denial that and. T the court of King's Bench, or the judges of much t that court during the vacation, have any greater ou are, a authority to bail for criminal offences, than a sents are justice of peace. With the instance before me, ave done I am supposed to question your power of doing arranted wrong, and to deny the existence of a power, ells you, at the same moment that I arraign the illegal tage, and exercise of it. But the opinions of such men, ontradicts whether wilful in their malignity, or sincere in ther me their ignorance, are unworthy of my notice. w upon You, Lord Manssield, did not understand me ity, because of the same o so, and, I promise you, your cause requires an

ment, th onoured ave a ri flature. bubt of

bler de harge :

abler

D.

abler

bler defence.- I am now to make good my harge against you. However dull my arguent, the subject of it is interesting. I shall be moured with the attention of the public, and ave a right to demand the attention of the lelature. Supported, as I am, by the whole 172 ody of the criminal law of England, I have no bubt of establishing my charge. If on your ove art, you should have no plain, substantial deour mee, but should endeavour to shelter yourself did mder the quirk and evasion of a practising lawer, or under the mere, insulting affertion of ower without right, the reputation you pretend upon o is gone for ever;—you stand degraded from the he respect and authority of your office, and are that and. This letter, my Lord, is addressed, not s of much to you, as to the public. Learned as eater ou are, and quick in apprehension, few arguan a nents are necessary to satisfy you, that you me, are done that, which by law you were not loing paranted to do. Your conscience already ower, ells you, that you have sinned against knowlegal tdge, and that whatever defence you make men, ontradicts your own internal conviction. ther men are willing enough to take the otice. aw upon trust. They rely upon your authomity, because they are too indolent to fearch es an for

for information; or, conceiving that there is fome mystery in the laws of their country, which lawyers only are qualified to explain; they distrust their judgment, and voluntarily renounce the right of thinking for themselves. With all the evidence of history before them, from Trefillian to Jefferies, from Jefferies to Mansfield, they will not believe it possible that a learned judge can act in direct contradiction to those laws, which he is supposed to have made the study of his life, and which he has sworn to administer faithfully. Superstition is certainly not the characteristic of this age. Yet fome men are bigotted in politics, who are infidels in religion .- I do not despair of making them ashamed of their credulity.

The charge I brought against you is expressed in terms guarded and well considered. They do not deny the strict power of the judges of the court of King's. Bench to bail in cases, not bailable by a justice of peace, nor replevisable by the common writ, or ex official by the Sheriff. I well knew the practice of the court, and by what legal rules it ought to be directed. But, far from meaning to soften or diminish the force of those terms I have made use of, I now go beyond them, and affirm,

I. THAT

felon
is for
pract
legiff
that
in an

II. court tiona stance is no judge tionar tit, in is by ble ci may . guilty doubti in go fuch p alter t is no the lav all. If in his

> made Vo

re is

try,

ain;

arily

lves.

nem,

s to

hat a

n to

made

worn

cer-

Yet

re in-

aking

ex-

dered.

of the

ail in

, nor

officie

of the

be di-

or di-

de use

HAT

I. THAT the superior power of bailing for selony, claimed by the court of King's Bench, is sounded upon the opinion of lawyers, and the practice of the court;—that the assent of the legislature to this power is merely negative, and that it not supported by any positive provision in any statute whatsoever.—If it be, produce the statute.

-1254 Believe

II. ADMITTING that the judges of the court of King's Bench are vested with a discretionary power to examine and judge of circumstances and allegations, which a justice of peace is not permitted to consider, I affirm that the judges, in the use and application of that discretionary power, are as strictly bound by the spitit, intent, and meaning, as the justice of peace is by the words of the legislature. Favourable circumstances, alledged before the judge, may justify a doubt whether the prisoner be guilty or not; and where the guilt is doubtful, a presumption of innocence should in general, be admitted. But, when any fuch probable circumstances are alledged, they alter the flate and condition of the prisoner. He is no longer that all-but-convicted felon, whom the law intends, and who by law is not bailable at all. If no circumstances whatsoever are alledged in his favour; -if no allegation whatfoever be made to lessen the force of that evidence, which Vol. II.

the law annexes to a positive charge of felony, and particularly to the fact of being taken with the maner, I then say that the Lord Chief Justice of England has no more right to bail him than a justice of peace. The discretion of an English judge is not of mere will and pleasure; -it is not arbitrary; -it is not capricious; but, as that great lawyer, (whose authority I wish you respected half as much as I do) truly says \*, " Discretion, taken as it ought to be, is, discernere per legem quid sit justum. If it be not " directed by the right line of the law, it is a " crooked cord, and appeareth to be unlaw-" ful."-If discretion were arbitrary in the judge, he might introduce whatever novelties he thought proper; but, fays, Lord Coke, " Novelties, without warrant of precedents, " are not to be allowed; fome certain rules." " are to be followed ;- Quicquid judicis autho-" ritati subjicitur, novitati non subjicitur;" and this found doctrine is applied to the Star-chamber, a court confessedly arbitrary. If you will abide by the authority of this great man, you shall have all the advantage of his opinion, wherever it appears to favour you. Excepting the plain, express meaning of the legislature, to which all private opinions must give way,

\* 4. Inft. 41. 66;

I defire

I de Cok

II ous, peat with listo baila noth victi will : of hi been ten b fest d fhall your to y you i ther f my p own ' of the dious law d

> very profes

> mode

I desire no better judge between us than Lord Coke.

III. I AFFIRM that, according to the obvious, indisputable meaning of the legislature, repeatedly expressed, a person positively charged with feloniously stealing and taken in flagrante delisto, with the stolen goods upon him, is not bailable. The law confiders him as differing in nothing from a convict, but in the form of conviction, and (whatever a corrupt judge may do) will accept of no fecurity, but the confinement of his body within four walls. I know it has been alledged in your favour, that you have often bailed for murders, rapes, and other manifest crimes. Without questioning the fact, I shall not admit that you are to be justified by your own example. If that were a protection to you, where is the crime that, as a judge, you might not now fecurely commit? But neither shall I suffer myself to be drawn aside from my present argument, nor you to profit by your own wrong.-To prove the meaning and intent of the legislature will require a minute and tedious deduction. To investigate a question of law demands fome labour and attention, though very little genius or fagacity. As a practical profession, the study of the law requires but a moderate portion of abilities. The learning of a pleader L 2

on, ing

Y.

th

if-

an

e ;

s;

ish

\*,

lif-

not

sa

w-

the

ies

ke,

its,

les.

bo-

and

m-

will

you

ay,

fire

a pleader is usually upon a level with his integrity. The indiscriminate defence of right and wrong contracts the understanding, while it corrupts the heart. Subtlety is soon mistaken for wisdom, and impunity for virtue. If there be any instances upon record, as some there are undoubtedly, of genius and morality united in a lawyer, they are distinguished by their singularity, and operate as exceptions.

I MUST solicit the patience of my readers. This is no light matter, nor is it any more susceptible of ornament, than the conduct of Lord Mansfield is capable of aggravation.

As the law of bail, in charges of felony, has been exactly ascertained by acts of the legislature, it is at present of little consequence to enquire how it stood at common law, before the statute of Westminster. And yet it is worth the reader's attention to observe, how nearly, in the ideas of our ancestors, the circumstance of being taken with the maner approached to the conviction of the felon\*. It "fixed the authoritative stamp of verisimilitude upon the accuif stion, and, by the common law, when a
if thief was taken with the maner (that is, with
the thing stolen upon him, in manu) he might

\* Blackstone, 4. 303.

ec 1 66 C 66 11 ee t 66 C flati poin fpiri here a ma decla enab in du in cr be m fuper

1275,

quote

tect n

+ '

" for

" not

" one

" Jall

n-

ht

ile

cn

ere

ere

u-

eir

ers,

uf-

ord

has

fla-

en-

the the

the

ing

vic-

rita-

ccu-

n a with

ight

· fo

" so detected flagrante delicto, be brought into " court, arraigned and tried, without indici-" ment; as, by the Danish law, he might be " taken and hanged upon the fpot, without ac-" cusation or trial." It will foon appear that our flatute law, in this behalf, tho' less summary in point of proceeding, is directed by the fame fpirit. In one instance, the very form is adhered to. In offences relating to the forest, if a man was taken with vert, or venison \*, it was declared to be equivalent to indictment. To enable the reader to judge for himself, I shall state in due order, the several statutes relative to bail in criminal cases, or as much of them as may be material to the point in question, omitting fuperfluous words. If I misrepresent, or do not quote with fidelity, it will not be difficult to detect me.

+ THE statute of Westminster the first, in 1275, sets forth that, " Forasmuch as sheriffs " and others, who have taken and kept in pri-" fon persons detected of felony, and inconti-" nent have let out by replevin fuch as were " not replevisable because they would gain of the " one party and grieve the other; and, foraf-

<sup>+ 1</sup> Ed. III. cap. 8 .- and 7 Rich. II. cap. 4.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot; Videtur que le statute de mainprise nest que reher-" fall del comen ley." Bro. Mainp. 61.

" much as, before this time, it was not deter-" mined which persons were replevisable and " which not, it is provided and by the King " commanded that fuch prisoners, &c. as be taken with the maner, &c. or for manifest of-" fences, shall be in no wife replevisable by the " common writ, nor without writ." -Lord Coke, in his exposition of the last part of this quotation, accurately distinguishes between replevy by the common writ or ex officio, and bail by the King's Bench. The words of the statute certainly do not extend to the judges of that court. But, besides that the reader will soon find reason to think that the legislature, in their intention, made no difference between bailable and replevisable, Lord Coke himself (if he be understood to mean nothing but an exposition of the statute of Westminster, and not to state the law generally) does not adhere to his own diftinction. In expounding the other offences, which, by this statute, are declared not replevi-

Blackstone, 1. 87.

fabl

65

.. (

the

tute

66 (

. .. ;

ec r

"

"

rior

mit

the

fton

whi

ble.

bail

cc r

after

cc F

ee f

There are three points to be confidered in the conftruction of all remedial flatutes;—the old law, the mischief, and the remedy;—that is, how the common law stood at the making of the act, what the mischief was for which the common law did not provide, and what remedy the parliament had provided to cure this mischief. It is the business of the judges fo to construe the act, as to suppress the mischief and advance the remedy."

-

ld

g

oe

f-

10

rd

18

e-

il

te

at

n

ir

ble

be

of

he

if-

S.

)i-

he

w,

he

ed

ges nd

7.

les

fable, he constantly uses the words not bailable. "That outlaws, for instance, are not bailable at " all; that persons, who have abjured the " realm, are attainted upon their own confesis fion, and therefore not bailable at all by law; -that provers are not bailable; -that notori. " ous felons are not bailable." The reason why the superior courts were not named in the statute of Westminster, was plainly this, " be-" cause antiently most of the business, touching bailment of prisoners for felony or misde-" meanors, was performed by the Sheriffs, " or special bailiffs of liberties, either by writ, " or virtute officii \*;" consequently the superior courts had little or no opportunity to commit those abuses, which the statutes imputes to the Sheriffs. With submission to Doctor Blackstone, I think he has fallen into a contradiction, which, in terms at least, appears irreconcileable. After enumerating feveral offences not bailable, he afferts, without any condition or limitation whatsoever +, " all these are clearly " not admissible to bail." Yet in a few lines after he fays, "it is agreed that the court of "King's Bench may bail for any crime what-" foever, according to circumstances of the case."

<sup>\* 2</sup> Hale, P.C. 128. 136. + Blackstone, 4. 296.

To his first proposition he should have added, by Sheriffs or Justices; otherwise the two propositions contradict each other; with this difference however, that the first is absolute, the second limited by a consideration of circumstances. I say this without the least intended disrespect to the learned author. His work is of public utility, and should not hastily be condemned.

The statute of 17 Richard II. cap. 10. 1393, sets forth, that "forasmuch as thieves notori"ously defamed, and others taken with the maner,
by their long abiding in prison, were deliverded by charters, and savourable inquests procured, to the great hindrance of the people,
two men of law shall be assigned, in every
commission of the peace, to proceed to the
deliverance of such felons, &c." It seems by
this act, that there was a constant struggle between the legislature and the officers of justice.
Not daring to admit selons taken with the maner
to bail or mainprize, they evaded the law by
keeping the party in prison a long time, and
then delivering him without due trial.

THE statute of 1 Richard III. in 1483, sets forth, that "forasmuch as divers persons have been daily arrested and imprisoned for suspicion of selony, sometime of malice, and some-

ec ti

ec fo

this matt

Ti clare:

lony.

or or

" by

of of

of let

" na

Trin 15

" jui

" ste

" est

time of a light fuspicion, and so kept in prison without bail or mainprize, be it ordained that every justice of peace shall have authority, by is his discretion, to let such prisoners and per-" fons so arrested to bail or mainprize."-By this act it appears that there had been abuses in matter of imprisonment, and that the legislature meant to provide for the immediate enlargement of person arrested on light suspicion of se-

THE statute of 3 Henry VII. in 1486, declares, that " under colour of the preceding act of Richard the Third, persons, such as were " not mainpernable, were oftentimes let to bail " or mainprize, by justices of the peace, where-" by many murderers and felons escaped, the "King, &c. hath ordained, that the justices of the peace, or two of them at least (where-" of one to be of the quorum) have anthority to " let any fuch prisoners or persons, mainper-" nable by the law, or bail or mainprize.

THE statute of 1st and 2d of Philip and Mary, in 1554, fets forth, that " not with standing the " preceding statute of Henry the Seventh, one "justice of peace hath oftentimes, by fini-" fter labour and means, fet at large the great-" est and notablest offenders, such as be not re-66 plevisable L 5

ene

ets

ve

bi-

e

d

y

le

t,

i-

٠,

r-

0-

e,

гу

ne

by

e-

e.

er

by nd

of plevifable by the laws of this realm, and yet, " the rather to hide their affections in that be-16 half, have figned the cause of their appre-" hension to be but only for suspicion of felony, " whereby the faid offenders have escaped un-" punished, and do daily, to the high displea-" fure of Almighty God, the great peril of the "King and Queen's true subjects, and encouragement of all thieves and evil-doers ;-for " reformation whereof be it enacted, that on justices of peace shall let to bail or mainer prize any fuch persons, which, for any of-" fence by them committed, be declared not to be replevised, or bailed, or be forbidden to be replevised or bailed by the statute of Westmin-" fler the first; and furthermore that any per-" fons arrested for manslaughter, felony, be-" ing bailable by the law, shall not be let to bail " or mainprize, by any justices of peace, but " in the form therein after prescribed."-In the two preceding statutes, the words bailable, replevisable, and mainpernable are used synonymoufly \*, or promiscuously to express the same fingle intention of the legislature, viz. not to accept of any security but the body of the offender; and when the latter statute prescribes the form, in which persons arrested on suspicion of felony (be-

" nev

ing

der

bai

hap

pea

me

trut

gun

con

bety

mea

repla

cou

yer

ture

by la

preh

Oth

tion

neve

gifla

and

It is a

cial,

rally

<sup>\* 2</sup> Hale, P. C 2, 124.

e

r

t

0

e

1-

-

e-

il

ıt

e

e,

y -

ie

c-

d

n

e-

18

ing bailable by the law) may be let to bail, it evidently supposes that there are some cases, not bailable by the law.-It may be thought perhaps, that I attribute to the legislature an appearance of inaccuracy in the use of terms, merely to ferve my present purpose. But, in truth, it would make more forcibly for my argument to presume that the legislature were constantly aware of the strict legal distinction between bail and replevy, and that they always meant to adhere to it \*. For if it be true that replevy is by the Sheriffs, and bail by the higher courts at Westminster, (which I think no lawyer will deny) it follows that, when the legislature expressly fay, that any particular offence is by law not bailable, the superior courts are comprehended in the prohibition, and bound by it. Otherwise, unless there was a positive exception of the superior courts (which I affirm there never was in any statute relative to bail) the legiflature would grossly contradict themselves. and the manifest intention of the law be evaded. It is an established rule that, when the law is special, and reason of it general, it is to be generally understood; and though, by custom, a

Selden, State Tr. 7. 149.

latitude .

<sup>\*</sup> Vide 2 Inft. 150. 186.—"The word replevisable is never fignifies bailable. Bailable is in a court of record by the King's justices; but replevisable is by the Sheriff."

latitude be allowed to the court of King's Bench. (to confider circumstances inductive of a doubt whether the prisoner be guilty or innocent) if this latitude be taken as an arbitrary power to bail, when no circumstances whatsoever are alledged in favour of the prisoner, it is a power without right, and a daring violation of the whole English law of bail.

THE act of the 31st of Charles the Second (commonly called the Habeas Corpus act) particularly declares, that it is not meant to extend to treason or felony plainly and specially expresfed in the warrant of commitment. The prifoner is therefore left to feek his Habeas Corpus at common law; and fo far was the legislature from supposing that persons, (committed for treason or felony plainly and specially expressed in the warrant of commitment) could be let to bail by a fingle judge, or by the whole court, that this very act provides a remedy for such persons, in case they are not indicted in the course of the term or sessions subsequent to their commitment. The law neither fuffers them to be enlarged before trial, nor to be imprisoned after the time, in which they ought regularly to be tried. In this case the law says, " It shall " and may be lawful to and for the judges of the " court of King's Bench and justices of over " and ec a 66 t

cc t ce te " p

66 b ce to

er th ec pr

ce li ferve part and baile tion medi or fe may b circu the p unde the 1 3. No impri tion, are e feffio: witne

that fa

1,

bt

if

to

er he

nd

ti-

nd

ef-

ri-

at

ire

for

fed

to

rt,

ich

the

eir

to

ned

to to

hall

the

yer

and

" and terminer, or general gaol delivery, and " they are hereby required, upon motion to " them made in open court, the last day of the " term, fession, or gaol delivery, either by the or prisoner or any one in his behalf to set at li-" berty the prisoner upon bail; unless it appear " to the judges and justices, upon oath made, "that the witnesses for the King could not be " produced the same term, sessions, or gaol de-" livery."—Upon the whole of this article I obferve, 1. That the provision, made in the first part of it, would be, in a great measure, useless and nugatory, if any fingle judge might have bailed the prisoner ex arbitrio, during the vacation; or if the court might have bailed him immediately after the commencement of the term or fessions.—2. When the law says, It shall and may be lawful to bail for felony under particular circumstances, we must presume that, before the paffing of that act, it was not lawful to bail under those circumstances. The terms used by the legislature are enacting, not declaratory. 3. Notwithstanding the party may have been imprisoned during the greatest part of the vacation, and during the whole fession, the court are expressly forbidden to bail him from that fession to the next, if oath be made that the witnesses for the King could not be produced that fame term or fessions.

HAVING

HAVING faithfully stated the several acts of parliament relative to bail in criminal cases, it may be useful to the reader to take a short, historical review of the law of bail, through its various gradations and improvements.

By the ancient common law, before and fince the conquest, all felonies were bailable, till murder was excepted by flatute, fo that persons might be admitted to bail, before conviction, almost in every case. The statute of Westminster fays that, before that time, it had not been determined, which offences were replevifable, and which were not, whether by the common writ de bomine replegiando, or ex officio by the Sheriff. It is very remarkable that the abuses arifing from this unlimited power of replevy, dreadful as they were and destructive to the peace of fociety, were not corrected or taken notice of by the legislature, until the commons of the kingdom had obtained a share in it by their representatives; but the house of commons had scarce begun to exist, when these formidable abuses were corrected by the statute of Westminster. It is highly probable that the mischief had been severely felt by the people, although no remedy had been provided for it by the Norman Kings or Barons. \* " The iniquity

\* Selden, by N. Bacon. 182.

tutes, the F
tre
kep
wh
and t
abuses

ing fe

For not ap law of venison ment. spirit of justices bailing judges in those by the lature.

of

it

)-

a-

ce

ill

ns

n,

11-

en

le,

on he

fes

vy,

the

cen

ons

by

ons

da-

est-

hief

ugh

the

uity

· of

"of the times was so great, as it even forced the subjects to forego that, which was in action count a great liberty, to stop the course of a growing mischief." The preamble to the statutes, made by the first parliament of Edward the First, assigns the reason of calling it, it because the people had been otherwise entreated than they ought to be, the peace less kept, the laws less used, and offenders less punished than they ought to be, by reason whereof the people seared less to offend; and the first attempt to reform these various abuses was by contracting the power of replevying selons.

For above two centuries following it does not appear that any alteration was made in the law of bail, except that being taken with vert or venison was declared to be equivalent to indictment. The legislature adhered firmly to the spirit of the statute of Westminster. The statute of the 27th of Edward the first directs the justices of assize to enquire and punish officers bailing such as were not bailable. As for the judges of the superior courts, it is probable that, in those days, they thought themselves bound by the obvious intent and meaning of the legislature. They considered not so much to what

† Parliamentary History. 1.82.

particular

particular persons the prohibition was addressed, as what the thing was, which the legislature meant to prohibit, well knowing that in law, quando aliquid prohibetur, prohibetur et omn, per quod devenitur ad illud. "When any thing is forbidden, all the means, by which the same thing may be compassed or done, are equally forbidden."

By the statute of Richard the third, the power of bailing was a little enlarged. Every justice of peace was authorised to bail for felony; but they were expressly confined to persons arrested on light suspicion; and even this power, so limited, was found to produce fuch inconveniences that, in three years after, the legislature found it necessary to repeal it. Instead of trusting any longer to a fingle justice of peace, the act of 3d. Henry VIIth, repeals the preceding act, and directs " that no prisoner, (of those who " are mainternable by the law) shall be let to bail or mainprize, by less than two justices, where-" of one to be of the quorum." And fo indifpenfably necessary was this provision thought, for the administration of justice, and for the fecurity of the peace of fociety, that, at this time, an oath was proposed by the King to be taken by the knights and esquires of his household, by the members of the house of commons,

and all, then

" on

IN

Henr ture of 1st intire offence West

TH

who had by Charles of comfolved and the nuous affumed

the refu

d,

ire

W.

ber

is

me

lly

-WC

tice

but

fted

nit-

ices

und

any t of

act,

who

bail

ere-

dif-

ght,

fe-

this

o be

use-

om-

ons,

mons, and by the peers spiritual and temporal, and accepted and sworn to quasi una voce by them all, which, among other engagements, binds them "not to let any man to bail or mainprize, "knowing and deeming him to be a felon, up-"on your honour and worship. So help you "God and all saints. \*"

In about half a century however even these provisions were found insufficient. The act of Henry the seventh was evaded, and the legislature once more obliged to interpose. The act of 1st and 2d of Philip and Mary takes away intirely from the justices all power of bailing for offences declared not bailable by the statute of Westminster.

THE illegal imprisonment of several persons, who had refused to contribute to a loan exacted by Charles the first, and the delay of the Habeas Corpus and subsequent refusal to bail them, constituted one of the first and most important grievances of that reign. Yet when the house of commons, which met in the year 1628, resolved upon measures of the most firm and strenuous resistance to the power of imprisonment assumed by the King or privy-council, and to the refusal to bail the party on the return of the

<sup>\*</sup> Parliamentary History. 2. 419.

Habeas Corpus, they did expressly, in all their resolutions, make an exception of commitments, where the cause of the restraint was expressed, and did by law justify the commitment. The reason of the distinction is, that, whereas when the cause of commitment is expressed, the crime is then known and the offender must be brought to the ordinary trial; if, on the contrary, no cause of commitment be expressed, and the prifoner he thereupon remanded, it may operate to perpetual imprisonment. This contest with Charles the first produced the act of the 16th of that King, by which the court of King's Bench are dire ed, within three days after the return to the Habeas Corpus to examine and determine the legality of any commitment by the King or privy-council, and to do what to justice shall appertain in delivering, bailing, or remanding the prisoner.-Now, it seems, it is unnecessary for the judge to do what appertains to justice. The fame scandalous traffic, in which we have seen the privilege of parliament exerted or relaxed, to gratify the prefent humour, or to ferve the immediate purpose of the crown, is introduced into the administration of justice. The magistrate, it seems, has now no rule to follow, but the dictates of personal enmity, national partiality, or perhaps the most prostituted corruption.

rema act o fidere dom ' " me " du " ten carefu when fubje violati in the tion, f ter out how th prifone regard of the " shall " that " fuch " BY

" BAI

WH

thus ill

meanin

T

eir

ts,

ed.

he

nen

me ght

no

pri-

eto

vith

h of

nch

urn

nine

g or

lap-

the

the

The

feen

xed,

the

uced nagi-

but

par-

rup-

To

To complete this historical inquiry, it only remains to be observed that, the Habeas Cortus act of 31st of Charles the second, so justly confidered as another Magna Charta of the kingdom \* " extends only to the case of commit-" ments for fuch criminal charge, as can pro-" duce no inconvenience to public justice by a "temporary enlargement of the prisoner."-So careful were the legislature, at the very moment, when they were providing for the liberty of the fubject, not to furnish any colour or pretence for violating or evading the established law of bail in the higher criminal offences. But the exception, stated in the body of the act, puts the matter out of all doubt. After directing the judges how they are to proceed to the discharge of the prisoner upon recognisance and furety, having regard to the quality of the prisoner and nature of the offence, it is expressly added, " unless it " shall appear to the faid Lord Chancellor, &c. " that the party, fo committed, is detained for " fuch matters, or offences, for the which. "BY THE LAW THE PRISONER IS NOT " BAILABLE."

WHEN the laws plain of themselves, are thus illustrated by facts, and their uniform meaning established by history we do not want

<sup>\*</sup> Blackstone, 4, 137.

the authority of opinions however respectable, to inform our judgment or to confirm our belief. But I am determined that you shall have no escape. Authority of every fort shall be produced against you, from Jacob to Lord Coke, from the dictionary to the classic.—In vain shall you appeal from those upright judges, whom you disdain to imitate to those whom you have made your example. With one voice, they all condemn you.

"To be taken with the maner is where a thief, having stolen any thing, is taken with the same about him, as it were in his hands, which is called flagrante delicto. Such a criminal is not bailable by law."—Jacob under the word Maner.

"THOSE, who are taken with the Maner, are excluded, by the statute of Westminster, from the benefit of a replevin."—Hawkins. P. C. 2. 98.

"Or fuch heinous offences no one, who is notoriously guilty, seems to be bailable by the intent of this statute."—D°. 2. 99.

THE common practice, and allowed gene-

ee it

" a ]

" ab

" Ita

66

" jud

e vie

" not

" ticu

" fore

" riou

" by t

" beer

 $-D^{\circ}$ .

" IF

to de

et it stands indifferent whether the party were " guilty or innocent."-Do. Do.

f. 10

0-

e,

all

m

ive all

2

ith

ds,

cri-

the

ner,

ter,

ins

o is the

enehere es it

"THERE is no doubt but that the bailing of " a person, who is not bailable by law, is punish-" able, either at common law as a negligent " escape, or as an offence against the several " statutes relative to bail."-Do. 89.

"IT cannot be doubted but that, neither the "judges of this, nor of any other superior " court of justice, are strictly within the pur-" view of that statute, yet they will always, in " their discretion, pay a due regard to it, and of not admit a person to bail, who is expressly " declared by it irreplevisable, without some par-" ticular circumstance in his favour; and there-" fore it seems difficult to find an instance, " where persons, attainted of felony, or noto-" riously guilty of treason or manslaughter, &c. " by their own confession, or otherwise, have " been admitted to the benefit of bail, without " fome special motive to the court to grant it." -D°. 114.

" If it appears that any man hath injury or " wrong by his imprisonment, we have power to deliver and discharge him ;-if otherwise,

" be is to be remanded by us to prison again." Lord Cb. 7. Hyde. State Trials. 7. 115.

"THE statute of Westminster was especially for direction to the Sheriffs and others, but to " fay courts of juffice are excluded from this " flatute, I conceive it cannot be."-Attorner General Heath. Do. 132.

"THE court, upon view of the return, iudgeth of the fufficiency or infufficiency of it. If they think the prisoner in law to be " bailable, he is committed to the Marshal and bailed; if not, he is remanded."-Through the whole debate the objection, on the part of the prisoners, was, that no cause of commitment was expressed in the warrant; but it was uniformly admitted by their council that, if the cause of commitment had been expressed for treason or felony, the court would then have done right in remanding them.

THE Attorney General having urged, before a committee of both houses, that, in Beckwith's case and others, the lords of the council sent 2 letter to the court of King's Bench to bail; it was replied by the managers of the house of commons, that this was of no moment, " for that either the prisoner was bailable by the law, land-marks

te or ce he

« \_\_\_\_i

" judg " lette

" that " &c.

es Sc

" the l " ther

" when e the

" not."

"ID " when " custo

-By th though plainly t

all partie as incont

In rela " cially " comm

\* It has

" or not bailable;—if bailable by the law, then he was to be bailed without any such letter; —if not bailable by the law, then plainly the judges could not have bailed him upon the letter without breach of their oath, which is, that they are to dojustice according to the law, Gc."—State Trials. 7. 175.

ly

to

nis

ney

rn,

of

be

and

igh of

nit-

was

the

for

ave

fore ith's

ent a

; it

e of

law,

ee or

"So that, in bailing upon such offences of the highest nature, a kind of discretion, ra"ther than a constant law, hath been exercised, when it stands wholly indifferent in the eye of the court, whether the prisoner be guilty or not." Selden. St. Tr. 7. 230. 1.

"I DENY that a man is always bailable, "when imprisonment is imposed upon him for custody." Attorney General Heath. do. 238.

—By these quotations from the State Trials, though otherwise not of authority, it appears plainly that, in regard to bailable or not bailable, all parties agreed in admitting one proposition as incontrovertible.

In relation to capital offences there are espe-"cially these acts of parliament that are the "common landmarks \* touching offences baila-

se ble."

<sup>\*</sup> It has been the study of Lord Mansfield to remove land-marks.

ble or not bailable." Hale. 2. P. C. 127. The enumeration includes the feveral acts cited in this paper.

- "PERSONS, taken with the Manouvre, are not bailable, because it is furtum manifestum." Hale. 2. P. C. 133.
- THE writ of Habeus Corpus is of a high nature; for if persons be wrongfully committed, they are to be discharged upon this writ returned; or, if bailable, they are to be sailed;—if not bailable, they are to be committed." Hale. 2. P. C. 143. This doctrine of Lord Chief Justice Hale refers immediately to the superior courts from whence the writ issues.—"After the return is filed, the court is either to discharge, or bail, or commit him, as the nature of the cause requires." Hale. 2. P. C. 146.
- "IF bail be granted, otherwise than the law "alloweth, the party that alloweth the same, so shall be fined, imprisoned, render damages, or forfeit his place, as the case shall require." Selden by N. Bacon. 182.
- This induces an absolute necessity of expressing, upon every commitment, the rease son, for which it is made; that the court, upon

ee up

cc the

es ma

ec inc

ss Ha

" wa

ee the

" the

es leff

« rob

" rob

Bro. A

ec quod

" no;

" con

to be

" baila

. B

" whe

2. Inft.

Vor

- " upon a Habeus Corpus, may examine into its
- validity, and, according to the circumstances of
- " the case, may discharge, admit to bail, or re-
- " mand the prisoner." Blackstone. 3. 133.
- " MARRIOT was committed for forging
- " indorsements upon bank bills, and, upon a
- " Habeus Corpus, was bailed, because the crime
- " was only a great misdemeanor; for though
- " the forging the bills be felony, yet forging
- " the indorfement is not." Salkeld. 1. 104.
- " APPELL de Mahem, &c. ideo ne fuit
- " lesse a baille, nient plus que in appell de
- " robbery ou murder; quod nota, et que in
- " robry et murder le partie n'est baillable." Bro. Mainprise. 67.
- " THE intendment of the law in bails is,
- " quod stat indifferenter whether he be guilty or
- " no; but, when he is convict by verdict or
- " confession, then he must be deemed in law
- to be guilty of the felony, and therefore not
- " bailable at all." Coke. 2. Inft. 188 .- 4. 178.
- " BAIL is quando flat indifferenter, and not
- " when the offence is open and manifest."
- 2. Inft. 189.

f

3

2

U

1

"In this case non stat indifferenter whether he Vol. II. M "be

- " be guilty or no, being taken with the Maner,
- " that is, with the thing stolen, as it were in
- " his hand." Do. Do.
- "IF it appeareth that this imprisonment be if just and lawful, he shall be remanded to the
- former goaler; but, if it shall appear to the
- court that he was imprisoned against the law
- of the land, they ought, by force of this sta-
- tute, to deliver him; if it be doubtful, and
- " under confideration, he may be bailed."
- 2. Inft. 55.

IT is unnecessary to load the reader with any farther quotations. If these authorities are not deemed sufficient to establish the doctrine maintained in this paper, it will be in vain to appeal to the evidence of law-books, or to the opinions of judges. They are not the authorities, by which Lord Manssield will abide. He assumes an arbitrary power of doing right; and, if he does wrong, it lies only between God and his conscience.

Now, my Lord, although I have great faith in the preceding argument, I will not fay, that every minute part of it is absolutely invulnerable. I am too well acquainted with the practice of a certain court, directed by your example, as it is governed by your authority.

to th how which difco toget of de comp man will f will a house after a tained ing fe whatfo to pur unque plainly not bail ture, c admitt tion, i pernici **Tuppose** offence fers on pernicio

yet the

to, and

e

e

e

W

d

"

14

ot

n-

al

ns

by

ies

he

his

ith

Ly,

in-

he

out

ty

to think there ever yet was an argument, however conformable to law and reason, in which a cunning, quibbling attorney might not discover a flaw. But, taking the whole of it together, I affirm that it constitutes a mass of demonstration, than which nothing more compleat or satisfactory can be offered to the human mind. How an evafive, indirect reply will stand with your reputation, or how far it will answer in point of defence at the bar of the house of lords, is worth your consideration. after all that has been faid, it should still be maintained, that the court of King's Bench, in bail-. ing felons, are exempted from all legal rules whatfoever, and that the judge has no direction to pursue, but his private affections, or mere unquestionable will and pleasure, it will follow plainly that the distinction between bailable and not bailable, uniformly expressed by the legislature, current through all our law-books, and admitted by all our great lawyers without exception, is in one sense a nugatory, in another a pernicious distinction. It is nugatory, as it supposes a difference in the bailable quality of offences, when, in effect, the distinction refers only to the rank of the magistrate. pernicious, as it implies a rule of law, which yet the judge is not bound to pay the least regard to, and impresses an idea upon the minds of the people, M 2

people, that the judge is wifer and greater than the law.

IT remains only to apply the law, thus stated, to the fact in question. By an authentic copy of the mittimus it appears that John Eyre was committed for felony, plainly and specially expressed in the warrant of commitment. He was charged before Alderman Halifax by the oath of Thomas Fielding, William Holder, William Payne, and William Nash, for feloniously flealing eleven quires of writing-paper, value fix shillings, the property of Thomas Beach, &c.-by the examinations, upon oath, of the four persons mentioned in the mittimus, it was proved, that large quantities of paper had been miffed, and that eleven quires (previously marked from a suspicion that Eyre was the thief) were found upon him. Many other quires of paper, marked in the same manner, were found at his lodgings; and after he had been sometime in Wood-street Compter, a key was found in his room there, which appeared to be a key to the closet at Guildhall, from whence the paper When asked what he had to say in his defence, his only answer was, I bope you will Mr. Holder, the Clerk, replied, That is impossible. There never was an instance of it, when the stolen goods were found upon the thief. The Lord Mayor was then applied to, and refused

fuled it wa nutely chief known justify your th fuch a of their duced whethe Was ar that ter made a upon o either b committ that the -or tha ing then attempt To a without ! ly NO. never en fore thou he might his fortur remainde

abroad.

fused to bail him. Of all these circumstances it was your duty to have informed yourself minutely. The fact was remarkable, and the chief magistrate of the city of London was known to have refused to bail the offender. justify your compliance with the folicitations of your three countrymen, it should be proved that fuch allegations were offered to you, in behalf of their affociate, as honeftly and bona fide reduced it to a matter of doubt and indifference. whether the prisoner was innocent or guilty-Was any thing offered by the Scotch triumvirate. that tended to invalidate the positive charge made against him by four credible witnesses upon oath?-Was it even infinuated to you, either by himself or his bail, that no felony was committed; or that be was not the felon; that the stolen goods were not found upon him; -or that he was only the receiver, not knowing them to be stolen?-Or, in short, did they attempt to produce any evidence of his infanity? To all these questions, I answer for you, without the least fear of contradiction, positively NO. From the moment he was arrested, he never entertained any hope of acquittal; therefore thought of nothing but obtaining bail, that he might have time to settle his affairs, convey his fortune into another country, and fpend the remainder of his life in confort and affluence abroad. In this prudential scheme of future M 3 happiness,

ł

1

0

r

n

11

tt

,

b

happiness, the Lord Chief Justice of England most readily and heartily concurred. At fight of so much virtue in diffress, your natural benevolence took the alarm. Such a man as Mr. Eyre, struggling with adversity, must always be an interesting scene to Lord Mansfield .- Or was it that liberal anxiety, by which your whole life has been diftinguished, to enlarge the liberty of the subject ?- My Lord, we did not want, this new instance of the liberality of your principles. We already knew what kind of subjects they were, for whose liberty you were anxious. At all events, the public are much indebted to you for fixing a price, at which felony may be committed with impunity. You bound a felon, notoriously worth thirty thousand pounds, in the fum of three hundred. With your natural turn to equity, and knowing, as you are, in the doctrine of precedents, you undoubtedly meant to settle the proportion between the fortune of the felon, and the fine, by which he may compound for his felony. The ratio now upon record, and transmitted to posterity under the auspices of Lord Mansfield, is exactly one to a hundred.-My Lord, without intending it, you have laid a cruel restraint upon the genius of your countrymen. In the warmest indulgence of their paffions, they have an eye to the expence, and if their other virtues fail us, we have a resource in their economy.

By

BY

Eyre,

to efca

ufual t

cuftody

have e

That h

industr

dua, 1

by his

other m

men, v

dred po

my of I

fible inc

and not

more tl

public a

favour o

well kno

of the c

The Lor

the hun

taken in

pearance

jury, an

which th

murder;

victed of

don.

By taking fo trifling a fecurity from John Eyre, you invited and manifestly exhorted him to escape. Although in bailable cases, it be usual to take four securities, you lest him in the custody of three Scotchmen, whom he might have eafily fatisfied for conniving at his retreat. That he did not make use of the opportunity you industriously gave him neither justifies your conduct, nor can it be any way accounted for, but by his excessive and monstrous avarice. other man, but this bosom-friend of three Scotchmen, would gladly have facrificed a few hundred pounds, rather than to submit to the infamy of pleading guilty in open court. It is poffible indeed that he might have flattered himself, and not unreasonably, with the hopes of a par-That he would have been pardoned feems more than probable, if I had not directed the public attention to the leading step you took in favour of him. In the present gentle reign, we well know what use has been made of the lenity of the court and of the mercy of the crown. The Lord Chief Justice of England accepts of the hundredth part of the property of a felon taken in the fact, as a recognizance for his appearance. Your brother Smythe brow-beats a jury, and forces them to alter their verdict, by which they had found a Scotch ferjeant guilty ofmurder; and though the Kennedies were convicted of a most deliberate and atrocious murder

der, they still had a claim to the royal mercy. -They were faved by the chastity of their connexions.—They had a fifter ;-yet it was not her beauty, but the pliancy of her virtue that recommended her to the King .- The holy author of our religion was feen in the company of finners; but it was his gracious purpose to convert them from their fins. Another man, who in the ceremonies of our faith might give leffons to the great enemy of it, upon different principles keeps much the fame company. He advertises for patients, collects all the diseases of the heart, and turns a royal palace into an hofpital for incurables. - A man of honour has no ticket of admission at St. James's. They re-· ceive him, like a virgin at the Magdalen's;-Go thou and do liberife

My charge against you is now made good. I shall however be ready to answer or to submit to fair objections. If, whenever this matter shall be agitated, you suffer the doors of the house of lords to be shut, I now protest, that I shall consider you as having made no reply. From that moment, in the opinion of the world, you will stand self-convicted. Whether your reply be quibbling and evasive, or liberal and in point, will be matter for the judgment of your peers; --but if, when every possible idea of disrespect to that noble house, (in whose honour and justice the

the na lemnly present rity, as lisher of injustion accountribe the part positive

MY

barren root, no tile, as good quame of defence ert in the abilities, benefit fet forth ceffary to mittimus, motion f

shall know

the nation implicitly confides) is here most solemnly disclaimed, you should endeavour to represent this charge, as a contempt of their authority, and move their lordships to censure the publisher of this paper, I then affirm that you support injustice by violence, that you are guilty of a henious aggravation of you offence, and that you contribute your utmost influence to promote, on the part of the highest court of judicature, a positive denial of justice to the nation.

JUNIUS.

### LETTER LXIX.

TO THE RIGHT HON. LORD CAMDEN.
MY LORD,

barren waste, in which no salutary plant takes root, no verdure quickens, to a character fertile, as I willingly believe, in every great and good qualification. I call upon you, in the name of the English nation, to stand forth in desence of the laws of your country, and to exert in the cause of truth and justice, those great abilities, with which you were entrusted for the benefit of mankind. To ascertain the sacts, set forth in the preceding paper, it may be necessary to call the persons, mentioned in the mittimus, to the bar of the house of lords. If a motion for that purpose should be rejected, we shall know what to think of Lord Mansfield's inno-

cence.

cence. The legal argument is submitted to your lordship's judgment. After the noble stand you made against Lord Mansfield upon the question of libel, we did expect that you would not have fuffered that matter to have remained undetermined. But it was faid that Lord Chief Juffice Wilmot had been prevailed upon to vouch for an opinion of the late Judge Yates, which was supposed to make against you; and we admit of the excuse. When such detestable arts are employed to prejudge a question of right, it might have been imprudent, at that time, to have brought it to a decision. In the present instance you will have no fuch opposition to contend with. If there be a judge, or a lawyer of any note in Westminster-hall, who shall be daring enough to affirm that, according to the true intendment of the laws of England, a felon, taken with the Maner, in flagranti delicto, is bailable; or that the discretion of an English judge is merely arbitrary, and not governed by rules of law,-I should be glad to be acquainted with him. Whoever he be, I will take care that he shall not give you much trouble. Your lordship's character affures me that you will assume that principal part, which belongs to you, in supporting the laws of England, against a wicked judge, who makes it the occupation of his life, to misinterpret and prevert them. If you decline this honourable office, I fear it will be

faid th too mu When of the, l render honour the fitu do not appeal judgme gerous done m punishr rial offic

the via

facke?

villes.

Horne nest inc in a lett had was parliam neceffar extract dated th fore the

faid

faid that, for some months past, you have kept too much company with the Duke of Graston. When the contest turns upon the interpretation of the laws, you cannot, without a formal surrender of all your reputation, yield the post of honour even to Lord Chatham. Considering the situation and abilities of Lord Manssield, I do not scruple to affirm, with the most solemn appeal to God for my sincerity, that, in my judgment, he is the very worst and most dangerous man in the kingdom. Thus far I have done my duty in endeavouring to bring him to punishment. But mine is an inferior, ministerial office in the temple of justice.—I have bound the victim, and dragged him to the altar.

South to a sent the last of JUNIUS.

THE Reverend Mr. John Horne having, with his usual veracity and honest industry, circulated a report that Junius, in a letter to the Supporters of the Bill of Rights, had warmly declared himself in favour of long parliaments and rotten boroughs, it is thought necessary to submit to the public the following extract from his letter to John Wilkes, Esq. dated the 7th of September, 1771, and laid before the society on the 24th of the same month.

bot of the content of the region of the rod

as the was a med a prevail states betterge to the

" WITH regard to the several articles, taken " feparately, I own I am concerned to fee that " the great condition, which ought to be the " fine qua non of parliamentary qualification,which ought to be the basis (as it assuredly will be the only support) of every barrier " raifed in defence of the constitution, I mean a declaration upon oath to shorten the duration of. of parliaments, is reduced to the fourth rank in the of esteem of the society; and even in that placey " far from being infifted on with firmness and vehemence, feems to have been particularly " flighted in the expression .- You shall endeavour to restore annual parliaments !- Are these the terms, which men, who are in earnest, make "use of, when the salus reipublicæ is at stake? " I expected other language from Mr. Wilkes. -Besides my objection in point of form, I " disapprove highly of the meaning of the of fourth article as it stands. Whenever the " question shall be seriously agitated, I will " endeavour (and if I live will affuredly attempt it) to convince the English nation, by ar-" guments to my understanding unantwer ble, " that they ought to infift upon a triennial, and " banish the idea of an annual parliament. . . . .... I am convinced that, if shortening the duration of parliaments (which in effect is keeping the representative under the rod of the constituent) be not made the basis of ee our

ec our

es che

es the

er othe e aux

er Lore

es crea

" pear

ce awa

ce fond ac and

er at t

se swn

as in re

ce be cl

ee polit

se to y

es exte és I qu

es ture

ce upor

conf

er more

es cont

es the i

cc ture.

se purp

" appli

er creed

es which

our new parliamentary jurisprudence, other " checks or improvements figurify nothing. On " the contrary if this be made the foundation, other measures may some in aid, and, as auxiliaries, be of confiderable advantage.-" Lord Chathan,'s project, for instance, of increasing the number of knights of shires, appears to me admirable. . . . . As to cutting " away the rotten boroughs, I am as much of-" fended as any man at feeing fo many of them " under the direct influence of the crown, or at the disposal of private persons. Yet, I " ewn, I have both doubts and apprehensions, in regard to the remedy you propose. I shall " be charged perhaps with an unfual want of of political intrepidity, when I honeftly confess to you, that I am startled at the idea of fo es extensive an amputation. - In the first place, " I question the power, de jure, of the legislature to disfranchise a number of boroughs, " upon the general ground of improving the " constitution. There cannot be a doctrine " more fatal to the liberty and property we are contending for, than that, which confounds the idea of a supreme and an arbitrary legisla-" ture. I need not point out to you the fatal purposes, to which it has been, and may be " applied. If we are fincere in the political " creed we profess, there are many things, which we ought to affirm, cannot be done es by

" by King, Lords and Commons. Among these I reckon the disfranchising of boroughs " with a general view of improvement. I con-" fider it as equivalent to robbing the par-" ties concerned of their freehold, of their " birth-right. I say that, although this birthright may be forfeited, or the exercise of it " fuspended in particular cases, it cannot be " taken away by a general law, for any real or " pretended purpose of improving the constitution. Supposing the attempt made, I am or persuaded you cannot mean that either King or Lords should take an active part in it. " bill which only touches the representation of " the people, must originate in the house of In the formation and mode of commons. passing it, the exclusive right of the commons " must be afferted as scrupulously, as in the " the case of a money-bill. Now, Sir, I " should be glad to know by what kind of rea-" foning it can be proved, that there is a power " vested in the representative to destroy his im-" mediate constituent. From whence could he of poffibly derive it? A courtier, I know, will " be ready to maintain the affirmative. The " doctrine fuits him exactly, because it gives " an unlimited operation to the influence of the crown. But we, Mr. Wilkes, ought to hold " a different language. It is no answer to me to fay, that the bill, when it passes the house

of of no

ee fra

cc wh

ee for

" the

e pal

of:

cc kin

er pol

and able

« diffi

inft whe

" feet

ee wha

" tion

st rotte

r perf

· · · wha

er mor

obse

es unn

15

1-

rir

it

oe.

or

m

A of

of

of

15

10

I a-

er

1-

ne II

ne

es

ne ld

1e fe

of

of commons, is the act of the majority, and not the representatives of the particular boroughs concerned. If the majority can diffranchise ten boroughs, why not twenty? why not the whole kingdom? Why should not they make their own seats in parliament for life?—When the septennial act passed, the legislature did what, apparently and palmably, they had no power to do; but they did more than people in general were aware of: they, in effect, disfranchised the whole kingdom for four years.

" For argument's fake, I will now suppose, that the expediency of the measure, and the power of parliament are unquestionable. Still you will find an infurmountable " difficulty in the execution. When all your instruments of amputation are prepared, " when the unhappy patient lies bound at your feet, without the possibility of resistance, by what infallible rule will you direct the opera-"tion?-When you propose to cut away the et rotten parts, can you tell us what parts are " perfectly found?-Are there any certain limits, in fact or theory, to inform you at " what point you must stop, at what point the " mortification ends. To a man so capable of observation and reflection as you are, it is " unnecessary to fay all that might be faid upon

" the subject. Besides that I approve highly

of Lord Chatham's idea of infusing a portion of

es new health into the constitution to enable it to

" bear its infirmities, (a brilliant expression,

and full of intrinsic wisdom) other reasons

concur in persuading me to adopt it. I have

" no objection, &c."

THE man, who fairly and compleatly answers this argument, shall have my thanks and my applause. My heart is already with him. I am ready to be converted. I admire his morality, and would gladly subscribe to the articles of his faith .- Grateful, as I am, to the GOOD BEING, whose bounty has imparted to me this reasoning intellect, whatever it is, I hold myself proportionable indebted to him, from whose enlightened understanding another ray of knowledge communicates to mine. But neither should I think the most exalted faculties of the human mind, a gift worthy of the divinity; nor any affistance, in the improvement of them, a subject of gratitude to my fellow creature, if I were not fatisfied, that really to inform the understanding corrects and enlarges the heart.

JUNIUS.

AMI
trons
the bu
ARMY,
fecurit
meffic
climate
the inf

trell,

BECKFORMANTION
BEDFORMANTION
dom, to
ford, ve
from J
judged
was, an

TO TER

#### FIRST AND SECOND VOLUMES

OF

5

y n

15

ng r-

n-

ge

I

an

ny

ıb-

ere

er-

S.

## JUNIUS'S LETTERS.

#### A.

AMERICA, Mr. Pitt and Lord Camden the patrons of, vol. I. pag. 8— a new office established for the business of, ib.

ARMY, young gentlemen coming into it faid to be a fecurity to the kingdom from foreign as well as domeffic flavery, vol. I. p. 32—many of our forces in climates unfavourable to British constitutions, p. 33—the infult offered to it, by appointing Colonel Luttrell, Adjutant-general of Ireland, vol. II. p. 38

#### B.

BECKFORD Mr. his sumptuous entertainment at the Mansion-house, vol. II. p. 138.

BEDFORD corporation of, admit strangers to their freedom, to shake of the tyranny of the Duke of Bedford, vol. I. p. 151—Duke of, a letter to his Grace from Junius, p. 147—his feelings nice if to be judged from his resentments, ib.—what his Grace was, and what he might have been, p. 149—his arrowal of the sale of a Borough, p. 151—accused of N.

infensibility on the loss of his son, vol. I. p. 152—His Grace every way unfortunate, ib.—his embassy at Verfailles the first important part of his history, p. 153—those who are acquainted with his Grace's pecuniary character are apt to suspect such facrifices would not have been made without some private compensations, p. 154—stipulations made betwixt him and Lord Bute, and violated, p. 155—behaves to the King in an outrageous manner, ib.—solicits again the friend-snip of Lord Bute, p. 156—his measures to obtain and confirm his power, p. 157—his character vindicated by Sir William Draper, p. 171—makes a public display of his insensibility on the death of his son, p. 186—an anecdote of his Grace's behaviour on this missortune, ib.

BENSON Mr. challenged as a juryman, vol. II. p. 192 BING LEY Mr. his imprisonment for two years, vol. II.

BLACKSTONE Dr. Solicitor to the Queen, vol, I. p. 84—more folicitous to preferve his place than his reputation, ib.—a letter addressed to him from Junius, p. 109—charged with having delivered different doctrines in the house of commons from what he had written in his Commentaries, p. 113—that when he spoke in the house he never once thought of the Commentaries until the contradiction was unexpectedly urged, p. 117—contradicts the ministry sometimes as well as himself, p. 145

BRADSHAW Mr. has a pension settled upon him, vol. I.
p. 245—assirms that every part of Mr. Hine's purchase-money was paid to Colonel Burgoyne, vol. II.
p. 105—an intimacy betwixt him and Lord Irnham,

BROMFIELD Mr. Surgeon, his opinion in regard to the death of George Clarke, who received a blow at the Brentford election, vol. I. p. 49

BROOKE Dr. faid to be quartered on the falary of a patent place purchased by Mr. Hine, vol. I. p. 208

BUCARELLI, the Spanish governor of Port Egmont acted in obedience to his order, vol. II. p. 59—if he had acted without he deserved death, p. 63.

BURGOYNE Colonel, his expences at Preston, vol. I. p. 206—the purchase-money of a patent place said to be

BUT vol the pul for wh intellate

CALC vol. CAMI of 1 legi conf fhip CARL heir CHAR CHAR CHAT the his r of i city Juni CLER mon COKE powe

COMM

temp

tural

recei

Mr. peale

vente

COLO

be given him for his fervices at Preston; vol. I. p. 203 -no man more tender of his reputation, p. 209.

BUTE Earl of, his interview with the Duke of Bedford, vol. I. p. 150—not of a temper to relinquish power; though he retired from employment, p. 155-ftipulations made betwixt him and the Duke of Bedford violated, ib.—treats the Duke with contempt when again foliciting his friendship, p. 156-forced into the Prince of Wales's houshold, contrary to the late King's inclination, p. 215.

CALCRAFT Mr. when he determined to be a patriot, vol. II. p. 183.

CAM DEN Lord, attributes to the crown a power, in case of necessity to suspend the operation of an act of the legislature, vol. II. p. 178—his doctrine in this respect confidered and refuted, p. 187—a letter to his lordship from Junius, p. 249.

CARLETON-HOUSE, the tutelage and dominion of the heir apparent laid there many years ago, vol. 1. p. 214 CHARLES I, lived and died a hypocrite, vol. 1. p. 71.

CHARLES II. a hypocrite, tho' of another fort, ib. CHATHAM Lord, introduces the Duke of Grafton on the political stage, vol. I. p. 71-obliged to withdraw his name from an administration formed on the credit of it, p. 73-the motive of giving the thanks of the city to him, vol. 11. p. 139—an encomium on him by Junius, p. 148.

CLERGY, their incapacity to fit in the house of com-

mons, vol. I. p. 137.

1

e - y

3

,

C

e

e

COKE Sir Edward, his opinion with regard to the power of the house of commons committing for con-

tempt, vol. II. p. 95. COLONIES, those of America alienated from their natural affection to the Mother Country, vol. I. p. 7receive spirit and argument from the declaration of Mr. Pitt and Lord Camden, p. 8—the stamp act repealed, and a new mode of taxing the Colonies invented, ib .- the Colonists equally detest the pageantry of a King and the hypocrify of a Bishop, p. 227.

COMMONS house of, the situation they are reduced to by N 2 their

their vote on the Middlesex election, vol. I. p. 134—faid to have transferred their gratitude from their parents to their benefactors, p. 223—have assumed an authority equal to an act of the legislature, p. 233—have transferred the right of election from the collective to the representative body, ib—they are only interpreters to convey the sense of the people to the crown, vol. II. p. 18—did not dare to affert their own dignity when grossly attacked, p. 27—would best consult their dignity by appealing to the laws, when they are offended, p. 85.

Consica, would never have been invaded if the British court had interposed with dignity and sirmness, vol.

I. p. 76.

CROMWELL Oliver, with all his crimes had the spirit of an Englishman, vol. II. p. 70—an expression of his in the time of Charles I. p. 133.

CUMBERIAND the late Duke of; in his time parliamentary influence prevailed least in the army, vol. I, p. 31.

D.

DINGLEY Mr. becomes a candidate for the county of Middlesex, vol. I. p. 54.

DODD Captain, applied to Captain Garth for the affiftance of his guard to rescue General Gansel, vol. I. p.

198. DRAPER Sir William; his defence of the Marquis of Granby, against the charges of Junius, vol. I. p. 15his letter to Junius, p. 29-refers him to the united voice of the army, and all Germany for instances of the military skill and capacity of the Marquis of Granby, p. 31—his answer on his own account, p. 34—accused of making a traffic of the royal favour, p. 40-another letter to Junius, p. 41-his answer to a question of Junius, ib .- to Junius, p. 160-complains of the affertion of Junius, that he had fold the companions of his fuccefs, ib.—that it is a malicious falshood, and bids the writer stand forth and avow the charge, ib -appeals to the gentlemen to whom he had made application in this affair, p. 162-to Junius; that he has read his letter to the Duke of Bedford with herror and aftonishment, wherein an affectionate

tion to p. be 17 th try que the

Exp cre & f

ELL

EYR!

FELO flat due Foot Qui

GAME GANSI Tilt cited ing I GART

the 1

tionate father is upbraided with the loss of an only and most amiable son, vol. I. p. 169—that Junius goes wantonly out of his way to torment declining age, vol. I. p. 170—he is called upon to prove the Duke's avarice before he makes his hasty and wicked conclusions p. 171—but if an ambassador loves money too much, is this a proof that he has taken any to betray his country, ib.—Sir William's account of the ministerial quarrels, p. 173—that the Duke however, potent as he is, is amenable to justice, and the parliament is the high and solemn tribunal, p. 172.

#### E.

ELLIS Mr. Welbore, whether he makes or suppresses a motion, is sure of his disgrace. vol. II. p. 13.

EXPULSION from the house of commons, whether it creates incapacity of being re-elected, vol. I. p. 119 & seq.—Mr. Walpole's case considered as a precedent, p. 124.

EYRE John, bailed by Lord Mansfield, vol. II. p. 207—this affair flated and examined according to the statutes in such cases, p. 244.

#### F.

FELONY, whether or not bailable, vol. II. p. 217—the statutes relative to bail in criminal matter stated in due order, p. 221.

FOOTE Mr. Surgeon, his evidence on the trial of Mac Quirk, vol. I. p. 49.

#### G.

GAME LAWS oppressive to the subject, vol. II. p. 205.

GANSEL General, his rescue from the Bailits near the Tilt-yard in St James's Park, vol. I. p. 190—he solicited a corporal and other soldiers to affish him in making his escape, p. 198.

GARTH Captain, declined appearing himself, but stood aloof while Captain Dodd took upon him to order out the King's guard to rescue General Gansel, vol. I. p. 198.

N 3

GISm

GISEORNE Colonel, a regiment faid to be fold to him; vol. I. p. 28-Colonel Draper refigned it to him, for his half-pay, p. 37—accepts of a pension for the government of Kinsale, vol. II. p. 37.

GRAFTON Duke of, upon what footing he first took, and foon after refigned, the office of fecretary of state, vol. I. p. 5-the only act of mercy to which he advised his fovereign received with disapprobation, p. 48his establishment of a new tribunal, p. 52-one fatal mark fixed on every measure, wherein he is concerned, p. 54-a fingular instance of youth without spirit, p. 55-obliged either to abandon a ufeful partizan, or to protect a villain from public justice, p. 56-accused of balancing his non-execution of the laws with a breach of the conflitution, p. 62-the feating Mr. Luttrell in the house of commons entails on posterity the immediate effects of his administration, p. 63 -in his fystem of government he addresses himself fimply to the touch, p. 69-his character confidered as a subject of curious speculation, ib.-resemblance thereof to that of his royal progenitors, p. 71-at his fetting out, a patriot of no unpromising expectations, ib,-has many compensations to make in the closet for his former friendship with Mr. Wilkes, p. 72-his union by marriage not imprudent in a political view, p. 74-his Grace's public conduct as a minister the counterpart of his private history, p. 75-in the whole course of his life a strange endeavour to unite contradictions, p. 83-his infult on public decorum at the Opera-house, p. 85—his reasons for deserting his friends, p. 86—his political infant state, childhood, puberty and manhood, p. 87-if his Grace's abilities had been able to keep pace with the principles of his heart he would have been a formidable minister, p. 88—the people find a resource in the weakness of his understanding, p. 89-charged with being the leader of a fervile administration, collected from the deferters of all parties, p. 92-his coyness in rejecting Mr. Vaughan's proposals is said to resemble the termagant chastity of a prude, p. 205-is called upon to tell the price of the patent purchased by Mr. Hine, p. 206 -will he dare (fays Junius) to profecute Vaughan whilft he is fetting up the royal patronage to auction?

tion ever the 239the ib.part cont gyrie roya have lue i have 303comr P. 10. the fa arity ment omine meafi best o basen to Er Lord the in withou what a fucceff tim ber Whitt P. 165 or for a pened 1 Duke a propert -the the oak and the

Grafton

defeat o

in hafte

land's p

tion? vol. I. p. 260-in his public character has injured every subject in the empire, p. 238-the event of all the facrifices he made to Lord Bute's patronage, p. 239-at the most active period of life obliged to quit the busy scene, and conceal himself from the world, ib.—the neglect of the petitions and remonstrances a part of his original plan of government, p. 241-was contented with pronouncing Colonel Luttrell's panegyric, vol. II. p. 36-is restored to his rank under the royal standard, p. 101—is acknowledged by Junius to have great intrinsic merit, but is cautioned not to value it too highly, p. 102-in vain would his Majesty have look'd round for a more confumate character, p. 103—he remembers with gratitude how the Duke accommodated his morals to the necessity of his fervice, p. 104—the abundance of merit in the Duke to fecure the favour of his fovereign, p. 105-a striking peculiarity in his character, p. 108-his Grace's re-appointment in the cabinet announced to the public by the ominous return of Lord Bute, p. 110-in whatever measure concerned he makes the government of the best of princes contemptible and ridiculous, ib.—his baseness affirmed to be the cause of greater mischief to England than even the unfortunate ambition of Lord Bute, p. 149—to what enormous excesses the influence of the crown has conducted his Grace without a spark of personal resolution, p. 160-in what a hopeful condition he delivered the navy to his fuccessor, p. 164--the navy being in great want of timber, a warrant was made out to cut timber in Whittlebury forest where the Duke is hereditary ranger p. 165 -his Grace's perfecution of the Deputy-Surveyor for attempting to cut down the trees when he happened not to have the warrant in his pocket, ib.—the Duke afferted upon his honour that in the grant the property of the timber is vested in the ranger, p. 166 the very reverse affirmed to be the truth, ib .- yet the oaks keep their ground, the King is defrauded, and the navy fuffers; all this to appeale the Duke of Grafton, p. 167—the mortification he received on the defeat of Sir James Lowther, p. 208-his expedition in hastening the grant to transfer the Duke of cite land's property to Sir James Lowther, p. 212. GRANBY

GRANBY Lord, accused of accumulating in his own person and family, a number of lucrative employments, vol. I. p. 12—his cares confined to filling up vacancies, p. 12—praised and vindicated by Sir William Draper, against the charges of Junius, p. 17—the united voice of the army and all Germany will tell instances of his military skill and capacity, p. 31—his reputation is said by Junius to have suffered more by his friends than his enemies, p. 38.

GRENVILLE Mr. at any rate to be distressed because he was minister, vol. I. p. 8—vindicated from some reflections thrown out against him in a pamphlet written in defence of Sir Matthew Blackstone, p. 110—receives chastisement from the chair in the house of

commons, p. 118.

#### H.

HARLEY Mr. the interest of government in the city faid to be committed to him, vol. II. p. 110.

HARRY the eighth, by the submission of his parliament, as absolute as Lewis the sourteenth, vol. II. p. 70.

HAWKE Sir Edward, this country highly indebted to him, vol. I. p. 12.

HILLSBOROUGH Earl of, called forth to govern America, vol. I. p. 8—his measures censured, p. 9.

HINES Mr. a patent purchased by him, vol. I. p. 206the price at which the place was knocked down, p. 208. HORNE Mr. his unfortunate endeavours in support of the nomination of Sheriffs, vol. II, p. 112-in his principles already a bishop, p. 113-his letter to Junius, p. 114 -'tis the reputation gained under this fignature which draws from him a reply, p. 115—that he is ready to lay down his life in opposition to the ministerial measures, ib -- that he did not folicit one vote in favour of Meifrs. Plumbe and Kirkman, p. 116-a letter to him from Junius, p. 117-accused of having fold himself to the ministry from his own letters, p. 118-his mode of attack on Mr. Wilkes cenfured, p. 119-is blamed for introducing the name of a young lady into the news papers, p. 121—is charged with having duped Mr. Oliver, ib .- another letter to Junius, p. 122-charges bim with inconfiftency and felf-

cor to dar not of . con for oug long 137 pula get from term to af the that peals a deg -his fofter proac pair,

ed and
IR NHAM
211.
JUDGE,
private
JUNIUS
vertife
departs

HUMPI

ford o

of noth of the

COTT

Draper

contradiction, vol. I. p. 123—that he feels no reluctance to attack the character of any man, p. 126-that the darkness in which Junius thinks himself shrouded has not concealed him, p. 130-reflections on the tendency of Junius's principles, p. 133-that Mr. Wilkes did commission Mr. Thomas Walpole to solicit a pension for him, p. 134-that according to Junius Mr. Wilkes ought to hold the ftrings of his benefactors purfes fo long as he continues to be a thorn in the King's side, p. 137—that the leaders of the opposition refused to thipulate certain points for the public in case they should get into administration, ib .- a letter to Mr. Horne from Junius, p. 141-is charged with changing the terms of Junius's proposition when he supposes him to affert it would be impossible for any man to write in the news paper, and not to be discovered, p. 143that he deals in fiction, and therefore naturally appeals to the evidence of the poets, p. 144-is allowed a degree of merit which aggravates his guilt, p. 145 -his furious perfecuting zeal has by gentle degrees fostened into moderation, p. 147—shameful for him who has lived in friendship with Mr. Wilkes to reproach him for failings naturally connected with defpair, p. 151.

HUMPHREY Mr. his treatment of the Duke of Redford on the course at Litchfield, vol. I. p. 152.

#### I.

IRELAND the people of, have been uniformly plundered and oppressed, vol. I. p. 225.

0.

e

is e-

in

-a

1g

p.

p.

ng

1f-

IRNHAM Lord, father of Colonel Luttrell, vol. II. p.

JUDGE, one may be honest enough in the decision of private causes, yet a traitor to the Public, vol. I. p. 13.

JUNIUS letter from, to the printer of the Public Advertiser, on the state of the nation, and the different departments of the state, vol. I. p. 1—to Sir William Draper, p. 22—approves of Sir William's spirit in giving his name to the public, but that it was a proof of nothing but spirit, p. 23—requires some instances of the military skill and capacity of Lord Granby, p. 24—puts some queries to Sir William as to his own conduct

duct, vol.I.p. 28-called upon by Sir William to give his real name, p. 30-another letter to Sir William Draper, p. 38-explains Sir William's bargain with Colonel Gisborne, p. 40-letter to Sir William Draper, p. 42-declares himself to be a plain unlettered man, p. 43-calls upon Sir William to justify his declaration of the Sovereign's having done an act in his favour contrary to law, p. 44-takes his leave of Sir William p. 45-letter to the Duke of Grafton, p. 47-that the only act of mercy to which the Duke advised his Majesty meets with disapprobation, p. 48-that it was hazarding too much to interpose the strength of prerogative between fuch a felon as Mac Quirk, and the justice of his country, p. 49-the pardoning of this man, and the reasons alledged for so doing, considered, p. 52-to the Duke of Grafton, p. 54-that one fatal mark feems to be fixed on every measure of his Grace, whether in a personal or political character, ib,—that a certain ministerial writer does not defend the minister as to the pardoning Mac Quirk upon his own principles, p. 56—that his Grace can best tell for which of Mr. Wilkes's good qualities he first honoured him with his friendship, p. 57-to Mr. Edward Weston, p. 58-a citation from his pamphlet in defence of the pardoning of Mac Quirk, with remarks, p. 59-to the Duke of Grafton, p. 61-that his Grace was at first scrupulous of even exerciting those powers with which the executive power of the legislature is invested, ib .- that he referved the proofs of his intrepid spirit for trials of greater hazard, p. 62that he balanced the non-execution of the laws with a breach of the constitution, ib .- to the Duke of Grafton, p. 68-that his Grace addresses himself simply to the touch, p. 69—his character refembles that of his royal ancestors, p. 71-to the Duke of Grafton, p. 88-if his Grace's talents could keep pace with the principles of his heart he would have been a most formidable minister, ib,—that he became the leader of an administration collected from the deferters of all parties, p. 92-to the printer of the Public Advertifer, p. 97-the question arising from Mr. Wilkes's expulsion, and the appointment of Mr. Luttrell attempted to be stated with justice and precision—the expulsion

of 1 poir a ce cond pam the only to fi decla crim the o natio the I real a judge into e baffy he too Sir W ed Jui him u pointe P. 160 should most p am Di fatalfr adopts rest assi differen trefs al of his ( mand f though negocia ministe printer plauds

of grati

volent a

Standing

pertifer,

of Mr. Walpole, and his re-election how far a case in point, vol. I. p. 102-to Sir William Blackstone, p. 109a certain pamphlet written in defence of Sir William's conduct, confidered, ib.—Mr. Grenville and Sir William Meredith vindicated from some aspersions in this pamphlet, p. 112-that a certain writer who defends the proceedings with regard to the Middlefex election only quotes fuch part of Mr. Walpole's case as seems to fuit his purpose, p. 130—that the house meant to declare Mr. Walpole's incapacity arose from the crimes he had committed, p. 131-they also declared the other candidate not duly elected, p. 135-explanation of some passages in the last letter, p. 140-to the Duke of Bedford, p. 147—that he has loft much real authority and importance, p. 148—the degree of judgement he has shewn in carrying his own system into execution, p. 152—the importance of his embaffy to the court of Verfailles, p. 153-the measures he took to obtain and confirm his power, p. 157-to Sir William Draper, p. 164-that after having attacked Junius under that character he had no right to know him under any other, ib .- that Sir William was appointed Colonel to a regiment greatly out of his turn, p. 166-Junius thinks it by no means necessary he should be exposed to the resentment of the worst and most powerful men in this country, ib .- to Sir William Draper p. 174-Sir William still continues to be a fatal friend, p. 175-he confiders nothing in the cause he adopts but the difficulty of defending it, ib.—he may rest assured the Duke of Bedford laughs with equal indifference at Junius's reproaches and Sir William's diftress about him, p. 177—admitting the single instance of his Grace's generofity, the public may perhaps demand some other proofs of his munificence, p. 178though there was no document left of any treasonable negociation yet the conduct and known temper of the minister, carried an internal evidence, p. 179-to the printer of the Public Advertiser, p. 181-Junius applauds the spirit with which a lady has paid the debt of gratitude to her benefactor, ib.—this fingle benevolent action is perhaps the more conspicuous from standing alone, ib .- to the printer of the Public Adpertifer, p. 188—the prefent ministry lingularly marked

1

e

9

iş

h

of

1-

at

f-

th

flo

er

all

if-

X-

pt-

of

d

t

t

t

h

to

h

di

re

th

ha

fc

th

Wa

th

p.

the

clu

lea lic

cit

the

ple

inf

ftra

ver

by their fortune, as their crimes, vol. I. p. 189-they feem determined to perplex us with the multitude of their offences, ib .-- a Major-General of the army arrested for a considerable debt and rescued by a Serjeant and some private soldiers, p. 190—that this is a wound given to the law, and no remedy applied, p. 191the main question is, how the ministry have acted on this occasion, p. 192-the aggravating circumstances of this affair, p. 193-that the regiments of guards as a corps, are neither good subjects nor good soldiers. p. 194—the marching regiments the bravest troops in the world, ib .- to the printer of the Public Advertifer, p. 203-that he admits the claim of Modestus in the Gazetteer, ib.—that Modestus having infinuated that the offenders in the rescue may still be brought to a trial, any attempt to prejudge the cause would be highly improper, ib .- if the gentlemen, whose conduct is in question, are not brought to a trial, the Duke of Grafton shall hear from him again, p. 204leaves it to his countrymen to determine whether he is moved by malevolence, or animated by a just purpose of obtaining a satisfaction to the laws of the country, ib .- to his Grace the Duke of Grafton-Junius gives his Grace credit for his discretion in refuling Mr. Vaughan's proposals, p. 205-asks what was the price of Mr. Hine's patent, p. 206-and whether the Duke dares to complain of an attack upon his own honour, while he is felling the favours of the crown, ib .- to his Grace the Duke of Grafton, ib. -Junius is surprised at the silence of his Grace's friends to the charge of having fold a patent-place, p. 207—the price at which the place was knocked down. p. 208.—that there is none of all his Grace's friends hardy enough to deny the charge, ib .- that Mr. Vaughan's offer amounted to a high misdemeanor, p. 210—the opinion of a learned judge on this matter, p. 211-to the printer of the Public Advertiser-Junius supposes a well-intentioned prince asking advice for the happiness of his subjects, p. 213-and an honest man when permitted to approach a King in what terms he would address himself to his sovereign, p. 214—he separates the amiable prince from the folly and treachery of his servants, p. 215-and that the

King should distinguish betwixt his own dignity, and what serves only to promote the interest and ambition of a minister, vol. I. p. 216—that he should withdraw his confidence from all parties and confult his own understanding, p. 217—that there is an original bias in his education, p. 218—that a little perfonal motive was sufficient to remove the ablest servants of the crown, ib .- that Mr. Wilkes though he attacked the favourite was unworthy of a King's personal relentment, p. 220-that the destruction of one man has been for years the fole object of government, p. 221 -that his ministers have forced the subjects from wishing well to the cause of one man to unite with him in their own, p. 222—that nothing less than a repeal of a certain refolution can heal the wound given to the constitution, p. 223—if an English King be hated or despised, he must be unhappy, p. 225-that the prince takes the fense of the army from the conduct of the guards, as he does that of the people from the reprefentations of the ministry, p. 230—that the house of commons have attributed to their own vote an authority equal to an act of the legislature, p. 233-to the Duke of Grafton, p. 237—in his public character he has injured every subject of the Empire, p. 238-at the most active period of life he must quit the busy fcene, and conceal himfelf from the world, p. 239that the neglect of the remonstrances and petitions was part of his original plan of government, p. 241the fituation in which he abandoned his royal mafter, p. 242-that he either differed from his colleagues, or thought the administration no longer tenable, p. 244 -that he began with betraying the people and concluded with betraying the King, p. 245-Junius takes leave of the Duke, p. 248-to the printer of the Public Advertiser, vol. II. p. 1-the King's answer to the city remonstrance considered, ib .- the grievances of the people aggravated by infults, p. 2-if any part of the representative body be not chosen by the people, that part vitiates and corrupts the whole, p. 3 instead of an answer to the petition his Majesty pronounces his own panegyric, p. 5—whether the remonstrance be or be not injurious to the parliament is the very question between the parliament and the people, VOL. II.

1

1

\$

٥.

1.

Is

r.

r,

1-

ce

oat

p. ly

g

1

1

t

P

h

1

2

2

bi

cl

jo

or

jet

2

hi

te

an

he

fa

do

ne

18

ref

fol

tif

vol. II. p. 5-the city of London has not defired the King to assume a power placed in other hands, p. 7they call upon him to make use of his royal prerogative, ib .- to the printer of the Public Advertiser, p. 8—that the King's answer to the city remonstrance is only the fentiments of the minister, p. 9—the confequences however materially affect his Majesty's honour ib.—he should never appear but in an aimable light to his subjects, p. 10-his Majesty introduced too often in the present reign to act for or defend his servants, p. 14— an appeal to his Majesty's judgment, p. 15 addresses from parliament considered as a fashionable unmeaning formality, p. 16-the consequences of them confidered when supposed to mean what they profels, ib .- to the printer of the Public Advertifer, p. 19 -while parliament was fitting, it would neither have been fafe nor regular to offer any opinion concerning their proceedings, ib.—we had a right to expect fomething from their prudence, and fomething from their fears, p. 20-the majority of the house of lords join with the other house, p. 25—they would hardly have vielded fo much to the other house without the certainty of a compensation, ib.—the house of commons did not vindicate their own dignity when grossly attacked, p. 27-the business of the session after voting the supplies and feetling the Middlesex election, p. 29—the fituation of the King after the prorogation of parliament, p. 31-to Lord North, p. 35-the honour of rewarding Mr. Luttrell's services reserved for his lordship, ib.—is called upon to tell who advised the King to appoint Colonel Luttrell, Adjutant-general to the army of Ireland, p. 37-some secret purpose in view by fuch an appointment, p. 38-to Lord Mansfield, p. 39—the danger of writing to his lordship, as he becomes party and judge, p. 40—a tribute paid to his Scotch fincerity, ib .- that he confoles himself for the loss of a favourite family by reviving the maxims of their government, p. 42—that his maxims of jurisprudence direct his interpretation of the laws and treatment of juries, ib .- that the court of King's Bench becomes thereby a court of equity, p. 44-his conduct with regard to Bingley's affair, p. 45-that he invades the province of a jury in the matter of libel,

vol. II. p. 46—that his charge to the jury in the prosecution against Almon and Woodfall contradicted the highest legal authorities, p. 48—that he ordered a special Juryman to be set aside without any legal objection, p. 50-is accused of having done great mischiefs to his country as a minister, p. 51—to the printer of the Public Advertiser, p. 56-violence and oppression at home supported by treachery and submis-sion abroad, ib,—the plan of domestic policy from his Majesty's accession to the throne engrosses all the attention of his fervants, ib.—the expedition of the Spaniards against Port Egmont, p. 58-his Majesty's ship detained in port above twenty days, ib—the King's speech, Nov. 1770, considered, p. 59—if the actual situation of Europe be considered, when the affair of Port Egmont happened, the treachery of the King's fervants must appear in the strongest colours, p. 63—a most favourable opportunity lost, p. 64—the materials of a fable from the affair of Port Egmont, p. 65-to the printer of the Public Advertiser, p. 73 -nothing now to be apprehended from prerogative, but much from undue influence, p. 76—our political climate feverely altered, p. 78-the nature and origin of privileges traced and confidered,—to the printer of the Public Advertiser, p. 96—an extract from the journals of the house of commons, p. 98—a question or two put thereupon to the advocates for privilege, p. 97-to the Duke of Grafton, p. 101-that his Majesty would in vain have looked round the kingdom for a character so consumate as his Grace's, p. 103—that his Grace did not neglect the magistrate while he flattered the man, p. 104—that he has merit in abundance to recommend him to the fovereign, p. 105-that he has never formed a friendship which has not been fatal to the object of it, p. 108—the fervices he has done his mafter have been faithfully recorded, p. 109 -his Grace's re-appointment to a feat in the cabinet, how announced to the public, p. 110-the Duke is the pillow on which Junius proposes to rest all his resentments, p. 113-to the Rev. Mr. Horne, p. 117 -from Mr. Horne's own letters he is supposed to have fold himself to the ministry, p. 118—in order to gratify his personal hatred to Mr. Wilkes that he facri-0 2

ficed the cause of the country as far as was in his power, vol. II. p. 118-when the public expected discoveries highly interesting to the community from Mr. Horne, what a pitiful detail was produced, p. 119-he has fo little power to do mischief that it is much to be questioned if the ministry will adhere to the promises they may have made him, p. 120-to the Rev. Mr. Horne, p. 141-if any coarfe expressions have escaped Junius, he agrees they are unfit for his pen, but that they may not have been improperly applied, p. 142upon Mr. Horne's terms there is no danger in being a patriot, p. 146-by what gentle degrees his persecuting zeal has softened into moderation, p. 147-an high encomium on Lord Chatham, p. 148—what excuse can Mr. Horne make for labouring to promote fuch a confummately bad man as Mr. Wilkes to a station of fuch trust and importance, p. 151—the best of princes not displeased with the abuse thrown upon his oftenfible ministers, p. 153-to the Duke of Grafton, p. 160 -that he has done as much mischief to the community as Cromwell would have done had he been a coward, ib.—the enormous excesses through which court influence has fafely conducted his Grace without a ray of real understanding, ib.—it is like the univerfal passport of an ambassador, p. 161-his Majesty in want of money, and the Navy in want of timber, p. 164-a warrant made out for cutting down any trees in Whittlebury forest, of which the Duke is hereditary ranger, p. 165—his Grace's behaviour on this occafion, p. 166-to the Livery of London, p. 169that the election of their chief magistrate was a point in which every member of the community was interested, ib .- the question to those who mean fairly to the liberty of the people lies within a very narrow compass, p. 170-Mr. Nash's character considered as a magistrate and a public man, ib .-- he cannot alter his conduct without confessing that he never acted upon principle of any kind, p. 171-to the printer of the Public Advertiser, p. 172-Junius laments the unhappy differences which have arisen among the friends of the people, ib .- the infiduous partizan who foments the diforder, fees the fruit of his industry ripen beyond his hopes, p. 173-that Mr. Wilkes has no refource

ib P.

C

d

C

fi

fe

N

a

in

J

de

ha

fie

h

in

th

in

D:

in

as

CC

to

Lon a 195

Lon

resource but in the public favour, vol. II. p. 175-thate Mr. Sawbridge has shewn himself possessed of that. Republican firmness which the times require, p. 177 —the right of preffing founded originally upon a necessity which supersedes all argument, p. 179—the defigns of Lord Mansfield, fubtle, effectual, and fecure, p. 181-we should not reject the services or friendship of any man because he differs from us in a particular opinion, p. 182-patriotifm, it feems may be improved by transplanting, p. 183-Junius defended in three material points, p. 202-charges Lord Mansfield with doing what was illegal in bailing Eyre. p. 207-to the Duke of Grafton, p. 208-the miferable depression of his Grace when almost every man in the kingdom was exulting in the defeat of Sir James Lowther, ib .- that he violates his own rules of decorum when he does not infult the man whom he has betrayed, p. 209-to Lord Chief Justice Mansfield-Junius undertakes to prove the charge against his lordship, p. 214—that the superior power of bailing for felony claimed by the court of King's Bench has only the negative affent of the legislature, p. 217 that a person positively charged with feloniously stealing and taken with the stolen goods upon him is not bailable, p. 219-authorities quoted to support this opinion, p. 220-the several statutes relative to bail in criminal cases stated in due order, p. 221—the law as stated applied to the case of John Eyre, who was committed for felony, p. 244-to the Right Hon, Lord Camden, p. 249-Junius calls upon his lordship to stand forth in defence of the laws of his country, ib.—extract of a letter from Junius to Mr. Wilkes, p. 251.

#### L.

LIGONIER Lord, the army taken from him much against his inclination, vol. I. p. 27.

LONDON city of, has given an example in what manner a King of this country should be addressed, vol. I. p.

LOTTERY, the worst way of raising money upon the people, vol. I. p. 7.

O 3 LOYALTY,

LOYALTY, what it is, vol. I. p. 1.

LUTTRELL Mr. patronized by the Duke of Grafton with success, vol. I. p. 63—the affertion that two-thirds of the nation approve of his admission into parliament cannot be maintained nor consuted by argument, p. 83—the appointment of, invades the soundations of the laws themselves, p. 94—a strain of prositution in his character admired for its singularity, vol. II. p. 36.

LYNN burgesses of, re-elect Mr. Walpole after being

expelled, vol. I. p. 102.

#### M.

MACQUIRK, the King's warrant for his pardon, vol. I. p. 49—the pardoning of him much blamed, and the reasons alleged for so doing refuted, p. 52.

MANILLA RANSOM, dishonourably given up, vol. I. p. 24—the ministers said to be desirous to do justice in

this affair, but their efforts in vain, p. 35.

MANSFIELD Lord, extracts from his speech in the court of King's Bench, in regard to the offer of money made by Vaughan to the Duke of Grafton for the reversion of a place, vol. I. p. 211—a tribute paid by Junius to his Scotch fincerity, vol. II. p. 40-that his lordship had some original attachments which he took every opportunity to acknowledge, ib .- is charged with reviving the maxims of government of his favourite family, p. 42-that he follows an uniform plan to enlarge the power of the crown, ib .- that he labours to contract the power of the jury, p. 42, 46that instead of positive rules by which a court should be determined, he has introduced his own unfettled notions of equity, p. 44, 195-his conduct in regard to Bingley's confinement and release, p. 45-his charge to the jury in cases of libel contradicts the highest legal authorities, p. 48—his lordship reminded of the name of Benson, p. 50-charged with doing much mischief to this country as a minister, p. 51-the suspicious applause given by him to Lord Chatham, p. 190—the doctrine he delivers to a jury, p. 191-his reasons for challenging a juryman, p. 192-accused of endeayouring to screen the King's brother, p. 193-charged

by of ME.

Po MII vo the pa

pe p. Min ne

p.

p.
ext
Bri
fcr
ties
the

Mo D ing caff ing fen

bot

Muse mir

NASH MATI fuffi NORT

publicand and posse

by Junius for bailing a man not bailable by the laws of England, vol. II. p. 207.

MEASURES AND NOT MEN, the common cant of affected moderation, vol. I. p. 168—a quotation from

Pope on this subject, ib.

MIDDLESEX the election for, attended with one favourable confequence for the people, vol. I. p. 65—
the question in this affair is, whether by the law of
parliament expulsion alone creates a disqualification,
p. 133—as a Fact highly injurious to the rights of the
people, and as a Precedent one of the most dangerous,

p. 134.

MINISTERS, to be acquainted with the merit of we need only observe the condition of the people, vol. I. p. 3—the misconduct of, has produced a sudden and extraordinary change within these few years in Great Britain, ib.—the conduct and character, not the description of ministers, the cause of national calamities, p. 23—the minister who by corruption invades the freedom of election, and the russian who by open violence destroys that freedom, embarked in the same bottom, p. 48—he is the tenant of the day, and has no interest in the inheritance, vol. II. p. 17.

Modest us, charges Junius with abfurdity in his writings, vol. I. p. 183—cannot distinguish between a sarcasm and a contradiction, ib.—is accused of misquoting what Junius says of conscience, and making the sentence ridiculous by making it his own, p. 185.

MUSGRAVE Dr. his firmness and integrity on his examination before the house of commons vol. II, p. 27.

#### N.

NASH Mr. his behaviour as a magistrate and a public man considered, vol. II. p. 170.

NATION, when the safety of is at stake, suspicion is a

fufficient ground for enquiry, vol. I. p. 2.

NORTH Lord, Chancellor of the Exchequer, vol. I. p. 5— is warned to think feriously before he increases the public debt, p. 6—the palm of ministerial sirmness transferred to his lordship, p. 249—his boasted sirmness and consistency, vol. II. p. 13—had the means in his possession of reducing all the four per cents at once, p. 30

had the honour of rewarding Mr. Luttrell's fervices, vol. II. p. 35—is called upon by Junius to tell who advised the King to appoint Colonel Luttrell, Adjutant-general of the army of Ireland, p. 37—that he shall not have time to new model the Irish army, p. 38—perhaps only the blind instrument of Lord Bute and the Princess Dowager, p. 39.

u

G P

qu

to

ur

D

th

Wing

ve

det

ib.

por

&c

con

ma

lic

um

of .

Spa

ene

he

with

mu

in t

pear

be c

of t

ject

mea

argu

tion

be a

prin

NOYE Mr. Attorney-general, his opinion of the privilege of the house of commons to commit for con-

tempt, vol. II. p. 94.

#### 0.

OLD NOLL, destined to be the ruin of the house of Stuart, vol. I. p. 82—does not deny that Corsica has been facrificed to the French, p. 87.

#### P.

PARSONS Ann, mistress to the Duke of Grafton, vol. I. p. 67—led into public by his Grace, and placed at the head of his table, p. 80—handed through the Opera-house in presence of the Queen by the first Lord of the Treasury, p. 85.

PARTIES, the idea of uniting does not produce the fa-

lutary effects intended thereby, vol. I. p. 3.

PEOPLE, submission of a free one, a compliance with laws, which they themselves have enacted, vol. I. p. 1.—in reading the history of, how we become interested in their cause, p. 2—an impartial administration of justice the firmest bond to engage their affections to government, p. 13.

PERCY Earl, placed at the head of a regiment, vol. I. p. 32—Aid-de-camp to the King, and had the rank of Colonel before he had the regiment, p. 39.

PHILO-JUNIUS to the printer of the Public Advertifer, vol. I. p. 79—that the Duke of Grafton's friends, in the contest with Junius, are reduced to the general charge of scurrility and falshood,—the truth of Junius's facts of importance to the public, ib— a revisal and consideration of them as they appeared in letter 12—another letter of his to the printer of the Public Advertiser, p. 82—that in the whole course of the Duke

Duke of Grafton's life there is a strange endeavour to unite contradictions, vol. I. p. 83— a violation of public decorum should never be forgiven, p. 85-the Duke of Grafton's conduct in this respect, ib.—his Grace has always some reason for deferting his friends, p. 86-to the printer of the Public Advertiser, p. 105 -the objections of G. A. to Junius's state of the question as to the Middlesex election considered, ib .to the printer of the Public Advertiser, p. 116-that a correspondent of the St. James's Evening Post misunderstood Junius, ib .- that it appears evident that Dr. Blackstone, never once thought of his commentaries when speaking in the house of commons, until the contradiction was urged, p. 117-Philo-Junius defends Junius's construction of the vote against Mr. Walpole, p. 133—charges the ministry with introducing a new fystem of logic, which he calls argument against fact, p. 141-to the printer of the Public Advertiser, p. 182—that he is assured Junius will never descend to a dispute with such a writer as Modestus, ib.—an examination of the instances brought to support the charge of Junius being an Irishman, p. 183, &c .- that Modestus misquotes what Junius says of conscience, and makes the sentence ridiculous by making it his own, p. 185-to the printer of the Public Advertiser, vol. II. p. 68-that Anti-Junius triumphs in having, as he supposes, cut of an out-post of Junius, ib.—that Junius does not speak of the Spanish nation, but the Spanish court, as the natural enemies of England, p. 69-if it were not the respect he bears the minister he could name a man, who, without one grain of understanding, can do half as much as Oliver Cromwell, p. 70—as to a fecret fystem in the closet, that this can only be determined by appearances, 71—the queries put by Anti-Junius can be only answered by the ministry, ib.—to the printer of the Public Advertiser, p. 88—that those who object to detached parts of Junius's last letter do not mean fairly, or have not confidered the scope of his argument, ib .- that Junius does not expect a diffolution of parliament will destroy corruption, but will be a terror and check to their fuccessors, p. 89-to the printer of the Public Advertiser, p. 90-Junius's conftruction

,

al

2

ie

struction of the vote, declaring Mr. Walpole's incapacity, vol. II. p. 90-a quotation from a tract of Lord Sommers to support this construction, p. 91—if this construction be admitted, the advocates of the house of commons must be reduced to the necessity of maintaining one of the groffest absurdities, p. 92-that the house of commons certainly did not foresee one effect proceeding from their vote about the Middlesex election, p. 93-to the printer of the Public Advertiser, p. 155-the vanity and implety of Junius are become the perpetual topics of abuse, ib.—the proofs brought to fupport fuch charges confidered, ib .- the charge of vanity and impiety proved to destroy itself, p. 156-to the printer of the Public Advertiser, p. 186 that Junius's inclination leads him to treat Lord Camden with particular respect and candour, ib .- that his lordship overshot himself in afferting the proclamation against exporting corn was legal, p. 188-to Zeno, p. 189—that the fophistry of this author's letter in defence of Lord Mansfield is adapted to the character he defends, ib.—the fuspicious applause given by his lordship to the man he detests, p. 190-his doctrine as delivered to a jury, p. 191-his challenging a juryman, p. 192-is accused of endeavouring to screen the King's brother, p. 193-and incessantly labouring to introduce new modes of proceeding in the court where he presides, p. 195-to an Advocate in the Cause of the People, p. 197—the difference betwixt general-warrants and press-warrants stated and explained, ib.

POPE Mr. extract of a letter of his to Dr. Arbuthnot,

vol. I. p. 168.

#### S.

SAWBRIDGE Mr. has shewn himself possessed of that Republican firmness which the times require, vol. II. —p. 177.

SHELBURNE Lord, applied to in regard to the Manilla Ranfom, vol. I. p. 35.

SOMMERS Lord, a quotation from his tract upon the rights of the people, vol. I. p. 133.

STAMP-ACT, made and repealed, vol. I. p. 8,

STARLING

ST

ST

To h

to ed

P. of

po reexp me

WEY of WHI

the WIL

can

har

STARLING Solomon, Apothecary, his opinion in regard to the death of Clarke, who received a blow at the Brentford election, vol. I. p. 49.

STATE, the principal departments of, when improperly bestowed the cause of every mischief, vol. 1. p. 4.

#### T.

TOWNSEND Mr. complains that the public gratitude has not been equal to his deferts, vol. II. p. 177.

TOUCHET Mr. in his most prosperous fortune, the same man as at present, vol. II. p. 111.

#### V

VAUGHAN Mr. fends proposals to the Duke of Grafton, vol. I. p. 205—his offers to the Duke amounted to a high misdemeanor, p. 210—a prosecution commenced against him, p. 211—the matter solemnly argued in the court of King's Bench, ib—Junius does justice to this injured man, vol. I. p. 247.

#### W.

5

n

e

I.

la

16

G

WESTON Edward, a letter to him from Junius, vol. I. p. 58—quotations from his pamphlet in defence of the pardoning of Mac Quirk, with remarks, p.

WALFOLE Mr. his case supposed to be strictly in point to prove expulsion creates incapacity of being re-elected, vol. I. p. 120—the vote of expulsion as expressed in the votes, p. 131—remarks upon its meaning and extent, ib—the election was declared void, p. 135.

WEYMOUTH Lord, appointed one of the secretaries of state, vol. I. p. 10—nominated to Ireland p. 155.

WHITTLEBURY-FOREST, the Duke of Grafton hereditary ranger of, vol. II. p. 165—the right to the timber claimed by his Grace, p. 166.

WILKES Mr. his conduct often centured by Junius, vol. I. p. 57—fuffered to appear at large, and to canvas for the city and county, with an outlawry hanging over him, p. 61—his fituation and private character

character gave the ministry advantages over him, vol. I p. 65-it is perhaps the greatest misfortune of his life that the Duke of Grafton had fo many compensations to make in the closet for his former friendship with him, p. 72 .- faid more than moderate men would justify, p. 220.—hardly ferious at first, he became an enthusiast, ib.—commissions Mr. Thomas Walpole to solicit a pension for him, vol. II. p. 134. -comes over from France to England, where he gets two hundred pounds from the Duke of Portland and Lord Rockingham, p. 135.

WOOLLASTON Mr. expelled, re-elected, and admitted into the fame parliament, vol. I. p. 136.-the public left to determine whether this be a plain mat-

ter of fact, p. 141.

YATES Mr. Justice, quits the court of King's Bench, vol. II. p. 44. ist San toi enir ar axing make

### lestiabeny ne lis INIS,

Board, apopioted and is the jurisings.

t the middennit of Mile Quits, spill remarkings

of a country as and belowed the and the second the than the waster it as any collectes sugar or to be restabled, vol. 1 pr 130-the vole of expellent as a corrected to the voice, p. 150-economics upon he

B DE 68 do securitario de la constantida del constantida del constantida de la constantida del constantida

I e pn sted